


Final Report

**LIMITED ENERGY STUDY  
GEODSS FACILITY**

**WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE,  
NEW MEXICO**



Prepared for

**U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT, MOBILE  
MOBILE, ALABAMA 36628**

Under

**U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT, MOBILE  
INDEFINITE DELIVERY A-E CONTRACT  
Contract No. DACA01-94-D-0033  
Delivery Order 0008  
EMC No. 1406-008**

November 1995

*DTIG QUALITY INSPECTED 2*

By

**E M C Engineers, Inc.  
2750 S. Wadsworth, Suite C-200  
Denver, Colorado 80227  
303/988-2951**

**19971023 133**

This report has been prepared at the request of the client, and the observations, conclusions, and recommendations contained herein constitute the opinions of EMC Engineers, Inc. In preparing this report, EMC has relied on some information supplied by the client, the client's employees, and others which we gratefully acknowledge. Because no warranties were given with this source of information, EMC Engineers, Inc. cannot make certification or give assurances except as explicitly defined in this report.



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY  
CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING RESEARCH LABORATORIES, CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
P.O. BOX 9005  
CHAMPAIGN, ILLINOIS 61826-9005

--  
REPLY TO  
ATTENTION OF: TR-I Library

17 Sep 1997

Based on SOW, these Energy Studies are unclassified/unlimited.  
Distribution A. Approved for public release.

Marie Wakefield,  
Librarian Engineering

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Abbreviations .....	v
Executive Summary.....	ES-1
<b>1. INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
1.1 AUTHORITY FOR STUDY .....	1-1
1.2 PURPOSE OF STUDY .....	1-1
1.3 STATEMENT OF WORK .....	1-1
1.4 GENERAL APPROACH .....	1-1
1.5 LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS .....	1-2
1.6 ORGANIZATION OF DOCUMENT .....	1-2
<b>2. BUILDING AND HVAC DATA.....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1 GENERAL .....	2-1
2.2 COMPUTER ROOM .....	2-1
2.3 OFFICES .....	2-1
2.4 CONFERENCE ROOM.....	2-2
2.5 TOWERS .....	2-2
2.6 CENTRAL CHILLED WATER SYSTEM .....	2-3
2.7 LIGHTING .....	2-3
2.8 ELECTRIC POWER.....	2-3
<b>3. BASELINE ENERGY USE.....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1 HISTORICAL ENERGY USE.....	3-1
3.2 BASELINE ENERGY SIMULATION .....	3-2
<b>4. INDIVIDUAL ECO ANALYSIS .....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1 ECO 1: ALBEDO MODIFICATION .....	4-3
4.2 ECO 2: ROOF INSULATION.....	4-9
4.3 ECO 3: LOW EMISSIVITY ROOF COATING .....	4-21
4.4 ECO 4: T-8 FLUORESCENT LIGHTING .....	4-27
4.5 ECO 5: VORTEX TUBE .....	4-37
4.6 ECO 6: PREMIUM EFFICIENCY MOTORS .....	4-41
4.7 ECO 7: UNINTERRUPTED POWER SUPPLY MODIFICATION .....	4-47
4.8 ECO 8: CHILLER REPLACEMENT .....	4-67
4.9 ECO 9: RECIRCULATE AIR IN TOWERS .....	4-81
4.10 ECO 10: TURN OFF OFFICE AHU AT NIGHT.....	4-93
4.11 ECO 11: PROPANE HEAT .....	4-99
4.12 ECO 12: ECONOMIZERS.....	4-111
<b>5. RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....</b>	<b>5-1</b>
5.1 RESULTS OF ECO ANALYSIS.....	5-1
5.2 RECOMMENDATIONS .....	5-1

## APPENDICES

- A Scope of Work and Correspondence
- B Field Survey Notes
- C Utility Data
- D Computer Simulations
- E Project Documentation

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE ES-1. ENERGY USE DISTRIBUTION .....	ES-3
FIGURE ES-2. BASELINE ENERGY USE VS. RECOMMENDED ECO MODIFICATIONS .....	ES-5
FIGURE 3-1. ELECTRICITY PURCHASED AND GENERATED OCT 1991 TO JAN 1995 .....	3-1
FIGURE 3-2. DOE2.1D MODEL VS. HISTORICAL DATA.....	3-3
FIGURE 3-3. ENERGY USE DISTRIBUTION.....	3-4

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE ES-1. HISTORICAL ENERGY CONSUMPTION DATA .....	3
TABLE ES-2. SUMMARY OF RESULTS .....	5
TABLE ES-3. SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDED ECOS .....	6
TABLE ES-4. RECOMMENDED ECO UPGRADES WITH COMPUTER RENOVATION .....	7
TABLE ES-5. ECOS NOT RECOMMENDED .....	7
TABLE 3-1. FACILITY ANNUAL ENERGY .....	3-4
TABLE 5-1. SUMMARY OF RESULTS.....	5-1
TABLE 5-2. SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDED ECOS .....	5-1
TABLE 5-3. RECOMMENDED UPGRADES WITH COMPUTER RENOVATION .....	5-2
TABLE 5-4. ECOS NOT RECOMMENDED.....	5-2

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACC	- air cooled condenser
ACCU	- air cooled condensing unit
AHU	- air handling unit
Btu	- British thermal unit
CHLR	- chiller
CNW	- condenser water
CNWR	- condenser water return
CNWS	- condenser water supply
COE	- Corps of Engineers
CRUs	- computer room units
CV	- converter
CW	- chilled water
CWP	- chilled water pump
CWR	- chilled water return
CWS	- chilled water supply
DOE2.1d	- Computer program used for calculating building hour energy use.
DTW	- dual temperature water
DTWP	- dual temperature water pump
ECO	- Energy Conservation Opportunity
EMC	- E M C Engineers, Inc.
F	- fahrenheit
FEMP	- Federal Energy Management Program
ft	- foot, feet
ft <sup>2</sup>	- square feet
gal	- gallons
gpm	- gallons per minute
hp	- horsepower
hr	- hour
HRU	- heat recovery unit

HW	- hot water
HWP	- hot water pump
HWR	- hot water return
HWS	- hot water supply
H&V	- heating and ventilating
IR	- infrared radiant
kW	- kilowatt, one thousand watts
kWh	- kilowatt-hours, one thousand watt-hours
lb/hr	- pounds per hour
LCCA	- life cycle cost analysis
MAU	- make-up air unit
MBtu	- million British thermal unit
MZ	- multizone
O&M	- operation and maintenance
OA	- outside air
psia	- pounds per square inch absolute
psig	- pounds per square inch gage
RA	- return air
RAD	- radiation heating system
RAF	- return air fan
rpm	- revolutions per minute
SOW	- scope of work
sq ft	- square foot
STM	- steam
SZ	- single zone
temp.	- temperature
UH	- unit heater
UMCS	- utility monitoring and control system
VAV	- variable air volume
VSD	- variable speed drive
WAC	- window air conditioner

WSMR - White Sands Missile Range

yr - year(s)

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

### **AUTHORITY**

This study was performed and this report prepared under Contract No. DACA01-94-D-0033, Delivery Order No. 8. The delivery order was issued by U.S. Army Engineer District, Mobile, to E M C Engineers, Inc. on 8 May 1995.

### **PURPOSE**

The purpose of this study is to identify and evaluate Energy Conservation Opportunities (ECOs), to determine their energy savings potential and economic feasibility, and to document results for possible future funding.

### **BUILDING AND HVAC DATA**

The Ground Based Electro-Optical Deep Space Surveillance (GEODSS) Facility, Building 34568, is a windowless, concrete block structure approximately 10,000 square feet. The building is located on the northern end of the White Sands Missile Range in central New Mexico. The building consists of a large central computer room with perimeter offices. Concrete towers at three corners of the building are topped with telescopes in movable domes. The building is occupied 24 hours per day. The building is conditioned by the following HVAC and auxiliary systems:

- The computer room is conditioned by three Computer Room Units (CRUs) each rated at 12,000 cfm. The CRUs are located within the computer room and distribute supply air via a perforated floor. Each CRU contains a fan, chilled water coil, electric reheat coil, and a humidifier. Room temperature is maintained in the 70° to 72°F range and relative humidity in the 35% to 50% range.
- The offices and hallways are conditioned by a single-zone HVAC system consisting of a fan supplying 4,770 cfm, a chilled water cooling coil, and an electric duct heater. Outside air is specified at 26% of supply air. Room temperature is maintained in the 70° to 72°F range.
- A small conference room adjacent to the offices is served by a small dedicated AHU containing a chilled water cooling coil.
- Each telescope tower is served by a dedicated 2,000 cfm AHU. Each AHU is a once-through system in which outside air is drawn in, cooled by a chilled water cooling coil, ducted to the tower, and expelled through openings in the dome. Each room thermostat is set at 40°F, but the HVAC system is incapable of reaching this

temperature, given the 45°F chilled water temperature serving the cooling coil. It is desired to keep the telescope as cool as possible to minimize stabilization time when the telescope is exposed to the cold night sky. The AHUs serving the towers are operated from mid April to mid November. The AHUs are turned off in the winter.

- All eight AHUs in the building are supplied with chilled water from the central chilled water system. The chilled water system consists of two 36-ton chillers coupled to two air-cooled condensers. Chilled water is supplied to HVAC cooling coils via a primary/secondary pumping arrangement.
- Lighting is provided mainly by recessed fluorescent fixtures each containing two standard 40 watt T-12 fluorescent lamps powered by standard magnetic coil ballasts. Offices and hallways have been extensively delamped and most offices are equipped with occupancy sensors.
- Electric power is supplied to the computer room and electronic equipment associated with the telescopes through a rotating Uninterrupted Power Supply (UPS) system. The UPS system consists of a 120 volt/150 kW generator coupled to a large flywheel turned by a 250 horsepower motor. The flywheel will provide about 17 seconds of uninterrupted power, sufficient time for the emergency diesel-electric generator to come on-line in the event of an interruption to commercial power. Power to the motor was measured during the field survey. The motor was drawing about 85 amps at 281 volts with a power factor of about 0.45.

## HISTORICAL ENERGY USE

Electric power is supplied to the GEODSS Facility by Socorro Electric. The facility is billed for electricity by the White Sands Missile Range at a rate of \$0.0821 per kWh. There is no demand charge.

The facility is metered by a dedicated electric meter. This meter was calibrated by ZIA Electrical Products as part of this study. The meter was found to be accurate within 1.0% in its "as found" condition.

The diesel-electric generator provides backup power for the facility and is used quite often due to poor reliability of commercial service and the frequency of electrical storms.

Average site energy consumption was based on four years of utility data and is presented in Table ES-1.


**Table ES-1. Historical Energy Consumption Data**

Energy Type	Annual Energy Use	Unit Energy Cost	Annual Energy Cost	Annual MBtu
Electricity	1036 MWh	\$0.0821/kWh	\$85,056	3536
Diesel Fuel	5932 gal	\$1.03/gal	\$6,110	823

### **BASELINE ENERGY USE**

The DOE2.1d Building Energy Simulation Program was used to model the building using TMY weather for Truth or Consequences, New Mexico. Figure ES-1 presents the electric energy use distribution. Miscellaneous equipment consumes about 35% of the annual energy used at the facility. Miscellaneous equipment includes computers, office equipment, electronic equipment, cameras, and the air compressors. Space cooling consumes about 43% of the annual energy. Fans, pumps, and lighting consumes the remaining 20%. Space heating consumes less than 2% of the annual energy.

**Figure ES-1. Energy Use Distribution**



## ENERGY CONSERVATION OPPORTUNITIES (ECOs)

The following is a brief summary of the ECOs investigated.

- ECO 1: **Albedo Modification:** Repainting the exterior walls white and placing white gravel on the roof to decrease solar heat gain was found not to be cost-effective. Energy savings are minimal due to good insulation.
- ECO 2: **Roof Insulation:** The existing roof insulation thickness of 4 inches is greater than the optimum insulation thickness of 2 inches.
- ECO 3: **Low-Emissivity Roof Coating:** A low-emissivity coating applied to the underneath side of the roof deck was found not to be cost effective. Energy savings are minimal due to good insulation.
- ECO 4: **T-8 Fluorescent Lamps:** Installing high efficiency lighting and electronic ballasts were found to be cost effective.
- ECO 5: **Vortex Tube Cooling:** Cooling for the telescope cameras was found to consume a relatively large amount of energy. Correction is beyond the scope of this project.
- ECO 6: **High-Efficiency Motors:** Replacing one of the existing HVAC fan motors with a more efficient motor was found to be cost effective.
- ECO 7: **UPS System:** The existing system was found to be very inefficient. Two cost effective modifications are recommended.
- ECO 8: **Chiller Replacement:** Replacing the existing chillers was found to be cost effective.
- ECO 9: **Recirculate Air in Towers:** Recirculating room air and reducing the outside airflow rate in the camera towers was found to be cost effective. The HVAC systems are currently 100% outside air systems.
- ECO 10: **Turn Off Office AHU at Night:** Installing a time clock to turn off the AHU serving the office areas in the building at night was found to be cost effective.
- ECO 11: **Propane Heat:** Replacing the existing electric duct heaters with propane-fired duct furnaces was found not to be cost effective.
- ECO 12: **Economizer:** Installing an economizer on AHU-2 serving the office was found not to be cost effective.


Table ES-2 on the following page presents the results of the analysis for each ECO.

**Table ES-2. Summary of Results**

ECO #	ECO Description	Annual Electric Energy Savings (kWh)	Annual Energy Cost Savings (\$)	Annual Maintenance Cost Savings (\$)	Total Investment Costs (\$)	SIR	Simple Payback (yrs)
1	Albedo Modification	1,532	126	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	Roof Insulation 6"	1,939	159	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	Low-Emissivity Roof Coating	900	74	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	T-8 Fluorescent Lamps	29,455	2,418	47	12,429	2.38	5.0
5	Vortex Tube Cooling	38,441	3,156	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	High-Efficiency Motors	2,197	180	0	1,753	1.55	9.7
7	UPS System	89,454	7,344	0	22,874	4.85	3.1
8	Chiller Replacement	85,453	7,016	0	99,539	2.01	8.3
9	Recirculation of Tower Air	74,518	6,118	0	22,767	4.05	3.7
10	Turn Off AHU at Night	48,210	3,958	0	420	80.86	0.1
11	Propane Heat	1,199	65	0	11,182	0.08	171.7
12	Economizers	967	79	0	4,096	0.29	51.6

A graphical representation of the annual energy use for the baseline model and each of the ECOs is presented in Figure ES-2 below.

**Figure ES-2. Baseline Energy Use Vs. Recommended ECO Modifications**



## RECOMMENDATIONS

The following ECOs are recommended for implementation.

**Table ES-3. Summary of Recommended ECOs**

ECO #	ECO Description	Annual Electric Energy Savings (kWh)	Annual Energy Cost Savings (\$)	Annual Maintenance Cost Savings (\$)	Total Investment Costs (\$)	SIR	Simple Payback (yrs)
10	Turn Off AHU at Night	48,210	3,958	0	420	80.86	0.09
7	UPS System	89,454	7,344	0	22,874	4.85	3.11
9	Recirculation of Tower Air	74,518	6,118	47	22,767	4.05	3.72
4	T-8 Fluorescent Lamps	29,455	2,418	0	12,429	2.38	5.04
8	Chiller Replacement	85,453	7,016	0	99,539	2.01	8.30
6	High Efficiency Motors	2,197	180	0	1,753	1.55	9.72
<b>Overall Savings</b>		<b>280,029</b>	<b>22,990</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>101,292</b>	N/A	<b>4.41</b>

The overall savings takes into account the synergistic effects of multiple ECOs. The total annual energy cost savings for combined ECOs is \$22,990 per year with a resulting simple payback of 4.4 years. The combined ECOs annual energy savings is 280,029 kWh per year, 27% of the present annual energy use.

To qualify for FEMP funding, ECOs must have an SIR greater than 1.25 and a simple economic payback less than 10 years. The following ECOs are recommended for funding as a Federal Energy Management Program (FEMP) project.

**Table ES-4. Summary of ECOs Recommended for FEMP Funding**

ECO #	ECO Description	Annual Electric Energy Savings (kWh)	Annual Energy Cost Savings (\$)	Annual Maintenance Cost Savings (\$)	Total Investment Costs (\$)	SIR	Simple Payback (yrs)
7	UPS System	89,454	7,344	0	22,874	4.85	3.11
9	Recirculation of Tower Air	74,518	6,118	0	22,767	4.05	3.72
4	T-8 Fluorescent Lamps	29,455	2,418	47	12,429	2.38	5.04
8	Chiller Replacement	85,453	7,016	0	99,539	2.01	8.30
<b>Combined Savings</b>		<b>252,877</b>	<b>20,761</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>157,609</b>	<b>2.74</b>	<b>5.7</b>

The combined savings of these ECOs with synergistic effects taken into account is \$20,761 per year with a resulting SIR of 2.74 and a simple payback of 5.7 years.

The following ECOs are recommended for in-house implementation by the GEODSS maintenance staff.

**Table ES-5. Summary of ECOs Recommended for In-House Implementation**

ECO #	ECO Description	Annual Electric Energy Savings (kWh)	Annual Energy Cost Savings (\$)	Annual Maintenance Cost Savings (\$)	Total Investment Costs (\$)	SIR	Simple Payback (yrs)
10	Turn Off AHU at Night	48,210	3,958	0	420	80.86	0.09
6	High-Efficiency Motors	2,197	180	0	1,753	1.55	9.72

The following ECOs are recommended for implementation with the installation of the new computer system, in about two years.

**Table ES-6. Recommended ECO Upgrades with Computer Renovation**

ECO #	ECO Description	Annual Electric Energy Savings (kWh)	Annual Energy Cost Savings (\$)	Annual Maintenance Cost Savings (\$)	Total Investment Costs (\$)	SIR	Simple Payback (yrs)
5	Vortex Tube Cooling	38,441	3,156	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

The following ECOs were not found to be cost effective:

**Table ES-7. ECOs Not Recommended**

ECO #	ECO Description	Annual Electric Energy Savings (kWh)	Annual Energy Cost Savings (\$)	Annual Maintenance Cost Savings (\$)	Total Investment Costs (\$)	SIR	Simple Payback (yrs)
1	Albedo Modification	1,532	126	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	Roof Insulation 6"	1,939	159	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	Low-Emissivity Roof Coating	900	74	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	Propane Heat	1,199	65	0	11,182	0.08	171.70
12	Economizers	967	79	0	4,096	0.29	51.60

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

### **1.1 AUTHORITY FOR STUDY**

This study was performed and this report prepared under Contract No. DACA01-94-D-0033, Delivery Order No. 8. The delivery order was issued by U.S. Army Engineer District, Mobile, to E M C Engineers, Inc. on 8 May 1995.

### **1.2 PURPOSE OF STUDY**

The purpose of this study is to identify and evaluate Energy Conservation Opportunities (ECOs) for the Ground Based Electro-Optical Deep Space Surveillance (GEODSS) Facility, Building 34568, to determine their energy savings potential and economic feasibility, and to document results for possible future funding.

### **1.3 STATEMENT OF WORK**

The following services are required by the Statement of Work contained in Appendix A:

- Perform a limited site survey.
- Evaluate selected ECOs.
- Use building energy simulations to calculate envelope and HVAC system energy savings.
- Combine selected ECOs into recommended projects taking into account the effects of multiple ECOs on energy savings and implementation costs.
- Provide a comprehensive report presenting field survey data, assumptions, methods of analysis, and results of the study.

### **1.4 GENERAL APPROACH**

EMC attended a pre-proposal meeting at the GEODSS site in March 1995. At that meeting, EMC was given a tour of the facility and received information regarding the history of the facility, including details of present operations and problems. The meeting produced a preliminary list of ECOs to be evaluated.

A detailed field survey was completed the 1st and 2nd of June 1995. As part of the field survey, the electric meter serving the site was recalibrated and electrical measurements were made on selected electrical equipment.

The building energy use was simulated using the DOE2.1d program to produce a baseline model. The baseline model energy use was compared to historical energy use data.

Each ECO was analyzed individually. Energy savings were calculated by modifying the baseline model to reflect the proposed modification. A detailed cost estimate and a Life Cycle Cost Analysis (LCCA) were performed for each ECO.

ECOs with favorable economics were combined into recommended projects. The effects of multiple ECOs on energy savings and implementation costs were taken into account. A Form DD1391 was used to present the data and text for the recommended projects.

A comprehensive Preliminary Report was prepared presenting the field survey data, assumptions, methods of analysis, and results of the study.

## 1.5 LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS

The Life Cycle Cost Analysis (LCCA) methodology used in this study comprised a present value analysis of capital costs, operational costs, and projected energy costs over the expected life cycle of the ECO. Uniform present value (UPV) factors and escalation rates for energy costs were taken from Energy Prices and Discount Factors for Life-Cycle Cost Analysis 1995, which is the current update to NBS Handbook 135. A 3.0% discount rate was used for the purpose of this study in compliance with FEMP guidelines.

The following UPV factors, adjusted for average fuel price escalation, were taken from the NBS 135 Supplement:

No. of Years	Uniform Present Value Factor				Applicable ECOs
	Electricity	LP Gas	Non-Energy		
10	8.58	9.60	8.53		Controls
15	12.02	14.17	11.94		Lighting Systems
20	15.08	18.58	14.88		HVAC, Weatherization

## 1.6 ORGANIZATION OF DOCUMENT

This report is organized as follows:

- Section 2 summarizes the existing building and HVAC data.

- Section 3 presents the energy use of the existing baseline building.
- Section 4 contains the analysis for each individual ECO.
- Section 5 summarizes the results of the analysis and makes recommendations.
- Appendix E contains a completed Form DD-1391 for use in obtaining Federal Energy Management Program (FEMP) funding for the selected project package.

## **2. BUILDING AND HVAC DATA**

### **2.1 GENERAL**

The GEODSS Facility (Building 34568) is a windowless, concrete block structure of approximately 10,000 square feet. The building is located on the northern end of the White Sands Missile Range (WSMR) in central New Mexico. The building consists of a large central computer room surrounded by offices on the perimeter. Concrete towers at three corners of the building are topped with telescopes in movable domes. The building is occupied continuously 24 hours per day. Field survey notes and tabulated data on the building and HVAC systems is contained in Appendix B.

### **2.2 COMPUTER ROOM**

The computer room is in the center of the building with one wall exposed to the outside. The wall consists of concrete block, fiberglass batt insulation, and interior wall board. There are no windows. The built-up flat roof is insulated with an estimated 4 inches of polystyrene insulation supported by a metal deck. A drop acoustic ceiling is suspended about 3 feet below the metal deck.

The computer room contains a large quantity of computer equipment which contributes significant heat gain to the room.

The computer room is conditioned by three computer room units (CRUs) each rated at 12,000 cfm and 326 MBH cooling capacity. The CRUs are located within the computer room and distribute supply air via a perforated floor. Each CRU contains a fan, chilled water coil, electric reheat coil, and a humidifier. Room temperature is maintained in the 70° to 72°F range and relative humidity in the 35% to 50% range.

### **2.3 OFFICES**

Offices and hallways are arranged along three sides of the building. Wall and roof construction is identical to the computer room and there are no windows.

The offices contain typical office equipment such as personal computers, printers, a coffee maker, a refrigerator, vending machines, and a photocopy machine. Additionally, there is some electrical test equipment for maintaining electronic equipment associated with the telescopes.

The offices and hallways are conditioned by a single-zone HVAC system consisting of a fan supplying 4770 cfm, a chilled water cooling coil, and an electric duct heater. Outside air is specified at 26% of supply air. Room temperature is maintained in the 70° to 72°F range.

## **2.4 CONFERENCE ROOM**

A small conference room adjacent to the offices is served by a small dedicated AHU containing a chilled water cooling coil.

## **2.5 TOWERS**

Three two-story towers topped with dome-covered telescopes are located at three corners of the building. The domes are constructed of an aluminum outer skin, about 4 inches of insulation, and an inside skin of unknown construction, possibly fiberglass. The domes are equipped with a tight fabric skirt around the perimeter to limit infiltration. There is a noticeable gap around the aperture door in the dome. The walls are 12 inch cast concrete, fiberglass batt insulation, and interior wallboard. There are no windows.

Equipment in the towers consists of a rack of electronic processing equipment, the telescope drives, and the electronic camera within the telescope. The cameras are cooled by vortex cooling tubes supplied by 90 psig compressed air. Each tower has a dedicated 5 horsepower air compressor to serve the vortex tubes.

Each tower is served by a dedicated 2,000 cfm AHU. Each AHU is a once-through system in which outside air is drawn in, cooled by a chilled water cooling coil, ducted to the tower, and expelled through openings in the dome. Each room thermostat is set at 40°F, but the HVAC system is incapable of reaching this temperature given the 45°F chilled water temperature serving the cooling coil. The result is that the cooling coils are operating at full capacity during the cooling season. It is desired to keep the telescope as cool as possible to minimize stabilization time when the telescope is exposed to the cold night sky.

The AHUs serving the towers are operated from mid-April to mid-November. The AHUs are turned off in the winter.

It is unclear why the HVAC system is a once-through system; there are no ventilation requirements for the space. We originally believed the once-through system was for the purpose of pressurization, to keep dust from infiltrating through the dome. However, the system is not operated in the winter and the openings in the dome do not appear to be large enough to require 2,000 cfm of airflow for pressurization. The once-through system has insufficient capacity to cool the OA air from 95°F to 45°F.

## **2.6 CENTRAL CHILLED WATER SYSTEM**

All eight AHUs in the building are supplied with chilled water from the central chilled water system. The chilled water system consists of two 36 ton chillers coupled to two air-cooled condensers. Chilled water is supplied to HVAC cooling coils via a primary/secondary pumping arrangement.

## **2.7 LIGHTING**

Interior lighting consists of the following:

- The computer room and offices are lit with recessed fluorescent fixtures each containing two standard 40 watt T-12 fluorescent lamps powered by standard magnetic coil ballasts. Offices and hallways have been extensively delamped and most offices are equipped with occupancy sensors which automatically turn lights on and off.
- Ten exit signs are located in the building each with two 20 watt incandescent lamps.
- Twelve 150 watt floodlights serve the three towers. These floodlights are operated for only 1 to 2 hours per day.
- Nine 60 watt recessed incandescent fixtures were noted at various places in the building.
- Fifty-one small, ground-level, shaded, incandescent lamps serve walkways and parking lots around the building. These lamps are rated at 15 and 25 watts at 220 volts, but are operated at 110 volts which results in actual wattages of 7.5 and 12.5.
- The building perimeter is equipped with a high intensity security lighting system which is only activated for an intruder alert. Use of this lighting system for any other purpose is incompatible with the function of the telescopes.

## **2.8 ELECTRIC POWER**

Electric power is supplied to the GEODSS Facility by Socorro Electric. The facility is billed for electricity by the White Sands Missile Range at a rate of \$0.0821 per kWh. There is no demand charge. The rate schedule is contained in Appendix C.

The facility is metered by a dedicated electric meter. This meter was calibrated by ZIA Electrical Products as part of this study. The meter was found to be accurate within 1.0 percent in its "as found" condition. The meter was adjusted slightly during calibration for

better accuracy. Meter nameplate data, calibration data, and historical meter data is contained in Appendix C.

Total power to the facility was measured during the field survey at the main breaker. The system was drawing about 250 amps at 282 volts with a power factor of about 0.63. This extremely low power factor should be corrected because utility companies have begun to penalize customers with low power factors. There currently is no power factor penalty from the utility.

Power supplied to the computer room and electronic equipment associated with the telescopes is termed "Tech power" and is routed through a rotating Uninterrupted Power Supply (UPS) system. The UPS system consists of a 120 volt/150 kW generator coupled to a large flywheel turned by a 250 horsepower electric motor. The flywheel will provide about 17 seconds of uninterrupted power, which is sufficient time for the emergency diesel-electric generator to come on-line in the event of an interruption to commercial power. Power to the motor was measured during the field survey and found to be drawing about 85 amps at 281 volts with a power factor of about 0.45. This low power factor is probably the main reason for the low power factor at the main breaker.

The diesel-electric generator is operated during thunderstorms when there is a strong possibility of commercial power interruptions, and in the event of an actual commercial power interruption.

### **3. BASELINE ENERGY USE**

### 3.1 HISTORICAL ENERGY USE

Monthly electric energy purchased from Socorro electric and generated on site using the diesel-electric generator is indicated in Figure 3-1 below.

**Figure 3-1. Electricity Purchased and Generated Oct 1991 to Jan 1995**




Figure 3-1 is based on facility electric meter data and diesel fuel consumption data. The fuel rate for the diesel-electric generator was assumed to be 0.064 gallons per kWh based on typical fuel rates for diesel-electric generators.

The supporting energy consumption data is contained in Appendix C. The following problems were noted with the electric data:

- The electric meter failed and produced erroneous readings from May 1993 through October 1993, when it was replaced.
- The electric consumption for March 1994 appears to be in error.
- No fuel oil consumption data was supplied after January 1994.

Data for FY91 and FY92 appears consistent and accurate and was judged to be the best representation of energy consumption for the facility. Referring to FY91 and FY92 in Figure 3-1, the following comments apply:

- Monthly energy use throughout the year is fairly steady ranging from about 80 to 105 MWh per month. This is due to a high percentage of electric use going to steady loads which vary little from month to month. These include Tech power, lights, HVAC fans, and office equipment.
- Electricity is consistently low in February and March, probably a result of lower cooling loads and minimal heating loads.

### **3.2 BASELINE ENERGY SIMULATION**

The DOE2.1d building energy simulation program was used to model the building. The model used TMY weather for Truth or Consequences, New Mexico. The following methods and assumptions were made in developing a baseline energy simulation which is intended to represent the existing condition of the building:

- Lighting electric loads were based on fixture counts for each zone, incandescent lamp wattages, and fluorescent fixture wattages based on catalog data for the type of lamps and ballasts in the fixture. Fixtures which had been delamped and light circuits with occupancy sensors were taken into account. Occupancy sensors were assumed to reduce energy use by 30%. The lighting schedules were based on interviews of personnel in the building.
- Equipment electric loads from office equipment were based on the equipment inventory and handbook data containing average energy use.
- Heat gain from people was based on the occupancy schedule of the building.
- Tech power electric loads were based on electrical measurements made during the field survey. The Tech power electric loads were reported to be fairly steady. These loads were varied somewhat as a means for calibrating the model to historical

energy use. Tech power is used by the computers and electronic equipment in the computer room and by electronic equipment and the cameras in the towers.

- Air compressor electric loads were based on vortex tube flow which ranges from 5 to 15 cfm with an average at about 10 cfm. The compressors will supply about 20 cfm, and are thus about 50% loaded. The resulting average load is 2.12 kW per compressor. Vortex tubes and air compressors are operated from 3 p.m. to 7 a.m. daily.
- Fan electric loads were based on motor horsepower and motor loading. Motor loading was determined by measuring motor speed during the field survey and calculating motor slip which is proportional to motor load fraction.
- Chiller performance in terms of kW per ton was difficult to estimate. The chiller is a built-up system consisting of refrigerant compressors and air-cooled condensers from different manufacturers. The baseline air-cooled chiller was assumed to operate 1.43 kW per ton based on catalog data for a chiller of similar type and age.

Figure 3-2 below is a plot of historical and predicted electric energy use of the facility. As can be seen, there is good agreement between the model and historical data.

Figure 3-2. DOE2.1d Model vs. Historical Data




Figure 3-3 below is a graphic of the distribution of electric energy use. As can be seen miscellaneous equipment consumes about 35% of the annual energy used at the facility. Miscellaneous equipment includes computers, office equipment, electronic equipment, cameras, and the air compressors. Space cooling consumes about 43% of the annual energy. HVAC Aux, which includes fans and pumps, and lighting consumes the remaining 20%. Space heating consumes less than 2% of the annual energy.

**Figure 3-3. Energy Use Distribution**




Table 3-1 below presents the annual energy use and cost for the facility.

**Table 3-1. Facility Annual Energy**

	Annual Electric Use (MWh)	Annual Energy Cost (\$)
DOE2.1d Model	1,047	\$85,981
FY91	1,010	\$82,921
FY92	1,031	\$84,645

## **4. INDIVIDUAL ECO ANALYSIS**

This section contains a description and complete analysis for each ECO, including backup data. These ECOs are:

- ECO 1: Albedo Modification.
- ECO 2: Roof Insulation.
- ECO 3: Low-Emissivity Roof Coating.
- ECO 4: T-8 Fluorescent Lamps.
- ECO 5: Vortex Tube Cooling.
- ECO 6: High-Efficiency Motors.
- ECO 7: UPS System.
- ECO 8: Chiller Replacement.
- ECO 9: Recirculate Air in Towers.
- ECO 10: Turn Office AHU at Night.
- ECO 11: Propane Heat.
- ECO 12: Economizer.



## 4.1 ECO 1: ALBEDO MODIFICATION

**Proposed Modifications:** Repaint the building white and replace the gravel on the roof with white rock in order to reduce on the cooling load.

The ability of a building surface to reflect incoming electromagnetic radiation is called albedo. Dark building surfaces absorb heat while light surfaces reflect the heat and stay cooler. The absorptance of a surface is measured on a scale from 0 to 1, with an absorptance of 1 absorbing all of the radiation, while a surface with an absorptance of 0 reflects it all. A previous energy conservation study of a typical house in Sacramento, California, indicated that the total air-conditioning bill could be reduced by up to 22% if the absorptance of the walls and roof were decreased from 0.6 to 0.2.

**Existing Conditions:** The building, originally white, was repainted a light tan approximately two years ago. The outside doors were also changed from white to dark brown and the building's roof was also changed from white roof gravel to a medium brown roof gravel. The occupants of the building began to notice an increase in electrical consumption right after the color of the building was changed. The absorptance of the existing flat, built-up roof was assumed to be 0.6, based on the absorptance of similar colored material. The existing wall absorptivity was assumed to be 0.7.

### **Method of Analysis:**

- The DOE 2.1d baseline model was modified and the building's energy consumption was calculated for roof and wall absorptance values of 0.29 and 0.26, respectively.
- Simulations were also run for roof and wall absorptances of 0.1 versus 0.9 to see the effect of a wider range of absorptances.

**Results:** The simulations (summarized in the table below) indicated that with the proposed modifications, there would be a negligible drop in the cooling load which would be partially offset by an increase in heating load. This is due to adequate insulation in the roof and walls. The total annual energy savings was estimated at 5.2 MBtu or about 1,532 kWh which would save \$126 annually.

Item	Baseline	ECO
Roof Absorptance	0.70	0.29
Wall Absorptance	0.60	0.26
Heating (MBtu)	55	59
Cooling (MBtu)	1569	1560
HVAC (MBtu)	427	426
Lights (MBtu)	289	289
Misc. Equipment (MBtu)	1234	1234
Total Use (MBtu)	3,575	3,569

**Recommendations:** Changing the color of the walls and roof would not substantially decrease the amount of energy consumed by the building or be cost efficient. An albedo modification is not recommended. However, it is recommended that the color of the outer doors be changed for safety reasons from the current dark brown color to a lighter color that will not absorb as much heat. The doors now become so hot in the summer that building personnel have to use gloves to open the doors to avoid being burned.


EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC  
DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION  
REPORT- BEPS ESTIMATED BUILDING ENERGY PERFORMANCE

DOE-2.1D 8/7/1995 12:22:21 PDL RUN 1  
TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N

ENERGY TYPE  
IN SITE MBTU - ELECTRICITY  
CATEGORY OF USE  
SPACE HEAT 59.02  
SPACE COOL 1560.06  
HVAC AUX 426.40  
DOM HOT WTR 0.00  
AUX SOLAR 0.00  
LIGHTS 288.88  
VERT TRANS 0.00  
MISC EQUIP 1233.86  
TOTAL 3568.23

TOTAL SITE ENERGY 3568.14 MBTU 313.0 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 313.0 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
TOTAL SOURCE ENERGY 3568.14 MBTU 313.0 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 313.0 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
PERCENT OF HOURS ANY SYSTEM ZONE OUTSIDE OF THROTTLING RANGE = 0.4  
PERCENT OF HOURS ANY PLANT LOAD NOT SATISFIED = 100.0  
NOTE ELECTRICITY AND/OR FUEL USED TO GENERATE ELECTRICITY IS APPORTIONED BASED  
ON THE YEARLY DEMAND. ALL OTHER ENERGY TYPES ARE APPORTIONED HOURLY.

**TOTAL SITE ELECTRICITY ENERGY USE 3568.22 MBTU**



E M C Engineering, Inc.  
EMC #1406-008  
GEODSS Site, White Sands Missile Range, NM

LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS  
ECO # 4  
ALBEDO MODIFICATION

LCCECOS.XLS  
Prepared By: EMS  
8/8/95  
Checked By: 

Existing and Proposed Albedo Modification

CATEGORY	EXISTING		PROPOSED		SAVINGS (MBTU)
	Roof	Wall	Roof	Wall	
Absorptance	0.6	0.7	0.29	0.26	
Heating		55.1		59.0	(3.9)
Cooling		1,569.0		1,560.1	8.9
HVAC		426.6		426.4	0.2
Lights		288.8		288.9	(0.1)
Misc Equip		1,233.9		1,233.9	-
Total Use (MBtu)		3,573.4		3,568.2	5.2

Maximum and Minimum Albedo Modification

CATEGORY	HIGHEST		LOWEST		SAVINGS (MBTU)
	Roof	Wall	Roof	Wall	
Absorptance	0.90	0.90	0.10	0.10	
Heating		51.8		61.5	(9.6)
Cooling		1,578.4		1,555.5	22.9
HVAC		426.8		426.3	0.6
Lights		288.9		288.9	-
Misc Equip		1,233.9		1,233.9	0.0
Total Use (MBtu)		3,579.8		3,565.9	13.9

ABSORPTANCE for Various Exterior Surfaces\*

<u>Material</u>	<u>Absorptance</u>	<u>Paint</u>	<u>Absorptance</u>
Black concrete	0.91	Optical flat black paint	0.98
Stafford blue brick	0.89	Flat black paint	0.95
Red brick	0.88	Black lacquer	0.92
Bituminous felt	0.88	Dark gray paint	0.91
Blue gray slate	0.87	Dark blue lacquer	0.91
Roofing, green	0.86	Black oil paint	0.90
Brown concrete	0.85	Dark olive drab paint	0.89
Asphalt pavement, weathered	0.82	Dark brown paint	0.88
Wood, smooth	0.78	Dark blue-gray paint	0.88
Uncolored asbestos cement	0.75	Azure blue or dark green lacquer	0.88
Uncolored cement	0.65		
Asbestos cement, white	0.61	Medium brown paint	0.84
White marble	0.58	Medium light brown paint	0.80
Light buff brick	0.55	Brown or green lacquer	0.79
Built-up roof, white	0.50	Medium rust paint	0.78
Bituminous felt, aluminized	0.40	Light gray oil paint	0.75
Aluminum paint	0.40	Red oil paint	0.74
Gravel	0.29	Medium dull green paint	0.59
White on galvanized iron	0.26	Medium orange paint	0.58
White glazed brick	0.25	Medium yellow paint	0.57
Polished aluminum reflector sheet	0.12	Medium blue paint	0.51
Aluminized mylar film	0.10	Medium Kelly green paint	0.51
Tinned surface	0.05	Light green paint	0.47
		White semi-gloss paint	0.30
		White gloss paint	0.25
		Silver paint	0.25
		White lacquer	0.21
		Laboratory vapor deposited coatings	0.05

\*This table is a compilation of data from several sources including Passive Solar Design Analysis by J. Douglas Balcomb (US Department of Energy, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Conservation and Solar Energy, December 1979) and Ref. 3



## 4.2 ECO 2: ROOF INSULATION

**Proposed Modifications:** This ECO analysis determines the optimum thickness of rigid insulation in the roof of the building.

It is assumed that any modification to roof insulation will occur only during scheduled roof repair and/or replacement. Therefore, the only cost involved will be the material and labor cost to install the incremental thickness of rigid, polystyrene insulation. The time and labor cost of any demolition of the existing roof or the built-up roofing over the insulation was not included in the analysis.

This ECO determines the optimum balance between the energy savings and the material and labor costs of various thicknesses of rigid insulation on the roof.

**Existing Conditions:** The building roof consists of built-up roofing on 4 inches of rigid insulation supported by a metal deck. Beneath the roof deck is a 4 to 5 foot air space and an acoustic tile suspended ceiling. This air space is not used as a plenum for return air flow.

**Method of Analysis:** Analysis proceeded as follows:


- The roof construction was determined from the building plans.
- The building was then modeled on DOE2.1d with insulation thicknesses ranging from 0 inches to 6 inches of rigid polystyrene roof insulation. The building energy consumption was calculated for each 1 inch increment of insulation.
- Using industry construction cost data, the material and labor costs for installing each insulation thickness were calculated.
- A life cycle cost analysis was performed for each thickness of insulation and the optimum thickness was then determined.

**Results:** The following table presents the results of the computer energy simulations. The LCCA on page 4-12 presents the results of the analysis for six different thicknesses of rigid roof insulation. The optimal insulation thickness is 2 inches, as seen on the graph of roof insulation thickness vs. the LCCA on page 4-11. In summary, additional roof insulation would not be cost effective.

Item	Equipment (MBtu)	Lights (MBtu)	HVAC Aux (MBtu)	Space Heat (Mbtu)	Space Cool (Mbtu)	Total (MBtu)
0" Roof Insulation	1233.9	288.9	427.7	103.9	1587.8	3,642.2
1" Roof Insulation	1233.9	288.9	427.0	76.4	1577.1	3,604.0
2" Roof Insulation	1233.9	288.7	427.0	64.9	1572.3	3,586.8
3" Roof Insulation	1233.9	288.9	426.7	58.9	1570.2	3,578.5
4" Roof Insulation	1233.9	288.9	426.6	55.1	1568.95	3,573.4
5" Roof Insulation	1233.9	288.9	426.6	52.5	1567.4	3,569.3
6" Roof Insulation	1233.9	288.9	426.6	50.7	1566.93	3,567.0

**Recommendations:** Since the building roof already contains 4 inches of rigid polystyrene insulation, any modification to existing roof insulation is unnecessary and not cost effective.

### Roof Insulation Thickness vs. Life Cycle Cost



Economic Life (yrs)	Electric Energy Cost	
20	0.0821	\$/kWh

Construction Cost - Roof Insulation Replacement

Building No.	34568						
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Roof Insulation Thickness (inches)	4.50	9.00	13.50	18.00	22.50	25.00	29.50
Roof Area (sqft)	9413.33	9413.33	9413.33	9413.33	9413.33	9413.33	9413.33
Material Cost Per sqft	0	0.69	0.95	1.21	1.47	2.16	2.42
Total Material Cost	\$0	\$6,495	\$8,943	\$11,390	\$13,838	\$20,333	\$22,780
Labor Hours Per SqFt	0.000	0.005	0.006	0.008	0.008	0.010	0.012
Labor Rate	0.00	47.07	56.48	75.31	75.31	94.13	112.96
Total Labor Cost	\$0	\$1,038	\$1,245	\$1,661	\$1,661	\$2,076	\$2,491
Total Cost	\$0	\$7,533	\$10,188	\$13,051	\$15,498	\$22,408	\$25,271
Overhead and Profit, Contingency, etc.	\$0	\$4,188	\$5,664	\$7,256	\$8,616	\$12,458	\$14,049
Total Project Cost	\$0	\$11,721	\$15,852	\$20,306	\$24,114	\$34,866	\$39,320


Rigid Roof Insulation Thickness	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>Investment Costs</b>							
Construction Cost	\$0	\$11,721	\$15,852	\$20,306	\$24,114	\$34,866	\$39,320
SIOH (6.0%)	\$0	\$703	\$951	\$1,218	\$1,447	\$2,092	\$2,359
Design Cost (6.0%)	\$0	\$703	\$951	\$1,218	\$1,447	\$2,092	\$2,359
Total Construction Cost	\$0	\$13,128	\$17,754	\$22,743	\$27,008	\$39,050	\$44,039
Total Investment	\$0	\$13,128	\$17,754	\$22,743	\$27,008	\$39,050	\$44,039
<b>Annual Energy Use</b>							
Electric Energy (kWh)	1,067,393	1,056,005	1,051,181	1,048,770	1,047,272	1,046,005	1,045,006
Electric Demand (kW)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Annual Energy Cost</b>							
Electric Energy (kWh)	\$87,633	\$86,698	\$86,302	\$86,104	\$85,981	\$85,877	\$85,795
Electric Demand (kW)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Discount Factors (Region 4)</b>							
Electric Energy	15.08	15.08	15.08	15.08	15.08	15.08	15.08
Electric Demand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Discounted Energy Cost</b>							
Electric Energy	\$1,321,505	\$1,307,406	\$1,301,434	\$1,298,449	\$1,296,594	\$1,295,025	\$1,293,788
Electric Demand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Discounted Cost	\$1,321,505	\$1,307,406	\$1,301,434	\$1,298,449	\$1,296,594	\$1,295,025	\$1,293,788
Total Life Cycle Cost	\$1,321,505	\$1,320,534	\$1,319,188	\$1,321,191	\$1,323,602	\$1,334,076	\$1,337,827

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION  
 REPORT- BEPS ESTIMATED BUILDING ENERGY PERFORMANCE  
 DOE-2.1D 8/7/1995 14:27:35 PDL RUN 1  
 TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N

ENERGY TYPE IN SITE MBTU - ELECTRICITY	
CATEGORY OF USE	
SPACE HEAT	103.87
SPACE COOL	1587.78
HVAC AUX	427.69
DOM HOT WTR	0.00
AUX SOLAR	0.00
LIGHTS	288.88
VERT TRANS	0.00
MISC EQUIP	1233.86
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3642.08</b>

TOTAL SITE ENERGY 3642.09 MBTU 319.5 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 319.5 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
 TOTAL SOURCE ENERGY 3642.09 MBTU 319.5 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 319.5 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
 PERCENT OF HOURS ANY SYSTEM ZONE OUTSIDE OF THROTTLING RANGE = 1.3  
 PERCENT OF HOURS ANY PLANT LOAD NOT SATISFIED = 100.0  
 NOTE ELECTRICITY AND/OR FUEL USED TO GENERATE ELECTRICITY IS APPORTIONED BASED  
 ON THE YEARLY DEMAND. ALL OTHER ENERGY TYPES ARE APPORTIONED HOURLY.

### TOTAL SITE ELECTRICITY ENERGY USE 3642.08 MBTU




EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 14:20:29 PDL RUN 1  
DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION  
REPORT- BEPS ESTIMATED BUILDING ENERGY PERFORMANCE TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N

ENERGY TYPE  
IN SITE MBTU - ELECTRICITY  
CATEGORY OF USE  
SPACE HEAT 76.39  
SPACE COOL 1577.06  
HVAC AUX 427.04  
DOM HOT WTR 0.00  
AUX SOLAR 0.00  
LIGHTS 288.88  
VERT TRANS 0.00  
MISC EQUIP 1233.86  
TOTAL 3603.23

TOTAL SITE ENERGY 3603.18 MBTU 316.1 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 316.1 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
TOTAL SOURCE ENERGY 3603.18 MBTU 316.1 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 316.1 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
PERCENT OF HOURS ANY SYSTEM ZONE OUTSIDE OF THROTTLING RANGE = 0.7  
PERCENT OF HOURS ANY PLANT LOAD NOT SATISFIED =100.0  
NOTE ELECTRICITY AND/OR FUEL USED TO GENERATE ELECTRICITY IS APPORTIONED BASED  
ON THE YEARLY DEMAND. ALL OTHER ENERGY TYPES ARE APPORTIONED HOURLY.

**TOTAL SITE ELECTRICITY ENERGY USE 3603.23 MBTU**




EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC  
DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION  
REPORT- BEPS ESTIMATED BUILDING ENERGY PERFORMANCE

DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 14:13:20 PDL RUN 1  
TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N

ENERGY TYPE  
IN SITE MBTU - ELECTRICITY  
CATEGORY OF USE  
SPACE HEAT 64.96  
SPACE COOL 1572.27  
HVAC AUX 426.82  
DOM HOT WTR 0.00  
AUX SOLAR 0.00  
LIGHTS 288.88  
VERT TRANS 0.00  
MISC EQUIP 1233.86  
TOTAL 3586.79

TOTAL SITE ENERGY 3586.71 MBTU 314.7 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 314.7 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
TOTAL SOURCE ENERGY 3586.71 MBTU 314.7 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 314.7 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
PERCENT OF HOURS ANY SYSTEM ZONE OUTSIDE OF THROTTLING RANGE = 0.5  
PERCENT OF HOURS ANY PLANT LOAD NOT SATISFIED =100.0  
NOTE ELECTRICITY AND/OR FUEL USED TO GENERATE ELECTRICITY IS APPORTIONED BASED  
ON THE YEARLY DEMAND. ALL OTHER ENERGY TYPES ARE APPORTIONED HOURLY.

**TOTAL SITE ELECTRICITY ENERGY USE 3586.79 MBTU**




EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 14: 6: 1 PDL RUN 1  
DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION  
REPORT- BEPS ESTIMATED BUILDING ENERGY PERFORMANCE TRUTH OR CONSEQU. N

ENERGY TYPE  
IN SITE MBTU - ELECTRICITY  
CATEGORY OF USE  
SPACE HEAT 58.92  
SPACE COOL 1570.20  
HVAC AUX 426.70  
DOM HOT WTR 0.00  
AUX SOLAR 0.00  
LIGHTS 288.88  
VERT TRANS 0.00  
MISC EQUIP 1233.86  
TOTAL 3578.56

TOTAL SITE ENERGY 3578.48 MBTU 313.9 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 313.9 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
TOTAL SOURCE ENERGY 3578.48 MBTU 313.9 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 313.9 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
PERCENT OF HOURS ANY SYSTEM ZONE OUTSIDE OF THROTTLING RANGE = 0.4  
PERCENT OF HOURS ANY PLANT LOAD NOT SATISFIED =100.0  
NOTE ELECTRICITY AND/OR FUEL USED TO GENERATE ELECTRICITY IS APPORTIONED BASED  
ON THE YEARLY DEMAND. ALL OTHER ENERGY TYPES ARE APPORTIONED HOURLY.


**TOTAL SITE ELECTRICITY ENERGY USE 3578.56 MBTU**



ENERGY TYPE IN SITE MBTU -	ELECTRICITY
CATEGORY OF USE	
SPACE HEAT	55.12
SPACE COOL	1568.95
HVAC AUX	426.63
DOM HOT WTR	0.00
AUX SOLAR	0.00
LIGHTS	288.88
VERT TRANS	0.00
MISC EQUIP	1233.86
TOTAL	3573.45

TOTAL SITE ENERGY 3573.36 MBTU 313.5 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 313.5 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
 TOTAL SOURCE ENERGY 3573.36 MBTU 313.5 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 313.5 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
 PERCENT OF HOURS ANY SYSTEM ZONE OUTSIDE OF THROTTLING RANGE = 0.4  
 PERCENT OF HOURS ANY PLANT LOAD NOT SATISFIED =100.0  
 NOTE ELECTRICITY AND/OR FUEL USED TO GENERATE ELECTRICITY IS APPORTIONED BASED  
 ON THE YEARLY DEMAND. ALL OTHER ENERGY TYPES ARE APPORTIONED HOURLY.


### TOTAL SITE ELECTRICITY ENERGY USE 3573.44 MBTU



ENERGY TYPE IN SITE MBTU -	ELECTRICITY
CATEGORY OF USE	
SPACE HEAT	52.53
SPACE COOL	1567.42
HVAC AUX	426.59
DOM HOT WTR	0.00
AUX SOLAR	0.00
LIGHTS	288.88
VERT TRANS	0.00
MISC EQUIP	1233.86
TOTAL	3569.28

TOTAL SITE ENERGY 3569.18 MBTU 313.1 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 313.1 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
 TOTAL SOURCE ENERGY 3569.18 MBTU 313.1 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 313.1 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
 PERCENT OF HOURS ANY SYSTEM ZONE OUTSIDE OF THROTTLING RANGE = 0.4  
 PERCENT OF HOURS ANY PLANT LOAD NOT SATISFIED = 100.0  
 NOTE ELECTRICITY AND/OR FUEL USED TO GENERATE ELECTRICITY IS APPORTIONED BASED  
 ON THE YEARLY DEMAND. ALL OTHER ENERGY TYPES ARE APPORTIONED HOURLY.


**TOTAL SITE ELECTRICITY ENERGY USE 3569.27 MBTU**



ENERGY TYPE  
IN SITE MBTU - ELECTRICITY  
CATEGORY OF USE  
SPACE HEAT 50.65  
SPACE COOL 1566.93  
HVAC AUX 426.55  
DOM HOT WTR 0.00  
AUX SOLAR 0.00  
LIGHTS 288.88  
VERT TRANS 0.00  
MISC EQUIP 1233.86  
TOTAL 3566.86

TOTAL SITE ENERGY 3566.78 MBTU 312.9 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 312.9 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
TOTAL SOURCE ENERGY 3566.78 MBTU 312.9 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 312.9 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
PERCENT OF HOURS ANY SYSTEM ZONE OUTSIDE OF THROTTLING RANGE = 0.4  
PERCENT OF HOURS ANY PLANT LOAD NOT SATISFIED = 100.0  
NOTE ELECTRICITY AND/OR FUEL USED TO GENERATE ELECTRICITY IS APPORTIONED BASED  
ON THE YEARLY DEMAND. ALL OTHER ENERGY TYPES ARE APPORTIONED HOURLY.

**TOTAL SITE ELECTRICITY ENERGY USE 3566.87 MBTU**



# 072 | Insulation and Fireproofing

TOTAL INCL O&P	ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	DAILY OUTPUT	MAN-HOURS	UNIT	1995 BARE COSTS				TOTAL INCL O&P	
							MAT.	LABOR	EQUIP.	TOTAL		
15	1755	3 1/2" thick R25 Tapered for drainage	1 Rofc	1,000	.008	S.F.	.85	.18		1.03	1.26 203	
	1765			↓	1,400	.006	B.F.	.41	.13	.54		
45	1900	Extruded Polystyrene	1 Rofc	1,500	.005	S.F.	.29	.12		.41	.54	
45	1910	15 PSI compressive strength, 1" thick R5		1,250	.006		.52	.14		.66	.83	
56	1920	2" thick R10		1,000	.008		.73	.18		.91	1.12	
52	1930	3" thick R15		1,000	.005		.97	.18		1.15	1.39	
25	1932	4" thick R20		1,500	.005	B.F.	.34	.12		.46	.59	
81	1934	Tapered for drainage		1,500	.005	S.F.	.31	.12		.43	.56	
44	1940	25 PSI compressive strength, 1" thick R5		1,250	.006		.57	.14		.71	.89	
40	1942	2" thick R10		1,000	.008		.83	.18		1.01	1.23	
60	1944	3" thick R15		1,000	.008		1.09	.18		1.27	1.52	
56	1946	4" thick R20		1,500	.005	B.F.	.38	.12		.50	.64	
25	1948	Tapered for drainage		1,500	.005	S.F.	.36	.12		.48	.62	
81	1950	40 PSI compressive strength, 1" thick R5		1,500	.005							
99	1952	2" thick R10		1,250	.006		.68	.14		.82	1.01	
55	1954	3" thick R15		1,000	.008		1	.18		1.18	1.42	
54	1956	4" thick R20		1,000	.008		1.32	.18		1.50	1.77	
69	1958	Tapered for drainage		1,400	.006	B.F.	.48	.13		.61	.76	
106	1960	60 PSI compressive strength, 1" thick R5		1,450	.006	S.F.	.42	.12		.54	.68	
41	1962	2" thick R10		1,200	.007		.78	.15		.93	1.13	
84	1964	3" thick R15		975	.008		1.15	.18		1.33	1.60	
	1966	4" thick R20		950	.008		1.53	.19		1.72	2.02	
	1968	Tapered for drainage		1,400	.006	B.F.	.58	.13		.71	.87	
	1970	115 PSI compressive strength, 1" thick R5		1,400	.006	S.F.	.90	.13		1.03	1.22	
	1972	2" thick R10		1,150	.007		1.78	.15		1.93	2.24	
	1974	3" thick R15		950	.008		2.65	.19		2.84	3.26	
	1976	4" thick R20		900	.009		3.53	.20		3.73	4.24	
	1978	Tapered for drainage		1,400	.006	B.F.	.97	.13		1.10	1.30	
75	2010	Expanded polystyrene, 1#/CF density, 3/4" thick R2.89		1,500	.005	S.F.	.16	.12		.28		
97	2020	1" thick R3.85		1,500	.005		.16	.12		.28	.40	
112	2100	2" thick R7.69		1,250	.006		.27	.14		.41	.56	
52	2110	3" thick R11.49		1,250	.006		.39	.14		.53	.69	
77	2120	4" thick R15.38		1,200	.007		.50	.15		.65	.82	
106	2130	5" thick R19.23		1,150	.007		.63	.15		.78	.97	
72	2140	6" thick R23.26		1,150	.007		.74	.15		.89	1.09	
83	2150	Tapered for drainage		1,500	.005	B.F.	.28	.12		.40	.53	
96	2400	Composites with 2" EPS										
121	2410	1" Fiberboard	1 Rofc	950	.008	S.F.	.58	.19		.77	.98	
131	2420	7/16" Oriented strand board		800	.010		.68	.22		.90	1.16	
146	2430	1/2" Plywood		800	.010		.72	.22		.94	1.20	
108	2440	1" Perlite		↓	800	.010	↓	.60	.22		.82	1.07
105	2450	Composites with 1 1/2" polyisocyanurate										
307	2460	1" Fiberboard	1 Rofc	800	.010	S.F.	.70	.22		.92	1.18	
145	2470	1" Perlite		850	.009		.72	.21		.93	1.17	
99	2480	7/16" Oriented strand board		↓	800	.010	↓	.82	.22		1.04	1.31
72												
77	0010	SANDWICH PANELS See division 061-281									401	
123	0012	EXTERIOR INSULATION FINISH SYSTEM									402	
91	0100	Field applied, 1" EPS insulation	J-1	295	.136	S.F.	1.46	3	.13	4.59	6.70	
50	0110	2" EPS insulation		295	.136		1.63	3	.13	4.76	6.90	
59	0120	3" EPS insulation		295	.136		1.80	3	.13	4.93	7.10	
56	0130	4" EPS insulation		295	.136		1.97	3	.13	5.10	7.27	
109	0140	Premium finish add		↓	1,265	.032	↓	.22	.70	.03	.95	1.43

### **4.3 ECO 3: LOW EMISSIVITY ROOF COATING**

**Proposed Modifications:** Install a low emissivity roof coating on the outer and underside of the roof in order to reduce the cooling load.

A low emissivity coating on the underside of the roof forms a radiant barrier that restricts the transfer of heat across the airspace. A low emissivity surface does not radiate energy, thus preventing radiant heat transfer. The barrier should be installed shiny side down so that dust will not collect on it and cause its effectiveness to be reduced. It also needs to have an airspace separating the shiny side from other building materials so that it will effectively eliminate the exchange of heat between itself and the other material. This will reduce the amount of heat that is transferred between building components and lessen the cooling load.

The product evaluated is LO/MIT-1, a silver-colored, low emissivity coating that reflects both heat and light. It is a radiant barrier coating that will create a surface emissivity of 0.21 - 0.26 with an 81% - 85% reflectivity. When placed on the outer surface at the roof, the coating reduces solar heat gain.

**Existing Conditions:** No type of radiant barrier exists now. The roof is a built-up type supported by 4 inches of rigid polystyrene insulation on a metal deck with a suspended acoustic tile ceiling that hangs 4 to 5 feet below the bottom of the roof.

#### **Method of Analysis:**

- Information was obtained from several Denver area roofing contractors on various reflective and light-colored roofing materials. The information included technical data on the material's absorptance as well as material and labor costs for installation or application.
- Information was also received from the USAED in Mobile, Alabama, concerning low emissivity roof coatings.
- The DOE2.1d baseline simulation was modified to include the low emissivity coating. The building energy consumption was calculated with the low emissivity coating in-place.

**Results:** The computer energy simulation revealed that a slight drop occurs in the cooling and heating loads of 3.1 MBtu or 900 kWh annually with a resulting annual energy cost savings of \$74.

Item	Baseline (MBtu)	ECO (MBtu)
Heating	55	53
Cooling	1569	1568
HVAC	427	427
Lights	289	289
Misc. Equipment	1234	1234
Total Use (MBtu)	3,574	3,571

**Recommendations:** A low-emissivity roof coating is not recommended because the savings are too small for this to be a cost-effective ECO.


EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC  
DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION  
REPORT- BEPS ESTIMATED BUILDING ENERGY PERFORMANCE

DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 12:54:53 PDL RUN 1  
TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N

ENERGY TYPE  
IN SITE MBTU - ELECTRICITY  
CATEGORY OF USE  
SPACE HEAT 53.31  
SPACE COOL 1567.73  
HVAC AUX 426.59  
DOM HOT WTR 0.00  
AUX SOLAR 0.00  
LIGHTS 288.88  
VERT TRANS 0.00  
MISC EQUIP 1233.86  
TOTAL 3570.38

TOTAL SITE ENERGY 3570.29 MBTU 313.2 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 313.2 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
TOTAL SOURCE ENERGY 3570.29 MBTU 313.2 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 313.2 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
PERCENT OF HOURS ANY SYSTEM ZONE OUTSIDE OF THROTTLING RANGE = 0.4  
PERCENT OF HOURS ANY PLANT LOAD NOT SATISFIED =100.0  
NOTE ELECTRICITY AND/OR FUEL USED TO GENERATE ELECTRICITY IS APPORTIONED BASED  
ON THE YEARLY DEMAND. ALL OTHER ENERGY TYPES ARE APPORTIONED HOURLY.

**TOTAL SITE ELECTRICITY ENERGY USE 3570.37 MBTU**



E M C Engineering, Inc.  
EMC #1406-008  
GEODSS Site, White Sands Missile Range, NM

LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS  
LOW EMISSIVITY COATING

ECO-3.XLS  
Prepared By: EMS  
11/20/95  
Checked By: \_\_\_\_\_

Economic Life(Years)	10
----------------------	----

Simulation	Energy Consumed (MBTU)	Energy Consumed (kWh)
Baseline Model	3573.45	1047011.40
LowEmissivity Coating	3570.37	1046190.60
Savings	3.08	902.4
Cost Savings		\$74,087

Annual Electric Energy Savings (kWh)	902.4
Total Annual Energy Cost Savings	\$74,087

Florida Solar Energy Center, Cape Canaveral, (407) 763-0300



## RADIANT BARRIER COATING

### For Energy Conservation and Light Reflection

LO/MIT-I is a silver colored, non-thickness dependent, low emissivity coating. Its superb ability to reflect both heat (infrared radiation) and light make it an excellent, low cost substitute for metallic foils or metallized plastic films. High temperature tolerance, excellent adhesion and the ability to produce uniformly low emissivities on a wide variety of substrates make LO/MIT-I unique in the field of high technology coatings.

#### OPTICAL CHARACTERISTICS

Laboratory application of LO/MIT-I on glass substrates has lowered emissivity from .88 to .22 and increased spectral reflectivity from 7.3% to 85%. LO/MIT-I can be applied to a wide variety of substrates and normally will create a surface emissivity of .21-.26, and a spectral reflectivity of 81%-85%, depending on the substrate used. The chart on the rear of this bulletin shows optical properties on specific materials.

#### CONSTITUENTS

Aromatic hydrocarbons, aliphatic ketones, proprietary pigments and binders.

#### SOLVENT

Solsolv 301 or xylene.

#### VISCOSITY

28 seconds #1 Zahn's cup.

#### HARDNESS

Extremely strong 3H hardness after 24 hour room temperature cure. Hardness increases with age.

#### DEGRADATION & OUTGASSING

Unaffected by UV or elevated temperatures. Thermally tolerant to 1000° F (538°C). No outgassing when correctly cured.

#### COVERAGE

400-800 square feet/gallon, depending on surface and application method.

#### CLEAN UP

Clean application equipment with Solsolv 301 or Xylene. Use Isopropyl Alcohol for operator clean up and removal from clothing.

#### MIXING

Coating supplied ready for use. No thinning is required or suggested. Shake well before using. If possible, agitate during application.

#### SURFACE PREPARATION

Normally, adhesion is the only factor that will be affected by surface preparation. Optical properties will remain constant except on surfaces that are very porous such as brick and cement. To improve optical properties on porous substrates, appropriate fillers and primers may be used to increase surface smoothness. This will also increase coverage. On metallic substrates, such as cold rolled or galvanized steel, that may be subject to possible corrosion or oxidation, appropriate primers should be used before applying LO/MIT-I. Where a surface is already primed or painted, apply a test patch of LO/MIT-I to ascertain that the prepared surface is compatible with the solvents used in LO/MIT-I. Plastics may require surface treatment to increase adhesion and should be tested for compatibility with LO/MIT-I. Most building materials, such as wood, plasterboard, paper faced insulation batts, fibrous ceiling tiles and painted metal roof decking require no surface preparation except that they be clean and dust free. Masonry surfaces should be allowed to cure for one month prior to the application of LO/MIT-I.

Any surface preparation questions not answered in this section should be referred to our Technical Services Department.

#### APPLICATION

**Air Atomization:** Use DeVilbiss pressure gun #JGA-502-704-FX; gun pressure of 30 psi (2.11 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>); tank pressure of 4-8 psi (.14-.42 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>). Remote paint supply pots should be equipped with an air driven agitator to keep coating thoroughly mixed during application. -OR-DeVilbiss suction gun #JGA-502-43-FF, gun pressure of 25 psi (1.76 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>). Needle adjustment = 1/2 open. Hold spray gun 8-14" from work. Spraying at the lower pressure (25-30 psi) indicated will lessen overspray and effect better coverage. Use 2 horsepower or larger compressor.

**Airless and Electrostatic:** Test airless and electrostatic equipment for compatibility with LO/MIT-I before using. Remote paint supply pots should be equipped with an air driven agitator to keep coating thoroughly mixed during application.

**Portable Compression Sprayer:** The SOLEC Model LS-1 portable compression sprayer is a low cost, self-contained coating application device for the field application of LO/MIT-I to roof decks, cinder block walls, attics, or new construction where power is unavailable. Ask for Bulletin LS-1.

**Brush and Roller:** LO/MIT-I may also be applied using a solvent resistant paintbrush or roller. However, coverage may be substantially reduced.

**Note:** Good ventilation is necessary for operator safety and drying and curing of the applied coating.

#### DRYING AND CURE

Coating will skin dry within one minute after application. Drying to touch will generally occur within 15 minutes to one hour depending on ambient temperature and humidity. Curing can be accelerated by application of heat up to 500°F (260°C) for 4 to 30 minutes. Experimentation will determine the best curing procedures for your particular environment.

#### STORAGE

Keep at room temperature in tightly sealed container. Keep out of direct sunlight to avoid pressure increase in container. Full containers will remain usable for 1 year from date of manufacture.

#### CAUTION

Contains flammable solvents. Do not expose to elevated heat or open flames. Use with adequate ventilation and avoid excessive breathing of vapor or spray mist. Avoid contact with eyes. OSHA regulations. Sections 1915.24—Painting, 1915.25—Flammable Liquids and 1915.82—Respiratory Protection give additional helpful safety suggestions.

#### FIRST AID

Remove from skin using Isopropyl alcohol and warm soapy water. In case of contact with eyes, flush with clean water for at least 15 minutes and get medical attention. If swallowed, get immediate medical attention. If headache, dizziness or nausea result from excessive inhalation of vapors, remove to fresh air and administer oxygen if necessary.

**SOLAR ENERGY CORPORATION, BOX 3065, PRINCETON, NJ 08543-3065, U.S.A.**

**PACKAGING**

Steel containers. Quarts, gallons, 5 gallon tight head pails. Weights including containers: Quart (.95 liters) = 2.5 lbs. (1.13 kilos), Gallons (3.79 liters) = 8.2 lbs. (4.24 kilos), 5 gallons (18.93 liters) = 42.5 lbs. (21.66 kilos).

**ORDERING AND PRICING INFORMATION**

Contact factory at 609-883-7700 for name of your local distributor, pricing and availability. F.O.B. Ewing, N.J. Shipping and packaging extra. Available for export.

Terms: Net 30 days for D&B rated firms.

**U.S. GOVERNMENT PURCHASERS:**

LO/MIT-I is available through GSA: Contract #TFTC-88-CK-NIIS-01 effective 7/1/89-Section Heading: 80 Brushes, Paint, Sealers & Adhesives. GSA, Proc. Div. (9FTP10-C-M) GSA Center, Auburn, WA 98001.

**TECHNICAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT**

Contact factory at 609-883-7700, 9-6 pm, EST or fax 609-497-0182, 24 hours a day.

**ACCESSORIES & ADDITIONAL PRODUCTS**

LS-1, Modified Compression Sprayer, a low cost, self-contained, coating application device.

SOLKOTE HI/SORB-II, spray applied selective coating.

SOLKLEAN 101, Production metal cleaner.

SOLKLEAN 201, Water based aluminum conversion coating.

SOLSOLV 301, Low cost replacement solvent for Xylene.

ISOPROPYL ALCOHOL, For clean-up of LO/MIT-I coatings.

**IMPORTANT NOTICE TO PURCHASER**

This bulletin is an introductory summary of LO/MIT-I Radiant Barrier Coating. The information provided is based upon typical installation conditions and tests we believe to be reliable. However, due to a wide variety of possible use conditions, SOLEC does not guarantee that typical values expressed will necessarily be obtained. The following is made in lieu of warranties, expressed or implied, including merchantability.

Seller's only obligation shall be to replace such quantity of the product proved to be defective. Seller shall not be liable for any injury, loss or damage, direct or consequential, arising out of the use of or inability to use the product. Before using, user shall determine the suitability of the product for their intended use, and user assumes all risk and liability whatsoever in connection therewith.

No statement or recommendation shall have any force or effect unless in an agreement signed by officers of seller and user.

**RESEARCH FACILITIES**

The Solar Energy Corporation maintains a complete laboratory for the analysis of optical coatings. Our low cost services for the analysis of optical surfaces are used by many large manufacturers. Please contact us for prices.

**LO/MIT/NOTES**

The Solar Energy Corporation maintains a continuing research program in spray applied optical surfaces. Pertinent data is published in the form of bulletins called LO/MIT/NOTES. These bulletins are available, free to our customers and other interested parties. Please write us to have your name placed on our mailing list.

**OPTICAL PROPERTIES OF SELECTED SUBSTRATES**

Substrate	Emissivity Before LO/MIT Applied	Emissivity After LO/MIT Applied	Diffuse Reflectivity Before LO/MIT Applied	Diffuse Reflectivity After LO/MIT Applied
brick (red clay)	.92	.36	36%	71%
cement block	.93	.37	32	68
glass (soda lime)	.86	.22	7.3	85
galvanized steel (bright)	.03	.25	77	84
galvanized steel (dull paint job)	.57	.26	15	82
paper (kraft)	.80	.24	48	81
plasterboard	.90	.21	55	85
plywood	.72	.22	46	81
poly carbonate (clear)	.84	.22	8.6	84
polypropylene (opaque)	.90	.23	8.1	84
steel, cold rolled, primed	.87	.25	22	83
steel, cold rolled, unprimed	.10	.23	57	84
steel, 316 stainless	.19	.23	59	84

**LO/MIT-I Application Ideas****Aircraft**

LO/MIT-I is extremely lightweight (less than .05 oz./ft.<sup>2</sup>). It may be effectively used as a heat shield on many aircraft components including wiring harnesses, cowlings, fire walls and electronic components. It is also an excellent coating for balloon fabrics.

**Automotive**

LO/MIT-I may be used as a low cost, lightweight heat shield on many automotive components including wiring harnesses, battery boxes, exhaust systems, air conditioning ducts, fire walls, intake manifolds, fuel pumps, rubber hoses, shock absorber boots, floor pans, electronic and plastic components.

**Building and Construction**

LO/MIT-I is a low cost substitute for metallic or metallized plastic foils. Wherever these products are used for energy conservation in new or retrofit construction, spray application of LO/MIT-I will generally prove to be as effective at half the cost in many instances, where it may be impractical to staple or tack reflective radiant barriers. LO/MIT-I may be easily spray applied.

**Daylighting**

Since LO/MIT-I exhibits a high diffuse reflectivity on many building materials, it may be effectively used to enhance daylighting and lower illumination costs.

**Energy Conservation**

The use of LO/MIT-I on ceiling and wall surfaces can result in substantial heating and cooling energy savings. (See Radiant Barriers, Building and Construction, Metal Buildings.) Also, in factory buildings and warehouses, the application of LO/MIT-I to interior ceiling surfaces may raise winter radiant temperatures and increase ceiling reflectivity, thereby lowering both heating and lighting costs.

**Metal Buildings**

LO/MIT-I, when applied to the exterior of metal buildings, has been shown to lessen building skin temperatures in excess of 30°F (16°C) in 95°F (35°C) ambient environments. This can lead to substantial decreases in heating and air conditioning costs.

**Ovens, Process Piping, Power Generation Equipment**

LO/MIT-I when applied to the exterior surfaces of boilers, ovens or

temperature process piping can effectively block thermal radiation and may lead to substantial efficiency increases.

**Plastics**

Whenever plastics are subjected to elevated temperatures, surface application of LO/MIT-I may lessen degradation due to adverse thermal environments. In many cases, lower cost and lower weight plastics may be used when they are coated with LO/MIT-I.

**Radiant Barriers**

Recent tests by the Florida Solar Energy Center (FSEC) indicate that the role of radiant heat transfer, particularly in hot, sunny climates, may be much more important than recently recognized. In these climates, heat gain prevention is often more critical to the energy performance of a building than stopping heat loss. Application of LO/MIT-I to the underside of roofs and cavity wall surfaces creates an extremely effective radiant barrier that may lead to substantial energy savings at lower installed per square foot costs than aluminum foil or metallized plastic films.

**Reflectors**

LO/MIT-I exhibits excellent diffuse reflectivity on many substrates. It may be used as a low cost reflective surface in lighting fixtures, control panels and many other applications where reflectivity is needed.

**Roof Coating**

LO/MIT-I will lower roof skin temperatures 20-40°F. It is unaffected by UV radiation and highly reflective to infrared. It will greatly extend roof life and may be brushed, rolled or spray applied to bitumen, PVC, rubber, asphalt, tar and gravel, foam, shingle, tile, steel and most other roofing surfaces. It is hydrophobic and tends to be self-cleaning. Field testing in Southern climates has shown energy savings from 15% to in excess of 30% when LO/MIT-I is used as a reflective roof coating.

**Selective Surfaces**

High emissivity surfaces such as glass or cement, when coated with LO/MIT-I, exhibit low emissivities of .22-.30. By overcoating the LO/MIT-I surface with SOLKOTE Hi/Sorb-II spray applied selective coating, a semi-selective surface exhibiting emissivities of .42-.50 and absorbtivities of 95 to 97% may be achieved. At an installed cost of 12 cents per square foot, substantial cost savings can be achieved over the use of selective metal films.

#### **4.4 ECO 4: T-8 FLUORESCENT LIGHTING**

**Proposed Modifications:** Install high-efficiency T-8 fluorescent lamps driven by high frequency electronic ballasts into existing fixtures..

T-8 fluorescent lamps use rare earth phosphors to increase the lumen efficiency of the lamp. T-8 fluorescent lamps will not operate off standard or energy-saving magnetic ballasts, although there is a rapid-start magnetic ballast available specifically designed for T-8 fluorescent lamps. However, T-8 fluorescent lamps are most effective when used with high frequency, electronic ballasts which increase lumen efficiency in addition to minimizing ballast energy consumption.

**Existing Conditions:** Fluorescent lighting fixtures in the building are equipped with standard 40 Watt lamps and Magnetek magnetic ballasts.

#### **Method of Analysis:**

- The number and type of lighting fixtures in the building were tabulated during the field survey. They were used to develop input data for the Baseline energy simulation program and as a basis for cost estimates. Existing lighting fixture wattage was estimated based on fixture manufacturer's data.
- Lighting schedules were obtained from building managers at the time of the field survey.
- Lighting fixture wattage for T-8 fluorescent lamps and ballasts was estimated from lamp manufacturer's data. Total lighting electrical use with the T-8 fluorescent lighting modification was computed for the building.
- Annual electric energy savings were calculated by modifying the Baseline DOE2.1d computer simulation with the T-8 fluorescent lighting parameters, and subtracting the modified baseline computer simulation from the baseline computer simulation. The DOE2.1d model automatically calculates reductions in cooling loads and increases in heating loads to give an overall energy savings with the T-8 fluorescent lighting in-place.
- Any fixtures that are presently delamped remained delamped in the computer simulations.
- Use of T-8 fluorescent lighting will result in an estimated 3% reduction in lumen output.

- Added annual maintenance costs were calculated based on a rated life of 20,000 hours for existing F-40D lamps and new T-8 fluorescent lamps. Maintenance costs for ballasts were based on a rated life of 60,000 hours.
- Lamp costs were provided by Conserve-a-Watt. Unit lamp costs for existing F-40D lamps and T-8 four-foot straight fluorescent lamps were \$1.68 and \$4.90, respectively.

**Results:** The energy savings and economic results are summarized in the following table. The LCCA is presented on page 4-31. The T-8 fluorescent lamps can be installed with a project SIR of 3.31, a simple payback of 3.6 years, and an annual savings of \$2,418.


Annual Electric Energy Savings (kWh)	29,455
Total Annual Energy Cost Savings	\$2,418
Annual Maintenance Cost Savings	\$47
Investment Cost	\$12,429
Savings-to-Investment Ratio (SIR)	2.38
Simple Payback (Years)	5.0

**Recommendations:** This ECO is recommended for implementation. High-efficiency T-8 fluorescent lamps driven by high frequency electronic ballasts are recommended for the building.

ENERGY TYPE  
IN SITE MBTU - ELECTRICITY  
CATEGORY OF USE  
SPACE HEAT 69.45  
SPACE COOL 1547.35  
HVAC AUX 426.10  
DOM HOT WTR 0.00  
AUX SOLAR 0.00  
LIGHTS 196.29  
VERT TRANS 0.00  
MISC EQUIP 1233.86  
TOTAL 3473.05

TOTAL SITE ENERGY 3473.00 MBTU 304.7 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 304.7 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
TOTAL SOURCE ENERGY 3473.00 MBTU 304.7 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 304.7 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
PERCENT OF HOURS ANY SYSTEM ZONE OUTSIDE OF THROTTLING RANGE = 0.5  
PERCENT OF HOURS ANY PLANT LOAD NOT SATISFIED =100.0  
NOTE ELECTRICITY AND/OR FUEL USED TO GENERATE ELECTRICITY IS APPORTIONED BASED  
ON THE YEARLY DEMAND. ALL OTHER ENERGY TYPES ARE APPORTIONED HOURLY.

**TOTAL SITE ELECTRICITY ENERGY USE 3473.04 MBTU**



1. COMPONENT ARMY	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE Nov-95	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GEODSS Site, White Sands Missile Range, NM					
4. PROJECT TITLE ECIP: Upgrade Lighting Systems		5. PROJECT NUMBER 1406.008			
LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS SUMMARY ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM (ECIP)					
LOCATION: GEODSS Site, White Sands Missile Range, NM		REGION: 4	PROJECT NO: 1406.008		
PROJECT TITLE: ECIP: UPGRADE LIGHTING SYSTEMS		FISCAL YEAR: 1995			
DISCRETE PORTION NAME: TOTAL					
ANALYSIS DATE: 11/09/95		ECONOMIC LIFE: 15	PREPARED BY: E. Smith		
1. INVESTMENT					
A. CONSTRUCTION COST	=	\$11,098			
B. SIOH COST	(6.0% of 1A) =	\$666			
C. DESIGN COST	(6.0% of 1A) =	\$666			
D. TOTAL COST	(1A + 1B + 1C) =	\$12,429			
E. SALVAGE VALUE OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT	=	\$0			
F. PUBLIC UTILITY COMPANY REBATE	=	\$0			
G. TOTAL INVESTMENT	(1D -1E -1F) =	-----> \$12,429			
2. ENERGY SAVINGS (+) OR COST (-):					
DATE OF NISTR-4942-1 USED FOR DISCOUNT FACTORS:					
ENERGY SOURCE	FUEL COST \$/kWh (1)	SAVINGS kWh (2)	ANNUAL \$ SAVINGS (3)	JUL '95 DISCOUNT FACTOR (4)	DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (5)
A. ELECT	\$0.0821	29,455	\$2,418	12.02	\$29,067
B. DIST	\$0.00	0	\$0	-	\$0
C. NAT GAS	\$0.00	0	\$0	-	\$0
D. REFUS	\$0.00	0	\$0	-	\$0
E. COAL	\$0.00	0	\$0	-	\$0
F. OTHER			\$0	-	\$0
G. DEMAND SAVINGS		0	\$0	-	\$0
H. TOTAL		29,455	\$2,418	----->	\$29,067
3. NON-ENERGY SAVINGS (+) OR COST (-)					
A. ANNUAL RECURRING (+/-)	\$47				
1 DISCOUNT FACTOR (From Table A) =	11.94				
2 DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (+) / COST (-) (3A x 3A1) =	\$560				
B. NON-RECURRING (+/-)					
ITEM	SAVINGS (+) COST(-) (1)	YEAR OF OCCURRENCE (2)	DISCOUNT FACTOR (3)	DISCOUNTED SAVINGS/COST (4) (TABLE B)	
a. MATERIAL: NONE	\$0	0	0.00	\$0	
b. MATERIAL: NONE	\$0	0	0.00	\$0	
c. MATERIAL: NONE	\$0	0	0.00	\$0	
d. TOTAL	\$0			\$0	
C. TOTAL NON-ENERGY DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (+) OR COST (-) (3A2 + 3Bd4) =					\$560
4. FIRST YEAR DOLLAR SAVINGS (+) / COSTS (-)	(2H3 + 3A + (3Bd1/Economic Life))				\$2,465
5. SIMPLE PAYBACK (SPB) IN YEARS (MUST BE < 10 YEARS TO QUALIFY)	(1G/4) =				5.04
6. TOTAL NET DISCOUNTED SAVINGS	(2H5 + 3C) =				\$29,628
7. DISCOUNTED SAVINGS-TO-INVESTMENT RATIO (SIR) (MUST HAVE SIR > 1.25 TO QUALIFY)	(6/1G) =				2.38

ENGINEER'S OPINION OF PROBABLE COST								SHEET	1	OF	1		
AREA		ACTIVITY		LOCATION				AMENDMENT NO.					
PROJECT TITLE				CONTRACT NO.									
GEODSS, Energy Conservation Survey				DACA01-94-D-0033									
Line No.	Item Description		Unit of Measure	No. of Units	MATERIAL COST		LABOR COST			EQUIPMENT COST		TOTAL COST	
					Unit Cost	Total	Manhrs/ Unit	Total Manhrs	Labor Cost/ Manhour	Total Labor Cost	Unit Cost	Total	Unit Cost
1	Replace lamps	ea	294	\$4.90	\$1,441	0.08	24.50	\$18.50	\$453	\$0.00	\$0	\$6.44	\$1,894
2	Replace ballasts	ea	147	\$15.15	\$2,227	0.08	12.25	\$18.50	\$227	\$0.00	\$0	\$16.69	\$2,454
3	Travel to Socorro	hrs	6		\$0	1.00	6.00	\$18.50	\$111	\$0.00	\$0	\$18.50	\$111
4	Travel to job site	hrs	4		\$0	1.00	4.00	\$18.50	\$74	\$0.00	\$0	\$18.50	\$74
5	Travel to lamp disposal site	hrs	2		\$0	1.00	2.00	\$18.50	\$37	\$0.00	\$0	\$18.50	\$37
6	Load old lamps in truck	hrs	2		\$0	1.00	2.00	\$18.50	\$37	\$0.00	\$0	\$18.50	\$37
7	Lodging and per diem	days	5		\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$100.00	\$500	\$100.00	\$500
8	Milage	miles	600		\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.30	\$180	\$0.30	\$180
9					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
10					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
11					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
12					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
13					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
14					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
15					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
16					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
17					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
18					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
19					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
20					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
21					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
22					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
23					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
24					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
25					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
26					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
27					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
28					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
29					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
30					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
31					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
32					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
33					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
34					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
35					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
36					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
37					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
38					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
39	<b>SUBCONTRACTOR SUBTOTAL</b>				\$3,668		\$51		\$939		\$680		\$5,287
40	LABOR BURDEN	%	30		\$0				\$282		\$204		\$486
41	SUBTOTAL				\$3,668				\$1,221		\$884		\$5,772
42	OVERHEAD	%	12.0		\$440				\$146		\$106		\$693
43	SUBTOTAL				\$4,108				\$1,367		\$990		\$6,465
44	PROFIT	%	12		\$493				\$164		\$119		\$776
45	SUBCONTRACTOR TOTAL				\$4,601				\$1,531		\$1,109		\$7,241
46	OVERHEAD	%	10.95		\$504				\$168		\$121		\$793
47	SUBTOTAL				\$5,104				\$1,699		\$1,230		\$8,033
48	PROFIT	%	8		\$408				\$136		\$98		\$643
49	SUBTOTAL				\$5,513				\$1,835		\$1,329		\$8,676
50	BOND	%	0.737		\$41				\$14		\$10		\$64
51	SUBTOTAL				\$5,553				\$1,848		\$1,339		\$8,740
52	N. M. TAX	%	5.8125		\$323				\$107		\$78		\$508
53	SUBTOTAL				\$5,876				\$1,956		\$1,416		\$9,248
54	CONTINGENCY	%	20		\$1,175				\$391		\$283		\$1,850
55	GRAND TOTAL				\$7,052				\$2,347		\$1,700		\$11,098
PREPARED BY		APPROVED BY			TITLE OR ORGANIZATION				DATE				
EMS					EMC Engineers, Inc.				11/22/95				

E M C Engineers, Inc.  
EMC #1406-008  
GEODSS Site, White Sands Missile Range, NM

LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS

T-8 LAMPS  
BALLASTS

ECO-4.XLS  
Prepared by: E. Smith  
11/9/95  
Checked by: \_\_\_\_\_

Economic Life (yrs)	
15	0

Building No.	34568
--------------	-------

Investment Costs

Construction Cost	\$11,098
SIOH (6.0%)	\$666
Design Cost (6.0%)	\$666
Salvage Value of Existing Equipment	\$0
Public Utility Company Rebate	\$0
Total Investment	\$12,429

Current Situation (Baseline) Annual Energy Use

Lights	288.88
Space Cool	1,568.95
Space Heat	55.12
HVAC Aux	426.63
Misc. Equip	1,233.86
Total (MBtu)	3,573.44
Electric Energy (kWh)	1,047,008

Proposed Situation Annual Energy Use with ECO

Lights	196.17
Space Cool	1,547.35
Space Heat	69.45
HVAC Aux	426.10
Misc. Equip	1,233.84
Total (MBtu)	3,472.91
Electric Energy (kWh)	1,017,553

Annual Energy Savings

Electric Energy (kWh)	29,455
-----------------------	--------

Annual Energy Cost Savings

Electric Energy	\$2,418
Electric Demand	\$0
Total Annual Energy Cost Savings	\$2,418

Discount Factors

Electric Energy	12.02
-----------------	-------

Discounted Energy Cost Savings

Electric Energy	\$29,067
-----------------	----------

Non-Energy Savings(+)/Cost(-)

Existing Annual Ballast Replacement Cost Savings	\$353
Lamp Replacement Cost Savings	(\$306)
Annual Recurring Savings(+)/Cost(-)	\$47
Discount Factor	11.94
Discounted Non-Energy Savings(+)/Cost(-)	\$560

Life Cycle Cost Summary

Simple Payback (yrs)	5.0
Total Net Discounted Savings	\$29,628
Savings to Investment Ratio (SIR)	2.38
Adjusted Internal Rate of Return (AIRR)	10.2%

Building No.	34568
--------------	-------

Energy Type	Unit Energy Cost	UPW Discount Factors (1)			
		Region 4	10	15	20
Economic Life of ECO (yrs)					
Electric Energy	0.0821 (\$/kWh)	8.58	12.02	15.08	
Electric Demand	0 (\$/kW)	0	0	0	
Annual Recurring Non-Energy Savings		8.53	11.94	14.88	

(1) NISTIR 4942-1 Energy Prices and Discount Factors for Life-Cycle Cost Analysis 1995

DESCRIPTION	LAMP TYPE	NO. LAMPS	LAMP WATTS	EXISTING FIXTURE WATTS	T-8 FIXTURE WATTS
4' RECESSED FLUORESCENT	FLUOR	2	40	89	58

Number of Fixtures

4' RECESSED FLUORESCENT-2 LAMP	147
--------------------------------	-----

Annual Operating Hours Calculation

Baseline Lighting Electric Demand (kW)	13.1
Baseline Lighting Electric Energy (kWh)	84,641
Annual Operating Hours	6,470

Replacement of Lamps

Number of Lamps 4' Straight Tube	294
Lamp Life	20,000
Lamp Replacements per Year	95
Replacement Cost Per Lamp	\$6.44
Incremental Replacement Cost per Lamp	\$3.22
Incremental Annual Lamp Replacement Cost	\$306
Total Annual Lamp Replacement Cost Savings	(\$306)



Replacement of Existing Ballasts

Number of Ballasts	147
Ballast Life (hrs)	60,000
Ballast Replacements Per Year	16
Replacement Cost Per Ballast	\$15.15
Labor Hours Per Ballast	0.33
Labor Cost Per Ballast	\$7.13
Total Annual Ballast Replacement Cost	\$353


Replacement of New Ballasts

No replacement for first 15 years.
------------------------------------


## FEATURES



OPPOSING CAM LATCHES



INTERLOCKING CORNERS



STURDY T-HINGES

- Opposing, rotary-action cam latches for secure door closing. Latches finished after fabrication with smooth, durable, white nylon coating.
- T-hinges die-embossed for maximum strength. Door frame can be hinged or latched from either side.
- Door frame corners screwed together for rigidity—ensures tight fit and easy lens replacement.
- Full-depth end plates secured by screws and unique interlocking corner detail.
- Shielding media completely framed in all door types. Diffusers 100% UV-stabilized acrylic plastic except as noted.
- Urethane foam gasket seals fully between door frame and housing—eliminates light leaks.
- Pressure-lock lampholders secured by snap-in socket track for simplified maintenance.

## SPECIFICATIONS

## Ballast

Thermally-protected, resetting, Class P, HPF ballast standard. Sound rating A, CBM/ETL certified, UL listed. Advance, GE or Universal installed unless otherwise specified.

## Wiring &amp; Electrical

AWM, TFFN or THHN wire used throughout, rated for required temperatures. All ballast leads extend minimum of 6" through access plate.

*Input watts: standard 89, energy-saving 69.*

## Materials


Metal parts die-formed from heavy-gauge steel. Housing die-embossed for added rigidity. Metal gauges: channel and end plates 22-gauge; steel door frame 20-gauge; channel cover and socket track 24-gauge.

## Finish

Five-stage, iron-phosphate pretreatment ensures superior paint adhesion and rust resistance. High-gloss, baked white enamel finish (88% gloss, 86% reflectance). Salt spray test 250 hours. Hardness minimum 2H.


*UL listed and labeled I.B.E.W.—A.F. of L.*

*Fixture guaranteed for one year against mechanical defects in manufacture.*




Dimensions and specifications subject to change without notice.

## MOUNTING DATA Lay-in Grid Luminaire for use in:



Exposed Grid Tee Ceilings

Minimum 5 5/8" plenum depth required for installation



Concealed Grid Tee Ceilings

## Approval

## Job Information



# 2GT 240


GRID TROFFER  
2' x 4' • 2 LAMPS • RAPID START

## PHOTOMETRICS

### COEFFICIENTS OF UTILIZATION

Pt	20%			
	80%	70%	50%	30%
Pcc	70%	50%	30%	10%
Pw	85 81 78 76	82 78 77 75	76 74 72 70	73 72 70
1	78 72 68 64	76 71 67 63	68 65 62 60	63 60
2	65 58 55 50	70 64 58 54	61 57 53 50	56 53
3	66 58 52 47	65 57 51 47	55 50 46 42	49 46
4	61 52 45 41	59 51 45 41	49 44 40 36	46 40
5	56 47 40 36	55 46 40 36	45 39 35 30	39 35
6	52 42 36 31	51 42 36 31	40 35 31 27	34 31
7	48 38 32 27	47 37 31 27	36 31 27 23	31 27
8	44 34 28 24	43 34 28 24	33 27 24 23	32 23
9	41 31 25 21	40 31 25 21	30 25 21 23	24 21

### ZONAL CAVITY



\*Standard ballast, F40T12/CW lamps (3150 lumens)

Spacing criteria: II = 1.2 x mounting height; I = 1.3 x mounting height

Full report available. Request ITL 27058

For photometrics on other configurations, see  
Technical Data section or Lithonia representative

## 2GT 240 A12 \*

### CANDLEPOWER

ANGLE	ALONG	22.5°	45.0°	67.5°	ACROSS
0	1946	1948	1948	1946	1948
5	1933	1948	1948	1943	1947
10	1903	1925	1927	1933	1941
15	1864	1888	1900	1918	1927
20	1800	1835	1862	1893	1903
25	1708	1756	1798	1844	1852
30	1592	1647	1705	1765	1777
35	1448	1506	1554	1643	1661
40	1273	1327	1381	1475	1497
45	1077	1128	1188	1271	1282
50	877	931	986	1052	1042
55	696	742	774	822	809
60	513	569	579	620	619
65	418	414	399	444	456
70	328	310	272	320	344
75	262	236	204	241	272
80	185	163	142	171	195
85	96	91	69	96	106
90	0	0	0	0	0

### ZONAL LUMEN SUMMARY

ZONE	LUMENS	% LAMP	% FIXTURE
0-30	1550	24.2	31.6
30-60	2586	40.5	52.7
60-90	772	12.0	15.7
0-90	4910	76.7	100.0

### TYPICAL VCP PERCENTAGES

ROOM SIZE (FEET)	HEIGHT ALONG 8.5' 10.0'	HEIGHT ACROSS 8.5' 10.0'
20 x 20	70	75
30 x 30	63	67
30 x 60	55	58
60 x 30	66	69
60 x 60	56	59

## ORDERING INFORMATION

Explanation of  
Catalog Number:

2GT

240

Example: 2GT 240 RN A12 120 ES GLR

Series \_\_\_\_\_

No. Lamps \_\_\_\_\_

Lamp Wattage \_\_\_\_\_

### FRAME TYPE

FLUSH  
STEEL

(Leave  
Blank)

FLUSH  
ALUMINUM

FN-Natural  
FM-Matte black  
FW-White

REGRESSED  
ALUMINUM

RN-Natural  
RM-Matte black  
RW-White

Cam-action spring-loaded latch standard on aluminum door frames

### DIFFUSER TYPE

- A12 #12 pattern acrylic
- A12.125 #12 pattern acrylic, .125" thick
- A19 #19 pattern acrylic, .156" thick
- K20 #20 pattern acrylic, .140" thick
- 3E KSH 3-E pattern
- IM Injection-molded acrylic, .150" thick
- 84Y Holophane 8224 with overlay
- AC Dropped dish, matte white acrylic

For complete list of lenses and louvers,  
see OPTIONS AND ACCESSORIES section

### Fixture Schedule

TYPE	CATALOG NUMBER

### REMARKS

### OPTIONS

- ES Energy-saving ballasts (Advance Mark III, Universal SLH or GE Maximiser I)
- GLR Internal fast-blow fusing
- EL Self-contained emergency lighting
- LP F40 CW lamps (installed)
- SLP Energy-saving lamps (installed, 34W, full light output, 3050 lumens)
- SW Stretch-Wrap (palletized in cartons)
- JP Job Palletized (uncartoned)
- FR Suitable for UL listed fire-rated ceilings

For details and complete list of options,  
see OPTIONS AND ACCESSORIES section





#### **4.5 ECO 5: VORTEX TUBE**

**Proposed Modifications:** The vortex tube cooling system is part of the telescope camera system and can only be modified through redesign of the camera which is beyond the scope of this project. However, the vortex tube cooling system has very poor efficiency and is a major energy user. The cameras are scheduled for replacement in about two years. The purpose of this evaluation is to quantify energy use and energy costs for this system.

**Existing Conditions:** Each vortex tube in each camera is operated by a separate 5 hp air compressor. The compressors are interconnected in case of a compressor failure. Since the compressors are not fully loaded, the maintenance crew keeps one compressor off-line and uses the other two to provide the air needed to cool the cameras. Even then the compressors are still not operating at capacity.

Flow through the vortex tubes is seasonally adjusted to maintain the desired temperature range in the camera. Compressed air pressure supplied to the vortex tubes are manually adjusted at a throttling valve at each camera. Pressures are varied from 40 to 80 psig.

Based on discussions with building personnel, it was assumed that the compressors operate about 50% of the time between the hours of 3 p.m. and 7 a.m. throughout the year. Under these conditions, the air compressors consume 38,441 kWh annually at an annual cost of \$3,156.

**Recommendations:** It is recommended that the new cameras be cooled with a more efficient cooling system.

E M C Engineers, Inc.  
EMC#1406-008  
GEODSS, White Sands Missile Range  
DACA 63-92-C-0152

VORTEX DATA

UPS-ECO.XLS  
Prepared by: D Jones  
8/22/95  
Checked by: *[Signature]*

**Vortex Tube Energy Use**

Specifications state that vortex tubes should provide 5 scfm of 0 to 10 degree C air for each camera.

A 5 horsepower air compressor will provide about 18 scfm of 140 psig air.

Building personnel report varying pressures to vortex tubes from 40 to 80 psig depending on the season.

Flow thru orifice given by:

$$\text{cfm} = 31.5 * C * D * \sqrt{Ro * DP} / Ro$$

where      cfm is cubic feet per minute at upstream conditions  
              C is discharge coefficient of 0.6  
              D is diameter in inches  
              Ro is upstream density in lbm/ft<sup>3</sup>  
              DP is pressure drop across orifice in psia

Orifice diameter (inches)      0.08

Air Properties		Air Flow Calculations		
Pressure (psia)	Pressure (psig)	Density (lbm/ft <sup>3</sup> )	Flow (cfm)	Flow (scfm)
12.2	0	0.0623	0	0
52.2	40	0.2663	1.4824	6.3371
72.2	60	0.3684	1.5437	9.1279
92.2	80	0.4704	1.5774	11.9107
132.2	120	0.6745	1.6134	17.4676

At full load, air compressors draw the following kW:


$$\text{kW} = \text{HP} * 0.746 / 0.85 = \quad 4.39$$

where      HP is motor horsepower  
              0.746 is conversion to kW  
              0.85 is motor efficiency

Assume each compressor operates 50% of the time from 3 pm to 7 am, 365 days per year.

Annual operating hours =      5840

Annual electricity use = 3 * kW * hours * 50% =	38,441	kWh
Cost per kWh	0.0821	\$/kWh
Annual electricity cost	\$3,156	



## QR-25 Series Tank Mounted Two-Stage Compressors

Quincy two-stage tank mounted compressors are furnished with Safe-Q-Lube pressure lubrication system. Start-stop mechanism includes Quincy patented loadless starting. Pressure gauge, safety valve, tank drain, shut-off valve, enclosed belt guard,

pressure switch and inlet filter are standard equipment. Tanks conform to ASME and National Board specifications for 200 PSI working pressure. Electric motors of the finest quality are standard equipment. Dual control is standard on tank mounted units — 10 HP and larger.

### SPECIFICATIONS—PRESSURE LUBRICATED

MODEL	MOTOR OR ENG. H.P.	CU. FT. DISPL. MIN.	CU. FT. FREE AIR MIN.	STD. PRESS. SWITCH SETTING	SIZE BORE & STROKE IN.	OPER. SPEED R.P.M.	REC. SIZE		APPROX. SHIPPING WEIGHT LBS.
							IN.	GAL.	
F310-60	1½ 2	6.90 9.10	4.80 6.30	140-175 140-175	3½ & 2×2½	500 660	20×48	60	560 595
F310-80	1½ 2	6.90 9.10	4.80 6.30	140-175 140-175	3½ & 2×2½	500 660	20×63	80	660 695
F325	3 5	13.90 23.30	10.70 18.00	140-175 140-175	4½ & 2½×3	500 840	20×63	80	765 785
F340	7½	34.30	26.10	140-175	5¼ & 3×3½	780	20×63	80	1185
† F350	10	52.00	37.40	140-175	6 & 3¼×3½	900	24×72	120	1495
† F370	10 15	52.00 69.60	37.40 50.10	120-150 120-150	6 & 3¼×4	790 1070	24×72	120	1600 1670
† F390-120	10 15 20	57.30 78.70 90.00	44.10 60.60 69.30	120-150 120-150 120-150	7½ & 4×4	560 770 870	24×72	120	1920 1970 2000
† F390-200	10 15 20	57.30 78.70 90.00	44.10 60.60 69.30	120-150 120-150 120-150	7½ & 4×4	560 770 870	30×75½	200	2320 2370 2390
† DF390	10 15 20	57.30 78.70 90.00	44.10 60.60 69.30	120-150 120-150 120-150	7½ & 4×4	560 770 870	24×72	120	2145 2200 2300

†These units are equipped with dual control. Standard VD pilot setting is 130-140 PSIG.

\*These units are base mounted with separate vertical air receivers.

NOTE: Performance data is based on maximum 110% motor load.

←  
**TWO CYLINDER MODELS**

### SPECIFICATIONS—PRESSURE LUBRICATED

MODEL	MOTOR OR ENG. H.P.	CU. FT. DISPL. MIN.	CU. FT. FREE AIR MIN.	STD. PRESS. SWITCH SETTING	SIZE BORE & STROKE IN.	OPER. SPEED R.P.M.	REC. SIZE		APPROX. SHIPPING WEIGHT LBS.
							IN.	GAL.	
† F5105	15 20	87.50 107.00	64.70 79.20	120-150 120-150	6 & 3¼×3½	760 940	30×75½	200	2550 2600
† DF5105	15 20	87.50 107.00	64.70 79.20	120-150 120-150	6 & 3¼×3½	760 940	24×72	120	2500 2550
† F5120	20 25	113.00 123.00	84.70 93.00	120-150 120-150	6 & 3¼×4	870 940	30×75½	200	2625 2715
† DF5120	20 25	113.00 123.00	84.70 93.00	120-150 120-150	6 & 3¼×4	870 940	24×72	120	2600 2650

**FOUR CYLINDER MODELS**

†These units are equipped with dual control. Standard VD pilot setting is 130-140 PSIG.

\*These units are base mounted with separate vertical air receivers.

NOTE: Performance data is based on maximum 110% motor load.



## **4.6 ECO 6: PREMIUM EFFICIENCY MOTORS**

**Proposed Modifications:** Install premium efficiency electric motors on HVAC equipment.

**Existing Conditions:** Most existing motors in the building are standard efficiency motors in the 1.0 to 7.5 horsepower range. A large 250 horsepower motor is used to turn a rotating UPS system.

**Method of Analysis:** Analysis proceeded as follows:

- Complete electrical measurements were made on the 250 horsepower motor including voltages, amps, power factor, and operating speed. The motor was found to be 11% loaded, operating with a 65% efficiency and a 45% power factor. This motor was evaluated in ECO 7 -Uninterruptible Power Supply Modifications.
- Nameplate data was collected for all motors.
- Speed measurements were made on accessible motors. Speed measurements were used to calculate motor slip which is proportional to the load fraction on the motor.
- Annual baseline electricity use was calculated based on the nameplate horsepower, annual operating hours, and speed measurements.
- Efficiencies and costs for premium motors were based on data provided by four motor manufacturers. Data from three manufacturers was averaged to produce the average efficiency and costs for each standard size of motor.
- Electric demand, annual electric use, and energy savings were calculated based on the load fractions, efficiencies, and operating hours of the premium efficiency motors.
- Annual maintenance costs for standard and premium motors were assumed to be the same.
- Installation costs were based on Means 1995 Electrical Cost Data and included a 20% remote location cost.

**Results:**

The 5 horsepower fan motor on AHU-2 was found to be a good candidate for replacement with a premium efficiency motor. Results are summarized in the following table.

Annual Electric Energy Savings (kWh)	2,197
Total Annual Energy Cost Savings	\$180
Annual Maintenance Cost Savings	\$0
Investment Cost	\$1753
Savings-to-Investment Ratio (SIR)	1.55
Simple Payback (Years)	9.7

Analysis results for other motors follow.

**Recommendation:** Replace the 5 horsepower fan motor on AHU-2 serving the office area with a premium efficiency motor.

1. COMPONENT ARMY	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE Nov-95																																														
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GEODSS Site, White Sands Missile Range, NM																																																		
4. PROJECT TITLE ECIP: Upgrade Lighting Systems		5. PROJECT NUMBER 1406.008																																																
<b>LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS SUMMARY</b> <b>ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM (ECIP)</b>																																																		
LOCATION: GEODSS Site, White Sands Missile Range, NM		REGION: 4	PROJECT NO: 1406.008																																															
PROJECT TITLE: ECIP: PREMIUM EFFICIENCY MOTORS		FISCAL YEAR: 1995																																																
DISCRETE PORTION NAME: TOTAL																																																		
ANALYSIS DATE: 11/09/95		ECONOMIC LIFE: 15	PREPARED BY: E. Smith																																															
<b>1. INVESTMENT</b>																																																		
<table> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. CONSTRUCTION COST</td> <td>=</td> <td>\$1,567</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. SIOH COST</td> <td>(6.0% of 1A) =</td> <td>\$94</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. DESIGN COST</td> <td>(6.0% of 1A) =</td> <td>\$94</td> </tr> <tr> <td>D. TOTAL COST</td> <td>(1A + 1B + 1C) =</td> <td>\$1,755</td> </tr> <tr> <td>E. SALVAGE VALUE OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT</td> <td>=</td> <td>\$0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>F. PUBLIC UTILITY COMPANY REBATE</td> <td>=</td> <td>\$0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>G. TOTAL INVESTMENT</td> <td>(1D - 1E - 1F) =</td> <td>-----&gt; \$1,755</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					A. CONSTRUCTION COST	=	\$1,567	B. SIOH COST	(6.0% of 1A) =	\$94	C. DESIGN COST	(6.0% of 1A) =	\$94	D. TOTAL COST	(1A + 1B + 1C) =	\$1,755	E. SALVAGE VALUE OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT	=	\$0	F. PUBLIC UTILITY COMPANY REBATE	=	\$0	G. TOTAL INVESTMENT	(1D - 1E - 1F) =	-----> \$1,755																									
A. CONSTRUCTION COST	=	\$1,567																																																
B. SIOH COST	(6.0% of 1A) =	\$94																																																
C. DESIGN COST	(6.0% of 1A) =	\$94																																																
D. TOTAL COST	(1A + 1B + 1C) =	\$1,755																																																
E. SALVAGE VALUE OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT	=	\$0																																																
F. PUBLIC UTILITY COMPANY REBATE	=	\$0																																																
G. TOTAL INVESTMENT	(1D - 1E - 1F) =	-----> \$1,755																																																
<b>2. ENERGY SAVINGS (+) OR COST (-):</b>																																																		
DATE OF NISTR-4942-1 USED FOR DISCOUNT FACTORS: <u>JUL '95</u>																																																		
ENERGY SOURCE	FUEL COST \$/kWh (1)	SAVINGS kWh (2)	ANNUAL \$ SAVINGS (3)	DISCOUNT FACTOR (4)	DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (5)																																													
A. ELECT	\$0.0821	2,197	\$180	15.08	\$2,720																																													
B. DIST	\$0.00	0	\$0	-	\$0																																													
C. NAT GAS	\$0.00	0	\$0	-	\$0																																													
D. REFUS	\$0.00	0	\$0	-	\$0																																													
E. COAL	\$0.00	0	\$0	-	\$0																																													
F. OTHER			\$0	-	\$0																																													
G. DEMAND SAVINGS		0	\$0	-	\$0																																													
H. TOTAL		2,197	\$180	----->	\$2,720																																													
<b>3. NON-ENERGY SAVINGS (+) OR COST (-)</b>																																																		
<table> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. ANNUAL RECURRING (+/-)</td> <td>\$0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1 DISCOUNT FACTOR</td> <td>(From Table A) =</td> <td>11.94</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (+) / COST (-)</td> <td>(3A x 3A1) =</td> <td>\$0</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3"> B. NON-RECURRING (+/-)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ITEM</td> <td>SAVINGS (+) COST(-) (1)</td> <td>YEAR OF OCCURRENCE (2)</td> <td>DISCOUNT FACTOR (3)</td> <td>DISCOUNTED SAVINGS/COST (4)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="5">(TABLE B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>a. MATERIAL: NONE</td> <td>\$0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>\$0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>b. MATERIAL: NONE</td> <td>\$0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>\$0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>c. MATERIAL: NONE</td> <td>\$0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>\$0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>d. TOTAL</td> <td>\$0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. TOTAL NON-ENERGY DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (+) OR COST (-)</td> <td colspan="3">(3A2 + 3Bd4) =</td> <td>\$0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					A. ANNUAL RECURRING (+/-)	\$0	1 DISCOUNT FACTOR	(From Table A) =	11.94	2 DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (+) / COST (-)	(3A x 3A1) =	\$0	 B. NON-RECURRING (+/-)			ITEM	SAVINGS (+) COST(-) (1)	YEAR OF OCCURRENCE (2)	DISCOUNT FACTOR (3)	DISCOUNTED SAVINGS/COST (4)	(TABLE B)					a. MATERIAL: NONE	\$0	0	0.00	\$0	b. MATERIAL: NONE	\$0	0	0.00	\$0	c. MATERIAL: NONE	\$0	0	0.00	\$0	d. TOTAL	\$0			\$0	C. TOTAL NON-ENERGY DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (+) OR COST (-)	(3A2 + 3Bd4) =			\$0
A. ANNUAL RECURRING (+/-)	\$0																																																	
1 DISCOUNT FACTOR	(From Table A) =	11.94																																																
2 DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (+) / COST (-)	(3A x 3A1) =	\$0																																																
 B. NON-RECURRING (+/-)																																																		
ITEM	SAVINGS (+) COST(-) (1)	YEAR OF OCCURRENCE (2)	DISCOUNT FACTOR (3)	DISCOUNTED SAVINGS/COST (4)																																														
(TABLE B)																																																		
a. MATERIAL: NONE	\$0	0	0.00	\$0																																														
b. MATERIAL: NONE	\$0	0	0.00	\$0																																														
c. MATERIAL: NONE	\$0	0	0.00	\$0																																														
d. TOTAL	\$0			\$0																																														
C. TOTAL NON-ENERGY DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (+) OR COST (-)	(3A2 + 3Bd4) =			\$0																																														
4. FIRST YEAR DOLLAR SAVINGS (+) / COSTS (-) (2H3 + 3A + (3Bd1/Economic Life)) \$180																																																		
5. SIMPLE PAYBACK (SPB) IN YEARS (MUST BE < 10 YEARS TO QUALIFY) (1G/4) = 9.73																																																		
6. TOTAL NET DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (2H5 + 3C) = \$2,720																																																		
7. DISCOUNTED SAVINGS-TO-INVESTMENT RATIO (SIR) (6/1G) = 1.55 (MUST HAVE SIR > 1.25 TO QUALIFY)																																																		

HP	PREMIUM EFFICIENCY MOTOR EFFICIENCY				STANDARD EFFICIENCY MOTOR EFFICIENCY				PREMIUM EFFICIENCY MOTOR COSTS				STANDARD EFFICIENCY MOTOR COSTS			
	NEMA (7)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	Avg	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	Avg	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	Avg
1.0	82.5%	86.5%	78.5%	85.5%	86.2%	77.0%	81.5%	77.0%	78.5%	77.5%	\$319	\$289	\$362	\$395	\$359	2.00
1.5	84.0%	86.5%	81.5%	86.5%	86.5%	80.0%	81.5%	80.0%	82.5%	80.8%	\$354	\$302	\$402	\$410	\$389	2.50
2.0	84.0%	86.5%	81.5%	86.5%	86.5%	80.0%	81.5%	80.0%	82.5%	80.8%	\$323	\$387	\$442	\$430	\$420	1.78
3.0	87.5%	88.5%	85.5%	88.5%	88.5%	84.0%	88.0%	84.0%	85.5%	84.0%	\$429	\$383	\$429	\$451	\$457	3.50
5.0	87.5%	89.5%	85.5%	89.5%	89.5%	86.0%	86.0%	85.5%	86.5%	86.0%	\$502	\$453	\$678	\$553	\$553	4.20
7.5	89.5%	91.0%	91.0%	91.0%	91.5%	87.0%	86.5%	86.5%	87.5%	86.3%	\$685	\$519	\$776	\$651	\$704	1.78
10	89.5%	91.7%	87.5%	91.7%	91.7%	87.5%	91.7%	87.5%	87.5%	87.5%	\$825	\$1,078	\$815	\$732	\$791	1.91
15	91.0%	92.4%	91.0%	92.4%	92.4%	89.0%	88.5%	88.5%	89.5%	88.5%	\$1,088	\$1,350	\$1,232	\$1,045	\$1,122	2.00
20	91.0%	93.0%	91.7%	93.0%	93.0%	89.5%	91.7%	90.2%	89.5%	90.2%	\$1,368	\$1,682	\$1,266	\$1,353	\$1,350	10.67
25	92.4%	93.0%	93.0%	93.0%	93.0%	90.4%	90.4%	90.2%	90.2%	90.2%	\$1,610	\$2,000	\$1,828	\$1,658	\$1,658	11.40
30	92.4%	94.1%	93.6%	93.6%	93.6%	93.8%	94.1%	93.0%	90.9%	90.9%	\$1,874	\$2,325	\$2,125	\$1,969	\$1,969	13.30
40	93.0%	94.1%	93.6%	94.1%	94.5%	94.2%	94.5%	94.5%	94.2%	91.0%	\$2,486	\$3,105	\$2,823	\$2,574	\$2,628	16.00
50	93.0%	94.1%	94.1%	94.1%	94.5%	94.0%	94.5%	94.5%	94.5%	91.0%	\$3,053	\$3,812	\$3,467	\$3,140	\$3,140	5.00
60	93.6%	94.5%	94.1%	94.5%	95.0%	94.7%	95.0%	94.7%	94.7%	91.7%	\$3,053	\$4,374	\$4,972	\$4,501	\$4,501	17.78
75	94.1%	94.5%	94.5%	94.5%	95.0%	94.7%	95.0%	94.7%	94.7%	92.4%	\$3,053	\$5,280	\$5,704	\$5,704	\$5,704	19.00
100	94.5%	94.8%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	94.6%	95.0%	93.0%	93.0%	91.7%	\$3,053	\$8,860	\$7,790	\$7,277	\$7,180	24.00

(5) Labor cost for electrician from Means Electrical Cost Data 1993 is \$24.95/hr.  
 (6) Includes 20% site & location factor, 15% overhead, 10% profit, and 20% contingency.  
 (7) NEMA Standard MG 1, Table 12-6C

Total enclosed fan cooled, squirrel cage

(1) Westinghouse Optimus HE

(2) Magnetek Louis Allis Spartan

(3) TECO Max-E1

(4) Baldor Super-E

Energy Type	Unit Energy Cost	UPW Discount Factors (1)			
		10	15	20	20
Economic Life of ECO (yrs)	0.0821 (\$/kWh)	8.58	12.02	15.08	
Annual Recurring Non-Energy Savings		8.53	11.94	14.88	

(1) NISTIR 85-3273-7 EDnergy Prices and Discount Factors for Life-Cycle Cost Analysis 1995

Discount rate = 3%, Region 4

Field Measurements											
Nameplate Data				Voltage				Current			
Spec#	HP	Rated Volts	Phase	Rated Speed (Rpm)	A-B (Volts)	C-A (Volts)	C-B (Volts)	A (Amps)	B (Amps)	C (Amps)	
General	250	480	3	1770	485	485	485	91	81	450	1786.6
General	250	210	3	1800	210.5	210.5	210.7	79	52	67	96.9
Condens	1.5	115	3	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Refrig C	5	460	7.3	3	1750						N/A
Refrig C	5	460	7.3	3	1750						N/A
Chilled	1	208	3.3	3	1725						N/A
Chilled	1	208	3.3	3	1725						N/A
Chilled	1	208	3.3	3	1725						N/A
Air Com	5	208	15.4	3	1750						N/A
Air Com	5	208	15.4	3	1750						N/A
AHU-2	6	460	7.3	3	1730						N/A
AHU-3	3	230	8.36	3	1760						N/A
AHU-4	3	230	9.8	3	1740						N/A
AHU-5	7.5	480	11	3	1750						N/A
AHU-7	7.5	480	11	3	1750						N/A
AHU-8	7.5	480	11	3	1750						N/A
AHU-9	0.33	230	2.9	3	1750						N/A


Spec#	HP	Rated Volts	Phase	Rated Speed (Rpm)	A-B (Volts)	C-A (Volts)	C-B (Volts)	A (Amps)	B (Amps)	C (Amps)	Power Factor	Motor Power (kW)	Fan Power (kW)	(1) Motor Sync (rpm)	(2) Load Fraction (%)	Calculated Values				
																(3) Output Power (kW)	(4) Motor Eff. (%)			
General	250	480	3	1770	485	485	485	91	81	450	1786.6	N/A	1800	11%	32.58	21.14	65%			
General	250	210	3	1800	210.5	210.5	210.7	79	52	67	96.9	1795.6	N/A	1800	70%	23.32	N/A	81%		
Condens	1.5	115	3	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1800	70%	0.97	0.78	86%		
Refrig C	5	460	7.3	3	1750									1800	70%	3.02	2.61	86%		
Chilled	1	208	3.3	3	1725									1800	70%	0.67	0.52	78%		
Chilled	1	208	3.3	3	1725									1800	70%	0.67	0.52	78%		
Chilled	1	208	3.3	3	1725									1800	70%	0.67	0.52	78%		
Air Com	5	208	15.4	3	1750									1800	70%	3.02	2.61	86%		
Air Com	5	208	15.4	3	1750									1800	70%	3.02	2.61	86%		
AHU-2	6	460	7.3	3	1730									N/A	1727.6	N/A	4.02	3.86	86%	
AHU-3	3	230	8.36	3	1760									N/A	1781.7	1393	46%	1.20	85%	
AHU-4	3	230	9.8	3	1740									N/A	1768.9	1255.7	52%	1.36	85%	
AHU-5	7.5	480	11	3	1750									N/A	1766.2	1370	1800	56%	1.48	85%
AHU-7	7.5	480	11	3	1750									N/A	1800	70%	4.48	3.92	88%	
AHU-8	7.5	480	11	3	1750									N/A	1800	70%	4.48	3.92	88%	
AHU-9	0.33	230	2.9	3	1750									N/A	1800	70%	0.22	0.17	78%	

(5) Output Power	(6) New Motor Power (hp)	(7) Motor Effic. (%)	(8) Load Fraction (%)	(9) Annual Input Power (kW)	(10) Annual Demand Hours	Electric Demand Savings (kWh)	Annual Energy Savings (\$)	Demand Cost Savings (\$)	Annual Cost Savings (\$)	Installed Cost (\$)	Utility Incentive (\$)	Investment Cost (\$)	Simple Payback (yr)	Discounted Cost Savings (\$)	SIR	Rate of Return (IRR)
2B.3	250	0.938	11%	22.54	8760	10.03	87,906	\$0	\$7,217	\$14,530	\$0	#####	2.3	\$108,834	6.69	14.4%
N/A	250	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
1.1	1.5	0.862	70%	0.91	4980	0.06	263	\$0	\$22	\$876	\$0	\$980	45.4	\$325	0.33	-1.6%
3.5	5	0.897	70%	2.91	4980	0.11	502	\$0	\$41	\$1,242	\$0	\$1,389	33.7	\$621	0.45	-0.1%
3.5	5	0.897	70%	2.91	4980	0.11	502	\$0	\$41	\$1,242	\$0	\$1,389	33.7	\$621	0.45	-0.1%
0.7	1	0.862	70%	0.61	4980	0.07	297	\$0	\$24	\$802	\$0	\$897	36.8	\$368	0.41	-0.5%
0.7	1	0.862	70%	0.61	4980	0.07	297	\$0	\$24	\$802	\$0	\$897	36.8	\$368	0.41	-0.5%
0.7	1	0.862	70%	0.61	4980	0.07	297	\$0	\$24	\$802	\$0	\$897	36.8	\$368	0.41	-0.5%
3.5	5	0.897	70%	2.91	4980	0.11	502	\$0	\$41	\$1,242	\$0	\$1,389	33.7	\$621	0.45	-0.1%
3.5	5	0.897	70%	2.91	4980	0.11	502	\$0	\$41	\$1,242	\$0	\$1,389	33.7	\$621	0.45	-0.1%
3.5	5	0.897	103%	4.22	8760	0.26	2,197	\$0	\$180	\$1,667	\$0	\$1,753	9.7	\$2,720	1.66	6.3%
6.2	6	0.915	46%	1.19	5136	0.01	72	\$0	\$6	\$875	\$0	\$980	166.8	\$89	0.09	-7.8%
1.4	3	0.862	52%	1.34	5136	0.02	108	\$0	\$9	\$840	\$0	\$1,051	118.7	\$134	0.13	-6.2%
1.6	3	0.865	56%	1.46	5136	0.02	117	\$0	\$10	\$940	\$0	\$1,051	109.2	\$145	0.14	-5.8%
1.7	3	0.865	70%	4.28	8760	0.19	1,700	\$0	\$140	\$1,567	\$0	\$1,753	12.6	\$2,105	1.20	5.0%
5.3	7.5	0.915	70%	4.28	8760	0.19	1,700	\$0	\$140	\$1,567	\$0	\$1,753	12.6	\$2,105	1.20	5.0%
5.3	7.5	0.915	70%	4.28	8760	0.19	1,700	\$0	\$140	\$1,567	\$0	\$1,753	12.6	\$2,105	1.20	5.0%
0.2	0.33	0.862	70%	0.20	8760	0.02	196	\$0	\$16	\$802	\$0	\$897	55.8	\$243	0.27	-2.6%

ENERGY TYPE IN SITE MBTU	ELECTRICITY
CATEGORY OF USE	
SPACE HEAT	57.53
SPACE COOL	1565.05
HVAC AUX	420.43
DOM HOT WTR	0.00
AUX SOLAR	0.00
LIGHTS	288.88
VERT TRANS	0.00
MISC EQUIP	1233.86
TOTAL	3565.76

TOTAL SITE ENERGY 3565.62 MBTU 312.8 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 312.8 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
 TOTAL SOURCE ENERGY 3565.62 MBTU 312.8 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 312.8 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
 PERCENT OF HOURS ANY SYSTEM ZONE OUTSIDE OF THROTTLING RANGE = 0.4  
 PERCENT OF HOURS ANY PLANT LOAD NOT SATISFIED =100.0  
 NOTE ELECTRICITY AND/OR FUEL USED TO GENERATE ELECTRICITY IS APPORTIONED BASED  
 ON THE YEARLY DEMAND. ALL OTHER ENERGY TYPES ARE APPORTIONED HOURLY.

### TOTAL SITE ELECTRICITY ENERGY USE 3565.75 MBTU



## 4.7 ECO 7: UNINTERRUPTED POWER SUPPLY MODIFICATION

**Proposed Modifications:** It is proposed to replace or modify the existing Uninterrupted Power Supply (UPS) system for more efficient operation. Two options were evaluated:

- Option 1: Modify the existing rotating UPS with a smaller motor.
- Option 2: Replace the existing rotating UPS with a static UPS.

**Existing Conditions:** Presently, the existing UPS system consists of a 250 horsepower motor which turns a large flywheel coupled to an electric generator. The system receives 480 volts and delivers a maximum of 150 kW of 208 volt power. The system will provide at least 17 seconds of uninterrupted power if the electricity to the motor is interrupted. The lag time is needed for start up of the emergency generator. This is important because the site is over 18 miles away from the substation and the electricity supply is not always reliable.

Even though the existing UPS system is capable of supplying 150 kW of power, the requirements are reported to be a maximum of 44 kW. Electrical measurements indicated that the system was supplying only 23 kW at the time of the field survey. About 33 kW was being supplied to the motor in order to produce the 23 kW. The power factor at the motor was measured at 45%.

Assuming the power loss in the system remains at 10 kW, the annual energy cost for it is about \$7200. At this time, the Socorro Electric Company has not yet started to penalize WSMR for poor power factor, but they have started selectively penalizing other customers and will likely penalize WSMR soon. Poor power factor also increases power line losses and voltage drop. White Sands must pay for any line losses downstream of the utility meter which could be significant depending on the location of the meter.

An analysis of the two options stated above is presented below.

### **Option 1:**

The existing 250 horsepower motor could be replaced with a 100 horsepower motor which should include a soft start system. The soft start, or variable speed drive, system would allow the motor to bring a non-rotating flywheel up to speed without damaging the motor. It may take twice as long to get the flywheel up to speed as with the 250 hp motor, but that should not significantly affect the operation of the facility. Based on the reported maximum demand of 44 kW, the maximum size motor required is about 56 horsepower. Use of a 100 horsepower motor would provide a good safety margin.

Measurements on the existing 250 hp motor at the time of the field survey indicated that the motor was 11% loaded and was operating with a 65% efficiency and a 45% power factor.

The new 100 hp motor operating at the same conditions would be 28% loaded and operate with a 94% efficiency and a power factor of 68%.

### Option 2:

The existing rotating UPS system could be replaced with a static UPS system. The rotating UPS is a technology that is nearly obsolete. A static UPS system consists of an electronic and battery cabinet typically installed in the computer room which provides power line protection and a battery backup. At 40 kW, the smallest battery cabinet will provide 13 minutes of uninterrupted power as opposed to 17 seconds for the existing rotating UPS.

The static UPS operates with a 92% efficiency down to about 25% load. At 10% load, the efficiency is still in the high eighties. Two static UPS systems were priced:

- A 64 kW system for \$58,000
- A 100 kW system for \$68,000

Installation is typically in the range of 25 to 50% of equipment cost.

Analysis: Analysis proceeded as follows:

- Existing energy use was based on electrical field measurements at the time of the field survey.
- Energy use with the proposed modifications was based on data from the MotorMaster data base and from the static UPS manufacturer.
- Equipment and installation costs were based on local supplier quotes and estimates of installation time.
- Maintenance costs were assumed to be \$0.01/kWh for electrical motors and generators associated with the static UPS and \$0.03/kWh for the diesel-electric generator. The diesel-electric generator currently produces about 95,000 kWh of electricity annually. It was assumed that operation of the diesel-electric generator during thunderstorms would not be necessary with the static UPS system.
- A spreadsheet was used to calculate energy cost savings and ECO economics.

**Results:**

Results for both options are summarized in the following table:

	Option 1	Option 2
Annual Electric Energy Savings (kWh)	89,454	85,172
Total Annual Energy Cost Savings	\$7344	\$6993
Annual Maintenance Cost (Savings)	0	\$4909
Investment Cost	22,847	97,292
Savings-to-Investment Ratio (SIR)	4.85	1.83
Simple Payback (Years)	3.1	13.9

**Recommendations:**

Of the two options, Option 1 - New 100 hp Motor, is recommended. This modification is the most cost effective.

E M C Engineers, Inc.  
EMC#1406-008  
GEODSS, White Sands Missile Range  
DACA 63-92-C-0152

MOTOR DATA

UPS-ECO.XLS  
Prepared by: D Jones  
11/9/95  
Checked by: \_\_\_\_\_

1. COMPONENT ARMY	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE Apr-95																																																																																																																																							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GEODSS Site, White Sands Missile Range, NM																																																																																																																																											
4. PROJECT TITLE ECIP: Upgrade Lighting Systems			5. PROJECT NUMBER																																																																																																																																								
LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS SUMMARY ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM (ECIP)																																																																																																																																											
LOCATION: GEODSS Site, White Sands Missile Range, NM PROJECT TITLE: ECIP: UPGRADE UPS SYSTEM DISCRETE PORTION NAME: TOTAL ANALYSIS DATE: 11/09/95		REGION: 4	PROJECT NO: 1413-001 FISCAL YEAR 1995																																																																																																																																								
<p>1. INVESTMENT</p> <table> <tbody> <tr><td>A.</td><td>CONSTRUCTION COST</td><td>=</td><td>=</td><td>\$20,491</td></tr> <tr><td>B.</td><td>SIOSH COST</td><td>(5.5% of 1A) =</td><td></td><td>\$1,127</td></tr> <tr><td>C.</td><td>DESIGN COST</td><td>(6.0% of 1A) =</td><td></td><td>\$1,229</td></tr> <tr><td>D.</td><td>TOTAL COST</td><td>(1A + 1B + 1C) =</td><td></td><td>\$22,847</td></tr> <tr><td>E.</td><td>SALVAGE VALUE OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT =</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>F.</td><td>PUBLIC UTILITY COMPANY REBATE =</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>G.</td><td>TOTAL INVESTMENT</td><td>(1D - 1E - 1F) =</td><td></td><td>-----&gt; \$22,847</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <p>2. ENERGY SAVINGS (+) OR COST (-):</p> <table> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3">DATE OF NISTR-4942-1 USED FOR DISCOUNT FACTORS:</th> <th colspan="2">OCT '94</th> </tr> <tr> <th>ENERGY SOURCE</th> <th>FUEL COST \$/kWh (1)</th> <th>SAVINGS kWh (2)</th> <th>ANNUAL \$ SAVINGS (3)</th> <th>DISCOUNT FACTOR (4) SAVINGS (5)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>A. ELEC</td><td>\$0.0821</td><td>89,454</td><td>\$7,344</td><td>15.08 \$110,750</td></tr> <tr><td>B. DIST</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>C. NAT GAS</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>D. REFUS</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>E. COAL</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>F. OTHER</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>G. DEMAND SAVINGS</td><td>10.21</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>H. TOTAL</td><td></td><td>89,454</td><td>\$7,344</td><td>-----&gt; \$110,750</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <p>3. NON-ENERGY SAVINGS (+) OR COST (-)</p> <table> <tbody> <tr><td>A.</td><td>ANNUAL RECURRING (+/-)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>1.</td><td>DISCOUNT FACTOR</td><td>(From Table A) =</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>2.</td><td>DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (+) / COST (-)</td><td>(3A x 3A1) =</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>B.</td><td>NON-RECURRING (+/-)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td></td><td>ITEM</td><td>SAVINGS (+) COST(-) (1)</td><td>YEAR OF OCCURRENCE (2)</td><td>DISCOUNT FACTOR (3) SAVINGS/COST (4) (TABLE B)</td></tr> <tr><td>a.</td><td>MATERIAL: NONE</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>b.</td><td>MATERIAL: NONE</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>c.</td><td>MATERIAL: NONE</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>d.</td><td>TOTAL</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>C.</td><td>TOTAL NON-ENERGY DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (+) OR COST (-)</td><td></td><td></td><td>(3A2 + 3Bd4) =</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <p>4. FIRST YEAR DOLLAR SAVINGS (+) / COSTS (-)</p> <p>5. SIMPLE PAYBACK (SPB) IN YEARS (MUST BE &lt; 10 YEARS TO QUALIFY)</p> <p>6. TOTAL NET DISCOUNTED SAVINGS</p> <p>7. DISCOUNTED SAVINGS-TO-INVESTMENT RATIO (SIR) (MUST HAVE SIR &gt; 1.25 TO QUALIFY)</p>					A.	CONSTRUCTION COST	=	=	\$20,491	B.	SIOSH COST	(5.5% of 1A) =		\$1,127	C.	DESIGN COST	(6.0% of 1A) =		\$1,229	D.	TOTAL COST	(1A + 1B + 1C) =		\$22,847	E.	SALVAGE VALUE OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT =				F.	PUBLIC UTILITY COMPANY REBATE =				G.	TOTAL INVESTMENT	(1D - 1E - 1F) =		-----> \$22,847	DATE OF NISTR-4942-1 USED FOR DISCOUNT FACTORS:			OCT '94		ENERGY SOURCE	FUEL COST \$/kWh (1)	SAVINGS kWh (2)	ANNUAL \$ SAVINGS (3)	DISCOUNT FACTOR (4) SAVINGS (5)	A. ELEC	\$0.0821	89,454	\$7,344	15.08 \$110,750	B. DIST					C. NAT GAS					D. REFUS					E. COAL					F. OTHER					G. DEMAND SAVINGS	10.21				H. TOTAL		89,454	\$7,344	-----> \$110,750	A.	ANNUAL RECURRING (+/-)				1.	DISCOUNT FACTOR	(From Table A) =			2.	DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (+) / COST (-)	(3A x 3A1) =			B.	NON-RECURRING (+/-)					ITEM	SAVINGS (+) COST(-) (1)	YEAR OF OCCURRENCE (2)	DISCOUNT FACTOR (3) SAVINGS/COST (4) (TABLE B)	a.	MATERIAL: NONE				b.	MATERIAL: NONE				c.	MATERIAL: NONE				d.	TOTAL				C.	TOTAL NON-ENERGY DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (+) OR COST (-)			(3A2 + 3Bd4) =
A.	CONSTRUCTION COST	=	=	\$20,491																																																																																																																																							
B.	SIOSH COST	(5.5% of 1A) =		\$1,127																																																																																																																																							
C.	DESIGN COST	(6.0% of 1A) =		\$1,229																																																																																																																																							
D.	TOTAL COST	(1A + 1B + 1C) =		\$22,847																																																																																																																																							
E.	SALVAGE VALUE OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT =																																																																																																																																										
F.	PUBLIC UTILITY COMPANY REBATE =																																																																																																																																										
G.	TOTAL INVESTMENT	(1D - 1E - 1F) =		-----> \$22,847																																																																																																																																							
DATE OF NISTR-4942-1 USED FOR DISCOUNT FACTORS:			OCT '94																																																																																																																																								
ENERGY SOURCE	FUEL COST \$/kWh (1)	SAVINGS kWh (2)	ANNUAL \$ SAVINGS (3)	DISCOUNT FACTOR (4) SAVINGS (5)																																																																																																																																							
A. ELEC	\$0.0821	89,454	\$7,344	15.08 \$110,750																																																																																																																																							
B. DIST																																																																																																																																											
C. NAT GAS																																																																																																																																											
D. REFUS																																																																																																																																											
E. COAL																																																																																																																																											
F. OTHER																																																																																																																																											
G. DEMAND SAVINGS	10.21																																																																																																																																										
H. TOTAL		89,454	\$7,344	-----> \$110,750																																																																																																																																							
A.	ANNUAL RECURRING (+/-)																																																																																																																																										
1.	DISCOUNT FACTOR	(From Table A) =																																																																																																																																									
2.	DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (+) / COST (-)	(3A x 3A1) =																																																																																																																																									
B.	NON-RECURRING (+/-)																																																																																																																																										
	ITEM	SAVINGS (+) COST(-) (1)	YEAR OF OCCURRENCE (2)	DISCOUNT FACTOR (3) SAVINGS/COST (4) (TABLE B)																																																																																																																																							
a.	MATERIAL: NONE																																																																																																																																										
b.	MATERIAL: NONE																																																																																																																																										
c.	MATERIAL: NONE																																																																																																																																										
d.	TOTAL																																																																																																																																										
C.	TOTAL NON-ENERGY DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (+) OR COST (-)			(3A2 + 3Bd4) =																																																																																																																																							

Description	New 100 Horsepower Motor		New 64 kW Static UPS System	
	Driver	Generator	Driver	Generator
<b>Nameplate Data</b>				
Horsepower	250	250	250	250
Rated Volts (Volts)	480	480	480	480
FLA (Amps)	285	285	285	285
Phase	3	3	3	3
Full Load Speed (rpm)	1770	1770	1770	1770
<b>Field Measurements</b>				
Voltage A-B (Volts)	487	210.3	487	210.3
B-C (Volts)	486	210.5	486	210.5
C-A (Volts)	485	210.7	485	210.7
Current A (Amps)	86	79	86	79
B (Amps)	91	52	91	52
C (Amps)	81	67	81	67
Power Factor A	0.465	0.969	0.465	0.969
B	0.47	0.999	0.47	0.999
C	0.42	0.967	0.42	0.967
Motor Speed (rpm)	1796.6	1796.6	1796.6	1796.6
<b>Calculated Values</b>				
Motor Load Fraction (%)	11%	N/A	11%	N/A
Output Power (kW)	21.14	N/A	21.14	N/A
Input Power (kW)	32.70	23.54	32.70	23.54
Motor Sync Speed (rpm)	1800	1800	1800	1800
Motor Efficiency (%)	65%	N/A	65%	N/A
<b>New 100 Horsepower Motor</b>				
Output Power (hp)	28.3	N/A	28.3	N/A
Horsepower (hp)	100	N/A	N/A	N/A
Motor Efficiency (%)	94%	N/A	92%	N/A
Motor Load Fraction (%)	28%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Input Power (kW)	22.49	N/A	22.97	N/A
<b>New 64 kW Static UPS System</b>				
Annual Operating Hours	8760	N/A	8760	N/A
Demand Savings (kW)	10.21	N/A	9.72	N/A
Annual Energy Savings (kWh)	89,454	N/A	85,172	N/A
Demand Cost Savings (\$)		N/A		N/A
Energy Cost Savings (\$)	\$7,344	N/A	\$6,993	N/A
Annual Cost Savings (\$)	\$7,344	N/A	\$6,993	N/A
Installed Cost (\$)	\$20,491	N/A	\$87,000	N/A
<b>Energy Savings</b>				
Diesel Generator (\$)		N/A	\$2,850	N/A
UPS Driver (\$)		N/A	\$2,059	N/A
UPS Generator (\$)		N/A	\$2,059	N/A
Static UPS (\$)		N/A	(\$2,059)	N/A
Total (\$)		N/A	\$4,909	N/A
<b>Maintenance Savings</b>				
Investment Cost (\$)	\$22,847	N/A	\$97,292	N/A
Simple Payback (yrs)	3.1	N/A	13.9	N/A
Life Cycle Cost Savings (\$)	\$110,750	N/A	\$178,488	N/A
SIR	4.85	N/A	1.83	N/A
Rate of Return (AIRR)	12.5%	N/A	7.2%	N/A
<b>Life Cycle Cost Summary</b>				

ENGINEER'S OPINION OF PROBABLE COST							SHEET	1	OF	1			
AREA		ACTIVITY		LOCATION White Sands Missle Range, NM				AMENDMENT NO.					
PROJECT TITLE		UPS Modification		CONTRACT NO. DACA01-94-D-0033									
GEOSS, Energy Conservation Survey													
Line No	Item Description		Unit of Measure	No. of Units	MATERIAL COST		LABOR COST			EQUIPMENT COST		TOTAL COST	
					Unit	Total	Manhrs/ Unit	Total Manhrs	Labor Cost/ Manhour	Total Labor Cost	Unit Cost	Total	Unit Cost
1	Replace 250 hp motor with 100 hp motor				\$0	0.00	0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
2	Electric motor, 100 hp, Premium efficiency	ea	1	\$2,365	\$2,365	20.00	20.00	\$18.50	\$370	\$0.00	\$0	\$2,735	\$2,735
3	Starter, 100 hp, Soft start	ea	1	\$4,450	\$4,450	48.00	48.00	\$18.50	\$888	\$0.00	\$0	\$5,338	\$5,338
4	Remove existing motor	ea	1	\$0.00	\$0	8.00	8.00	\$18.50	\$148	\$0.00	\$0	\$148.00	\$148
5	Travel to Socorro	hrs	12		\$0	1.00	12.00	\$18.50	\$222	\$0.00	\$0	\$18.50	\$222
6	Travel to job site	hrs	8		\$0	1.00	8.00	\$18.50	\$148	\$0.00	\$0	\$18.50	\$148
7	Lodging and per diem	days	10		\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$100.00	\$1,000	\$100.00	\$1,000
8	Mileage	miles	600		\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.30	\$180	\$0.30	\$180
9					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
10					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
11					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
12					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
13					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
14					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
15					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
16					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
17					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
18					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
19					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
20					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
21					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
22					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
23					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
24					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
25					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
26					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
27					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
28					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
29					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
30					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
31					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
32					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
33					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
34					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
35					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
36					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
37					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
38					\$0		0.00	\$18.50	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
39	SUBCONTRACTOR SUBTOTAL				\$6,815		\$96		\$1,776		\$1,180		\$9,771
40	LABOR BURDEN		%	30	\$0				\$533		\$354		\$887
41	SUBTOTAL				\$6,815				\$2,309		\$1,534		\$10,658
42	OVERHEAD		%	12.0	\$818				\$277		\$184		\$1,279
43	SUBTOTAL				\$7,633				\$2,586		\$1,718		\$11,937
44	PROFIT		%	12	\$916				\$310		\$206		\$1,432
45	SUBCONTRACTOR TOTAL				\$8,549				\$2,896		\$1,924		\$13,369
46	OVERHEAD		%	10.95	\$936				\$317		\$211		\$1,464
47	SUBTOTAL				\$9,485				\$3,213		\$2,135		\$14,833
48	PROFIT		%	8	\$759				\$257		\$171		\$1,187
49	SUBTOTAL				\$10,244				\$3,470		\$2,306		\$16,020
50	BOND		%	0.737	\$75				\$26		\$17		\$118
51	SUBTOTAL				\$10,319				\$3,496		\$2,323		\$16,138
52	N. M. TAX		%	5.8125	\$600				\$203		\$135		\$938
53	SUBTOTAL				\$10,919				\$3,699		\$2,458		\$17,076
54	CONTINGENCY		%	20	\$2,184				\$740		\$492		\$3,415
55	GRAND TOTAL				\$13,103				\$4,439		\$2,949		\$20,491

PREPARED BY EMS	APPROVED BY	TITLE OR ORGANIZATION EMC Engineers, Inc.	DATE 11/22/95
--------------------	-------------	--	------------------


EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC  
DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION  
REPORT- BEPS ESTIMATED BUILDING ENERGY PERFORMANCE

DOE-2.1D 8/7/1995 13:34:35 PDL RUN 1  
TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N

ENERGY TYPE  
IN SITE MBTU - ELECTRICITY  
CATEGORY OF USE  
SPACE HEAT 55.12  
SPACE COOL 1569.61  
HVAC AUX 426.65  
DOM HOT WTR 0.00  
AUX SOLAR 0.00  
LIGHTS 288.88  
VERT TRANS 0.00  
MISC EQUIP 964.77  
TOTAL 3305.03

TOTAL SITE ENERGY 3304.94 MBTU 289.9 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 289.9 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
TOTAL SOURCE ENERGY 3304.94 MBTU 289.9 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 289.9 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
PERCENT OF HOURS ANY SYSTEM ZONE OUTSIDE OF THROTTLING RANGE = 0.4  
PERCENT OF HOURS ANY PLANT LOAD NOT SATISFIED = 100.0  
NOTE ELECTRICITY AND/OR FUEL USED TO GENERATE ELECTRICITY IS APPORTIONED BASED  
ON THE YEARLY DEMAND. ALL OTHER ENERGY TYPES ARE APPORTIONED HOURLY.

**TOTAL SITE ELECTRICITY ENERGY USE 3305.02 MBTU**



CRITERIA: Horsepower ..... 250  
Speed (RPM) ..... 1800  
Enclosure ..... Totally Enclosed

Manufacturer: Toshiba  
Model: STD EFF  
Catalog: B2504FLF4BM  
List Price (\$): 13448

	Full	3/4	1/2	1/4
Efficiency (%):	94.1	93.9	92.9	n/a
Power factor:	87.5	86.3	81.7	n/a

Full Load RPM: 1780

Voltage Rating: 460

Frame Size: 505UZ

Features: n/a

Warranty (yrs): n/a

Service Factor: 1.15

	FL	BD	LR
Torque (ft-lb):	738	1705	2214

	Idle	FL	LR
Current (amps):	72.0	285	1820

CRITERIA: Horsepower ..... 100  
Speed (RPM) ..... 1800  
Enclosure ..... Totally Enclosed

Manufacturer: Reliance  
Model: TEFC U-FRAME STD EFF  
Catalog: P44G611  
List Price (\$): 7343

	Full	3/4	1/2	1/4
Efficiency (%):	95.8	96.1	95.9	94.0
Power factor:	90.5	89.1	84.4	68.0

Full Load RPM: 1786  
Voltage Rating: 460  
Frame Size: 445U  
Features: U-Frame  
Warranty (yrs): 1  
Service Factor: 1.15

	FL	BD	LR
Torque (ft-lb):	294	687	406

	Idle	FL	LR
Current (amps):	n/a	108	677

# Facsimile Cover Sheet

To: Dennis Jones

Company: EMC

Phone: \_\_\_\_\_  
Fax: 985-2527

From: Bill Kirkendoll

Company: GE SUPPLY, DENVER

Phone: 303-572-7115  
Fax: 303-572-7120

Date: 7/13/95

Pages including this  
cover page: 5

Comments:

Estimated Quote on  
100hp Motor & Solid State  
Soft Start  
Starter



GE Supply

William M. Kirkendoll  
Rocky Mountain Region Motor Specialist

425 Quivas St., Denver, CO 80204  
303 572-7115, 1 800 332-5853, Fax: 303 572-7120

(Good Luck!)

Bill



**GE Supply  
Quotation**

General Electric Company

EMC Engineers, Inc.  
10 2750 S. Welkworth Blvd  
Suite C-200  
Denver CO 80227  
Attn: Dennis Jones

Unless otherwise stated, this quotation expires 30 days from its date, may be modified or withdrawn by GE Supply prior to any acceptance and supersedes all previous quotations and agreements relating to this transaction. All communications should refer to the quotation number and be addressed to our office at the above address.

GENERAL QUOTE NUMBER	JOB/PROJECT	CUSTOMER REQUEST FOR QUOTE	DATE	TIME	PAGE
WMK71395002			7/13/95		

GE Supply is pleased to offer  
the following quotation:

I will offer an estimated Price  
with the following assumptions:

1. Motor RPM - 1800

2. Motor Enclosure - Open dryproof

3. Nema Load Inertia - 441 lb-ft<sup>2</sup>

(with a large Flywheel The W/K<sup>2</sup> on load inertia is critical.)

Item 1. 100 hp, 1800 RPM, Energy Saver  
ODP, 404T Frame, 230/460/3/60 (1) \$2365.00  
each

Item 2. 100 hp GE ASTAT-CD Solid State  
Reduced Voltage Starter, Nema (1) \$4450.00  
each

Delivery: Motor is stock subject to prior sale  
the Starter is 4 weeks.

Freight: Prepaid to Denver

*Best Regards, Bill Kunkel*

SALE OF ANY GOODS COVERED BY THIS QUOTATION IS EXPRESSLY CONDITIONED UPON THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS CONTAINED OR REFERRED TO HEREIN, INCLUDING THOSE SET FORTH ON  
THE BACK OF THIS LETTER AND THOSE CONTAINED IN ANY ATTACHMENTS HERETO.


JC107 Rev 13-80

*Driproof*

**Frames 182 - 449, Type K, KS, KG, KGS and KR  
3 Phase**

**DIMENSIONS—For ESTIMATING ONLY**

### Dimensions



Frame	Ap- prox- imate Net Wt In Lb	Dimensions in inches																					
		SHAFT						MOUNTING						A	B	C	D	G	J	K	L	O	P
		Keyway		Key Length	N-W	U	V	E	H	BA	BS	2F	2xF										
		Width	Depth																				
182T	64	.250	.125	1.75	2.75	1.125	2.50	3.75	.406	2.75	2.25	4.50	8.68	6.64	14.58	4.50	0.54	1.60	2.32	5.82	9.18	9.34	
184T	80	.250	.125	1.75	2.75	1.125	2.50	3.75	.406	2.75	2.75	5.50	8.68	6.64	14.58	4.50	.64	1.60	2.38	6.32	9.18	9.34	
213T	120	.312	.156	2.35	3.38	1.375	3.12	4.25	.406	3.50	3.50	7.00	9.60	8.00	17.26	5.25	.80	1.30	2.80	6.88	10.44	10.34	
215T	125	.312	.156	2.35	3.38	1.375	3.12	4.25	.406	3.50	3.50	7.00	9.60	8.00	17.26	5.25	.80	1.30	2.80	6.88	10.44	10.34	
254T	180	.375	.188	2.62	4.00	1.825	3.75	5.00	.531	4.25	5.00	10.00	8.50	11.20	11.30	22.31	6.25	.70	1.50	2.35	9.06	12.44	12.28
256T	220	.375	.188	2.62	4.00	1.825	3.75	5.00	.531	4.25	5.00	10.00	8.50	11.20	11.30	22.31	6.25	.70	1.50	2.35	9.06	12.44	12.28
284T8	285	.375	.188	1.88	3.25	1.825	3.00	5.50	.530	4.75	5.50	-----	9.50	12.40	12.80	23.56	7.00	.80	1.70	3.30	10.06	13.84	13.76
284T	265	.600	.250	3.25	4.62	1.875	4.38	6.50	.530	4.75	5.50	-----	9.50	12.40	12.80	23.56	7.00	.80	1.70	3.30	10.06	13.84	13.76
286TS	325	.375	.188	1.88	3.25	1.825	3.00	6.50	.530	4.75	5.50	11.00	-----	12.40	12.80	23.56	7.00	.80	1.70	3.30	10.06	12.94	13.78
288T	325	.500	.250	3.25	4.62	1.875	4.38	6.50	.530	4.75	5.50	11.00	-----	12.40	12.80	23.56	7.00	.80	1.70	3.30	10.06	13.84	13.76
324TS	435	.800	.250	2.00	3.76	1.875	3.80	6.25	.656	5.25	6.00	-----	10.50	14.40	13.80	26.06	8.00	1.00	1.70	3.60	11.06	15.84	15.72
324T	435	.800	.250	3.88	6.25	2.125	5.00	6.25	.656	5.25	6.00	-----	10.50	14.40	13.80	27.68	8.00	1.00	1.70	3.60	11.06	15.84	15.72
326TS	450	.500	.250	2.00	3.76	1.875	3.50	6.25	.656	5.25	6.00	12.00	-----	14.40	13.80	26.06	8.00	1.00	1.70	3.60	11.06	15.84	15.72
326T	450	.500	.250	3.88	6.25	2.125	5.00	6.25	.656	5.25	6.00	12.00	-----	14.40	13.80	27.56	8.00	1.00	1.70	3.60	11.06	15.84	15.72
364TS	850	.600	.250	2.00	3.75	1.875	3.80	7.00	.656	5.88	6.12	-----	11.25	16.00	14.40	27.68	9.00	1.10	2.20	3.40	11.81	17.94	17.68
364T	850	.625	.312	4.25	6.38	2.375	5.62	7.00	.656	5.88	6.12	-----	11.25	16.00	14.40	29.88	9.00	1.10	2.20	3.40	11.81	17.94	17.68
365TS	700	.500	.250	2.00	3.75	1.875	3.80	7.00	.656	5.88	6.12	12.25	-----	16.00	14.40	27.56	9.00	1.10	2.20	3.40	11.81	17.94	17.68
366T	700	.625	.312	4.25	6.38	2.375	5.62	7.00	.656	5.88	6.12	12.25	-----	16.00	14.40	29.69	9.00	1.10	2.20	3.40	11.81	17.94	17.68
404TS	925	.600	.250	2.75	4.25	2.125	4.00	8.00	.810	6.62	6.88	-----	12.25	18.00	16.00	31.06	10.00	1.30	2.40	4.10	13.31	18.94	18.84
404T	925	.750	.375	5.62	7.25	2.675	7.00	8.00	.810	6.62	6.88	-----	12.25	18.00	16.00	34.06	10.00	1.30	2.40	4.10	13.31	18.94	18.84
405TS	950	.500	.250	2.75	4.25	2.125	4.00	8.00	.810	6.62	6.88	13.75	-----	18.00	16.00	31.06	10.00	1.30	2.40	4.10	13.31	18.94	18.84
405T	950	.750	.375	5.62	7.25	2.675	7.00	8.00	.810	6.62	6.88	13.75	-----	18.00	16.00	34.06	10.00	1.30	2.40	4.10	13.31	18.94	18.84
444TS	1225	.625	.312	3.00	4.75	2.375	4.60	9.00	.810	7.50	8.25	-----	14.50	20.00	18.80	38.06	11.00	1.50	2.80	4.90	15.66	21.94	21.60
444T	1225	.675	.437	6.88	8.50	3.375	8.25	9.00	.810	7.50	8.25	16.50	-----	20.00	18.80	38.66	11.00	1.50	2.80	4.90	15.66	21.94	21.60
445TS	1300	.625	.312	3.00	4.75	2.375	4.50	9.00	.810	7.50	8.25	16.50	-----	20.00	18.80	39.81	11.00	1.50	2.80	4.90	15.66	21.94	21.60
445T	1300	.675	.437	6.88	8.50	3.376	8.25	9.00	.810	7.50	8.25	16.50	-----	20.00	18.80	44.56	11.00	1.50	2.80	4.90	15.66	21.94	21.60
449TS	1690	.625	.312	3.00	4.75	2.375	4.50	9.00	.810	7.50	12.50	25.00	-----	20.00	27.30	48.31	11.00	1.50	2.80	4.90	19.81	21.94	21.60
449T	1690	.675	.437	6.88	8.50	3.375	8.25	9.00	.810	7.50	12.50	25.00	-----	20.00	27.30	48.55	11.00	1.50	2.80	4.90	19.81	21.94	21.60
449T1	1690	.675	.437	4.50	6.75	3.375	9.60	9.00	.810	7.50	12.50	25.00	-----	20.00	27.30	48.55	11.00	1.50	2.80	4.90	19.81	21.94	21.60

#### **CONDUIT BOX DIMENSIONS**

Frame	Nominal Hd	Approx Vol	Dimensions in inches					
			AA	AB	AC	AF	XL	XN
<b>STANDARD CONDUIT BOX ©</b>								
182-184	5	32	0.50	7.03	6.06	1.32	2.64	6.12
213-215	10	30	.50	8.86	7.20	2.38	5.00	3.52
254-256	20	78	1.25	10.31	8.25	3.50	6.06	5.52
284-286	30	137	1.50	12.53	9.78	4.34	7.59	6.26
324-326	60	370	2.00	15.20	11.46	6.44	10.89	8.56
364-365	75	370	3.00	18.26	12.51	8.44	10.89	8.56
404-405	125	700	3.00	19.01	14.88	7.00	11.75	10.00
444-449	200	700	3.00	20.32	16.19	7.00	11.75	10.00
444-449	250-300	1800	4.00	21.86	16.44	7.00	13.68	17.00
444-449	350-400	1800	4.00	21.86	16.44	7.00	13.68	17.00
444-449	450-500	1500	2-3.00	21.86	16.44	7.00	13.68	17.00
444-449	600	1500	2-4.00	21.86	16.44	7.00	13.68	17.00

#### **OVERSIZE CONDUIT BOX**

OVERSIZE CONDOR BOX									
182-184	6	32	0.50	7.03	8.06	1.32	2.64	8.12	B.52
213-215	10	78	1.25	8.31	7.26	3.85	6.06	B.52	8.25
254-256	20	- 137	1.50	12.16	9.41	4.36	7.59	B.56	
284-286	30	- 370	2.00	15.83	10.19	6.44	10.89	B.56	
324-326	50	370	3.00	15.20	11.46	6.44	10.69	B.56	
384-365	75	700	3.00	18.01	13.88	7.00	11.75	10.00	
404-406	125	825	4.00	18.43	14.13	7.00	13.16	14.12	
444-449	200	925	4.00	19.74	15.44	7.00	13.16	14.12	
444-449	250-300	2500	2-4.00	21.54	18.44	7.00	13.68	27.25	
444-449	350-400	2500	2-4.00	21.54	18.44	7.00	13.68	27.25	
444-449	450-500	2500	2-4.00	21.54	18.44	7.00	13.68	27.25	
444-449	600	2500	2-4.00	21.54	18.44	7.00	13.68	27.26	

① Shaft diameters  $1\frac{1}{2}$  inches and smaller will come within the limits of +0.0001 inch -0.0005 inch; diameters  $1\frac{1}{2}$  inches and larger +0.0001 inch -0.0001 inch.

$\frac{1}{16}$ " represents length of straight part of shaft extension.

④ "V" represents length of straight part  
E.g., if "D" dimensions will be:

④ Tolerance on "D" dimensions will be:  
 $D = 1827.326T \pm 0.000$  inch - 0.032 inch

On Frames 1821-3261 +0.000 inch.  
On Frames 364-449T +0.(XX) inch -0.060 inch.  
④ Oversized conduit box will be provided for 125 hp and larger for less

⑥ Hole for  $\frac{1}{2}$  inch conduit with knockouts for 0.75 and 1.00-inch conduit. The knockout is to be used with the NEMA E-1 or E-2 assembly.

- ⑧ Motor feet have 2 holes-per-foot allowing NEMA F-1 or F-2 assembly while maintaining critical NEMA mounting dimensions.

Providing mounting conditions permit, conduit box may be turned so that entrance can be made upward, downward, or from either side.

Weights shown are approximate shipping weights and should be used for estimating only.

estimating only.

**Premium Efficiency, Energy Saver® Motors****Code KS, NEMA Design B, Continuous****40 C Ambient, 60 Hertz, 460 Volts, 3-phase, 1.15 Service Factor****Performance Data****Driproof, Rolled Steel Frames 182-286, Aluminum Frames 324-449**

Horse power	Full-load RPM	Ampere				NEMA Code Letter	Torque			Efficiency $\eta$				Power Factor $\phi$			Max. KVAR $\phi$	No Load dBA Sound Press. $\phi$
		Full-load	Full-load	NEMA Locked Rotor	Full-load		NEMA %FL	NEMA BD %FL	FL Nominal $\phi$	FL Guaranteed (min.)	3/4 load $\phi$	1/2-load $\phi$	Full-load	3/4-load	1/2-load			
		460v	200v (max.)	Lb.-in.	(min.)		(min.)	(min.)	(min.)	(min.)	(min.)	(min.)	(min.)	(min.)	(min.)	(min.)		
1 1/2	1170	2.3	4.8	20.0	M	6.7	165	250	87.5	85.5	88.8	88.8	72.0	66.0	53.2	1.0	51	
2	1165	2.9	6.4	25.0	L	9.0	160	240	87.5	85.5	89.0	87.8	74.0	68.2	55.8	.9	51	
3	1765	3.9	8.6	32.0	K	8.9	215	250	90.2	88.5	91.4	90.2	80.0	75.4	84.0	1.5	55	
	1175	4.2	9.2	32.0	K	13.4	155	230	89.5	87.5	89.8	88.1	75.0	70.0	58.1	1.7	57	
5	3520	6.2	13.6	46.0	J	7.5	150	215	89.5	87.5	92.1	91.6	85.5	85.0	76.6	1.8	68	
	1755	6.6	14.6	46.0	J	15.0	185	225	89.5	87.5	90.9	90.5	80.0	76.8	66.2	2.2	55	
	1170	6.9	15.4	46.0	J	22.5	150	215	88.5	87.5	89.8	88.5	76.0	71.5	59.9	2.8	57	
7 1/2	3500	9.2	20.8	63.5	H	11.3	140	200	89.5	87.5	92.1	92.2	86.0	86.3	78.8	2.2	68	
	1785	9.4	22.2	63.5	H	22.3	175	215	91.7	90.2	92.9	92.6	81.5	80.6	73.0	2.5	61	
	1180	10.8	23.6	63.5	H	33.4	150	205	91.7	90.2	92.6	91.4	71.5	67.5	56.1	4.4	59	
10	3530	12.0	27.4	81.0	H	14.9	135	200	90.2	88.5	92.1	91.7	87.0	87.8	80.9	2.8	70	
	1780	12.7	29.8	81.0	H	29.8	160	200	91.7	90.2	93.1	92.9	80.5	79.3	71.5	3.5	61	
	1175	14.3	31.4	81.0	H	44.6	150	200	91.7	90.2	92.7	91.7	71.5	67.2	55.8	5.9	59	
15	3520	17.5	40.8	116.0	G	22.4	130	200	91.0	89.5	92.8	92.8	88.5	89.7	84.8	3.3	70	
	1770	18.8	43.4	116.0	G	44.5	160	200	93.0	91.7	94.1	93.7	81.5	80.0	72.0	5.3	66	
	1180	19.9	48.6	116.0	G	66.8	140	200	92.4	91.0	92.5	91.8	76.5	74.7	65.6	8.5	61	
20	3540	23.2	52.8	145.0	G	29.6	130	200	92.4	91.0	94.3	93.9	87.5	88.5	82.9	4.8	71	
	1770	24.4	56.8	145.0	G	59.3	150	200	93.8	92.4	94.5	94.2	82.0	81.0	73.5	6.8	68	
	1175	26.7	64.6	145.0	G	89.4	135	200	92.4	91.0	93.8	93.6	76.0	75.1	66.7	8.2	61	
	885	26.2	-	145.0	G	118.5	125	200	92.4	91.0	93.2	92.9	77.5	74.3	64.1	9.0	64	
25	3540	28.1	64.8	182.5	G	37.1	130	200	93.0	91.7	94.8	94.6	89.5	90.8	88.4	4.8	71	
	1775	29.8	70.4	182.5	G	74.0	150	200	94.1	93.0	94.9	94.8	83.5	77.9	59.0	8.9	67	
	1180	30.0	-	182.5	G	111.4	135	200	93.8	92.7	94.4	94.5	83.5	83.0	78.5	7.2	64	
	885	32.5	-	182.5	G	148.5	125	200	92.4	91.4	93.2	93.2	78.0	75.3	65.6	10.8	64	
30	3560	33.2	76.2	217.5	G	44.2	130	200	93.6	92.4	94.6	93.9	90.5	91.8	87.8	5.6	73	
	1770	36.0	85.4	217.5	G	88.9	150	200	94.1	93.0	94.9	94.8	83.0	83.5	78.0	8.2	64	
	1180	38.0	-	217.5	G	133.7	135	200	93.6	92.7	94.6	94.7	83.5	82.6	78.2	8.7	65	
	890	39.5	-	217.5	G	176.9	125	200	93.6	92.7	94.3	93.8	76.0	72.9	62.3	12.2	65	
40	3560	44.2	101.8	290.0	G	69.0	125	200	93.6	92.4	94.8	94.5	87.0	88.5	80.9	7.8	66	
	1780	45.5	-	290.0	G	118.0	140	200	94.5	93.7	95.4	95.4	85.5	84.3	77.8	9.8	66	
	1185	46.8	-	290.0	G	176.9	135	200	94.1	93.3	94.5	94.2	76.0	71.5	60.6	17.8	66	
	890	53.3	-	290.0	G	236.0	125	200	93.8	92.7	94.0	93.5	76.0	71.5	60.6	17.8	79	
50	3580	54.3	-	382.5	G	73.7	120	200	93.0	92.0	93.5	92.9	92.0	93.6	92.0	6.5	79	
	1780	57.2	-	382.5	G	145.7	140	200	94.5	93.7	95.4	95.4	88.5	85.8	79.8	12.8	70	
	1185	58.2	-	382.5	G	221.2	135	200	94.1	93.3	94.7	94.5	86.5	84.5	78.1	12.1	69	
	890	59.3	-	382.5	G	295.5	125	200	93.8	92.7	94.8	95.0	84.0	82.6	75.4	13.0	69	
60	3585	65.9	-	435.0	G	88.4	120	200	93.8	92.7	94.0	93.4	91.0	93.1	90.3	9.1	73	
	1790	69.1	-	435.0	G	175.2	140	200	95.4	94.7	95.4	94.8	85.5	84.2	77.7	15.4	69	
	1190	69.2	-	435.0	G	284.7	135	200	95.0	94.3	95.6	95.4	85.5	84.4	77.9	14.7	69	
	890	71.4	-	435.0	G	354.7	125	200	94.1	93.3	96.0	95.1	83.6	82.4	75.2	15.8	69	
75	3575	80.7	-	542.5	G	110.2	105	200	94.5	93.7	95.1	94.8	92.0	93.3	90.7	10.4	83	
	1790	87.1	-	542.5	G	220.3	140	200	96.4	94.7	95.6	95.1	84.5	83.5	76.7	20.3	73	
	1190	85.5	-	542.5	G	330.7	135	200	95.4	94.7	96.0	95.7	86.0	84.9	78.8	17.7	69	
	890	88.0	-	542.5	G	443.5	125	200	94.5	93.7	95.3	95.3	84.6	83.3	77.3	17.5	69	
100	3575	110.0	-	725.0	G	146.9	105	200	94.5	93.7	95.2	94.9	89.5	90.5	86.1	20.8	83	
	1790	115.0	-	725.0	G	293.2	125	200	96.2	95.7	96.2	95.7	85.0	82.9	74.9	30.4	69	
	1190	110.0	-	725.0	G	440.7	126	200	95.4	94.7	96.0	95.8	89.0	88.7	84.3	18.1	69	
	890	117.0	-	725.0	G	591.8	125	200	95.0	94.3	95.3	95.2	84.0	82.6	76.2	26.4	69	
125	3570	136.0	-	907.5	G	183.8	100	200	95.0	94.3	94.6	93.9	90.0	91.7	88.6	19.8	93	
	1785	135.0	-	907.5	G	368.2	110	200	95.4	94.7	96.0	96.1	90.5	90.7	87.4	18.7	78	
	1190	138.0	-	907.5	G	550.9	125	200	95.4	94.7	96.1	96.0	88.5	88.5	84.3	22.8	69	
	890	149.0	-	907.5	G	739.3	120	200	95.0	94.3	95.5	95.5	82.5	80.3	71.6	37.5	69	

① Average expected values - do not use as guaranteed values. Efficiency, speed, torque, power factor and sound values are the same for 200, 230, or 460 volt. Current values vary inversely with voltage.  
 ② Recommended maximum capacitor rating when capacitor and motor are switched as a unit.

③ Sound Power dBA -  $10^{-10}$  watts; Sound Pressure (dBA) measured in a free field with a reference pressure of 0.00002 pascals, average reading at three feet.  
 ④ Tested in accordance with IEEE Standard 112, Test Method B, using accuracy improvement by segregated loss determination including stray load loss improvement as specified in NEMA Standard MG1-12.63a.



## ASTAT-CD Soft Starter

### New

*Advanced microprocessor technology for reliability and versatility*

*Heavy-duty, rugged construction*

*Simplified setup using keypad and digital display*

*Easy-to-read alphanumeric digital display shows status of working conditions and provides diagnostics when fault conditions occur*

*User-configurable for most applications including pump control, DC injection braking, slow speed and soft start*

*Outstanding diagnostics capability means easy maintenance, reduced downtime; 17 fault codes*


*Integral electronic overload relay for optimum motor protection; selectable for standard or heavy-duty applications*

*RS-422/485 communications link available for remote operation*


*Energy saving mode reduces power costs and reactive power*

*User-configurable output relay for increased versatility*

*Built-in Snubbers and MOVs protect against harmful voltage spikes*



**ASTAT**



## SUPPORTEK, INC.

## FAX TRANSMISSION

TO: Dennis Jones  
COMPANY: EMC  
FAX NUMBER: 985-2527

DATE: 7-11-95  
FROM: Tom Ebner  
TOTAL # OF PAGES:

## REGARDING:

---

---

---

---

---

---

If transmission is incomplete or illegible, please contact us at: (303)293-3112.


## Budget Prices

Model 80	80 kVA/64 kWh	\$58,000
Model 125	125 kVA/100 kWh	\$68,000

Add 50% for installation

## Powerware® Plus 80 On-line Uninterruptible Power System

MODEL 50	50kVA / 40kW
MODEL 65	65kVA / 52kW
MODEL 80	80kVA / 64kW



The Exide Electronics Powerware Plus 80 combines on-line UPS technology with the latest in network communications. A complete solution for your mission-critical applications. For use in both mainframe and client/server environments, the Plus 80 gives you:

- Continuous on-line protection
- Superior system reliability
- World class quality
- Flexible network communications

The Exide Electronics Powerware Plus 80 UPS provides power protection through superior on-line technology, flexible communications and user-friendly operation. A variety of options allows easy integration of the

Plus 80 into centralized or remote monitoring systems, and network adapters provide LAN connectivity and SNMP compatibility. Other communications options include remote terminal capabilities, remote monitoring panel and remote emergency power-off, which are available through standard RS-232 and RS-485 ports.

**Powerful, yet easy to understand.** The Powerware Plus 80's monitor panel features a large easy-to-read LCD, push-button controls, operational metering features, utility statistics and intelligent alarm management. These features allow you to quickly monitor UPS

operations and the status of the supported system. A battery monitoring and test system proactively identifies the battery time available should a loss of utility power occur.

The Powerware Plus 80 is equipped with intelligent controls, dual-feed input capability, self-diagnostics, redundant fans and redundant control power supplies. The integration of insulated gate bipolar transistors (IGBTs) into a high speed inverter lets the Plus 80 more effectively support demanding non-linear loads, such as PCs, laser printers and industrial motor drives.

**Powerware Plus 80 Performance Characteristics**

		Model 50					Model 65					Model 80				
		50kVA/40kW					65kVA/52kW					80kVA/64kW				
Input Voltage †	Volts	480	480	208	600	400	480	480	208	600	400	480	480	208	600	400
Output Voltage †	Volts	208	480	208	208	400	208	480	208	208	400	208	480	208	208	400
Input Voltage Range																
Minimum	Volts	408	408	177	510	340	408	408	177	510	340	408	408	177	510	340
Maximum	Volts	528	528	229	660	440	528	528	229	660	440	528	528	229	660	440
Input / Output Frequency	Hz	60	60	60	60	50/60	60	60	60	60	50/60	60	60	60	60	50/60
AC Input (With input filter)																
Nominal Amps	Amps	56	55	128	45	69	72	72	167	58	90	89	89	205	72	106
Maximum Amps	Amps	69	69	160	56	86	90	90	208	72	112	111	111	256	89	133
AC Input (Without input filter)																
Nominal Amps	Amps	66	66	150	52	81	85	85	195	68	105	105	105	241	84	126
Maximum Amps	Amps	82	82	188	65	101	106	106	245	85	131	131	131	301	105	157
Bypass Input																
Nominal Amps	Amps	60	60	139	48	74	78	78	180	63	97	96	96	222	77	115
AC Output																
Nominal Amps	Amps	139	60	139	139	74	180	78	180	180	97	222	96	222	222	115
10 Minutes Max.	Amps	174	75	174	174	93	225	98	225	225	121	278	120	278	278	144
DC Link																
Nominal DC Voltage	Volts	480	480	480	480	480	480	480	480	480	480	480	480	480	480	480
Float Voltage	Volts	540	540	540	540	540	540	540	540	540	540	540	540	540	540	540
End of Discharge	Volts	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401
Maximum Amps	Amps	100	100	100	100	100	130	130	130	130	130	160	160	160	160	160
Physical Attributes (w/o batt.)																
Installed Weight ††	Lbs	2000	2000	2475	3400	2475	2000	2000	2475	3400	2475	2000	2000	2475	3400	2475
Installed Width	Inches	34	34	34	58	34	34	34	34	58	34	34	34	34	58	34
Systems Efficiencies																
@ 100% Load	%	92	92	91	91	91	92	92	91	91	91	92	92	90	90	91
@ 75% Load	%	92	92	90	90	90	92	92	91	91	91	92	92	91	91	91
@ 50% Load	%	91	91	88	88	88	92	92	89	89	89	92	92	90	90	90
Full Load Heat Dissipation																
BTU/Hr. (x1000)		11.9	11.9	13.5	13.5	13.5	15.4	15.4	17.6	17.6	17.6	19.0	19.0	24.3	24.3	21.5
KCal/Hr. (x1000)		3.00	3.00	3.40	3.40	3.40	3.89	3.89	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.79	4.79	6.12	6.12	5.45
Inverter Efficiency (Full Load)	%	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	93

† Easily adjustable for 380, 400 or 415 VAC Input/Output, 50 or 60 Hz

†† All cabinets are 73.5 inches (1867 mm) high and 31.5 inches (800 mm) in depth

**Powerware Plus 80 Battery Protection Time At 25°C (In Minutes)**

Battery Cabinet	Nominal DC Voltage	Total Battery Cabinets	Output Load on UPS in kW						Weight (lbs.)	Width (in.)
			20	26	32	40	52	64		
5508	480	1	38	25	19	13	8	5	2,225	24
8008	480	1	60	45	35	26	16	12	3,325	36
5516	480	2	90	60	52	39	27	19	4,450	48
8016	480	2	125	95	80	60	46	35	6,850	72
8024	480	3	185	140	115	95	70	59	9,975	108
8032	480	4	240+	190	155	125	95	80	13,300	144

All battery cabinets are 73.5 inches (1867mm) high and 31.5 inches (800mm) in depth; Line-up configuration




Specifications subject to change without notice.

All previously mentioned corporate names and brands are registered as trademarks by their respective companies.

1-800-554-3448 (Toll-free in US & Canada) World Headquarters, 8521 Six Forks Road, Raleigh, NC 27615 USA  
 Telephone (US): 1-919-872-3020; Fax (US): 1-800-75-EXIDE; International Telephone: 1-919-870-3150; International Fax: 1-919-870-3300

## Powerware® Plus 150 On-line Uninterruptible Power System

MODEL 100	100kVA / 80kW
MODEL 125	125kVA / 100kW
MODEL 150	150kVA / 120kW



Powerware Plus 40 / 50 / 65 / 80 (left)  
Powerware Plus 125 / 150 (right)

The Exide Electronics Powerware Plus 150 combines on-line UPS technology with the latest in network communications. A complete solution for your mission-critical applications. For use in both mainframe and client/server environments, the Plus 150 gives you:

- Continuous on-line protection
- Superior system reliability
- World class quality
- Flexible network communications

The Exide Electronics Powerware Plus 150 UPS provides power protection through superior on-line technology, flexible communications and user-friendly operation. A variety of options allows easy integration of the

Plus 150 into centralized or remote monitoring systems, and network adapters provide LAN connectivity and SNMP compatibility. Other communications options include remote terminal capabilities, remote monitoring panel and remote emergency power-off, which are available through standard RS-232 and RS-485 ports.

**Powerful, yet easy to understand.** The Powerware Plus 150's monitor panel features a large easy-to-read LCD, push-button controls, operational metering features, utility statistics and intelligent alarm management. These features allow you to quickly monitor UPS

operations and the status of the supported system. A battery monitoring and test system proactively identifies the battery time available, should a loss of utility power occur.

The Powerware Plus 150 is equipped with intelligent controls, dual-feed input capability, self-diagnostics, redundant fans and redundant control power supplies. The integration of insulated gate bipolar transistors (IGBTs) into a high speed inverter lets the Plus 150 more effectively support demanding non-linear loads, such as PCs, laser printers and industrial motor drives.

**Powerware Plus 150 Performance Characteristics**

		Model 100					Model 125					Model 150				
		100kVA/80kW					125kVA/100kW					150kVA/120kW				
<b>Input Voltage †</b>	Volts	480	480	208	600	400	480	480	208	600	400	480	480	208	600	400
<b>Output Voltage †</b>	Volts	208	480	208	208	400	208	480	208	208	400	208	480	208	208	400
<b>Input Voltage Range</b>																
Minimum	Volts	408	408	177	510	340	408	408	177	510	340	408	408	177	510	340
Maximum	Volts	528	528	229	660	440	528	528	229	660	440	528	528	229	660	440
<b>Input / Output Frequency</b>	Hz	60	60	60	60	50/60	60	60	60	60	50/60	60	60	60	60	50/60
<b>AC Input (With input filter)</b>																
Nominal Amps	Amps	111	111	256	89	134	139	139	320	111	168	166	166	384	134	200
Maximum Amps	Amps	139	139	320	111	168	174	174	400	139	210	208	208	480	167	250
<b>AC Input (Without input filter)</b>																
Nominal Amps	Amps	130	130	302	105	158	163	163	377	130	198	196	196	452	157	235
Maximum Amps	Amps	163	163	377	131	198	204	204	471	163	247	245	245	565	196	294
<b>Bypass Input</b>																
Nominal Amps	Amps	120	120	278	96	146	150	150	347	120	182	180	180	416	144	217
<b>AC Output</b>																
Nominal Amps	Amps	278	120	278	278	145	347	150	347	347	182	416	180	416	416	217
10 Minutes Max.	Amps	348	150	348	348	183	434	188	434	434	228	520	225	520	520	271
<b>DC Link</b>																
Nominal DC Voltage	Volts	480	480	480	480	480	480	480	480	480	480	480	480	480	480	480
Float Voltage	Volts	540	540	540	540	540	540	540	540	540	540	540	540	540	540	540
End of Discharge	Volts	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401
Maximum Amps	Amps	200	200	200	200	200	250	250	250	250	250	300	300	300	300	300
<b>Physical Attributes (w/o batt.)</b>																
Installed Weight ††	Lbs	3150	3150	5000	5000	3975	3150	3150	5000	5000	3975	3150	3150	5000	5000	3975
Installed Width	Inches	49	49	73	73	49	49	49	73	73	49	49	49	73	73	49
<b>Systems Efficiencies</b>																
@ 100% Load	%	92	92	91	91	91	92	92	91	91	91	92	92	90	90	91
@ 75% Load	%	92	92	90	90	90	92	92	91	91	91	92	92	91	91	91
@ 50% Load	%	91	91	88	88	88	92	92	89	89	89	92	92	90	90	90
<b>Full Load Heat Dissipation</b>																
BTU/Hr. (x1000)		23.6	23.8	27.0	27.0	27.0	29.7	29.7	33.8	33.8	33.8	35.6	35.6	45.5	45.5	40.5
KCal/Hr. (x1000)		5.99	5.99	6.81	6.81	6.81	7.48	7.48	8.51	8.51	8.51	8.98	8.98	11.47	11.47	10.21
Inverter Efficiency (Full Load)	%	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	93


† Easily adjustable for 380, 400 or 415 VAC Input/Output, 50 or 60 Hz

TT All cabinets are 73.5 inches (1867 mm) high and 31.5 inches (800 mm) in depth

**Powerware Plus 150 Battery Protection Time At 25°C (In Minutes)**

Battery Cabinet	Nominal DC Voltage	Total Battery Cabinets	Output Load on UPS in kW	40	50	60	80	100	120	Weight (lbs.)	Width (in.)
5508	480	1		14	9	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	2,225	24
8008	480	1		28	20	15	9	5	N/A	3,325	36
5516	480	2		38	28	21	14	9	5	4,450	48
8016	480	2		60	48	40	27	20	15	6,650	72
8024	480	3		90	70	60	46	35	28	9,975	108
8032	480	4		120	95	80	60	49	40	13,300	144

All battery cabinets are 73.5 inches (1867mm) high and 31.5 inches (800mm) in depth; Line-up configuration



Specifications subject to change without notice.

Previously mentioned corporate names and brands are registered as trademarks by their respective companies.

1-800-554-3468 (Call-free in US &amp; Canada) World Headquarters, 8521 Six Forks Road, Raleigh, NC 27615 USA

Telephone (US): 1-919-872-3020; Fax (US): 1-800-75-EXIDE; International Telephone: 1-919-870-3150; International Fax: 1-919-870-3300



## 4.8 ECO 8: CHILLER REPLACEMENT

**Proposed Modifications:** Replace the current chillers with more efficient and environment-friendly chillers. The proposed chillers are scroll air-cooled 40-ton chillers.

The main advantage of a scroll air-cooled chiller is its part-load efficiency is very high compared to the existing chillers. This is important for the GEODDS site since it operates at partial loads the majority of the year. The proposed chillers at full-load use 1.51 kW/ton, but at half load this ratio drops to 1.02 kW/ton.

**Existing Conditions:** The current chillers at full-load use 1.43 kW/ton. At half-load, this ratio increases to 1.72 kW/ton. These chillers also use R-22 refrigerant as their coolant which has been linked to the destruction of the ozone layer.

**Method of Analysis:** Analysis proceeded as follows:

- The nameplate information of the chillers was obtained during the field survey, as were the specifications on the replacement chillers.
- Manufacturer's specifications were used to determine the tonnages and kW consumed of both existing and proposed chillers at part-loads.
- The baseline computer model was modified to reflect the replacement of the current chillers with scroll air-cooled chillers.
- The savings from the avoided cost of replacing the existing chillers in 10 years, at the end of their expected useful life, was included in the analysis.

**Results:** Replacing the chillers will result in large energy and cost savings. The LCCA is summarized in the following table.

Annual Electric Energy Savings (kWh)	85,453
Total Annual Energy Cost Savings	\$7,016
Annual Maintenance Cost Savings	\$0
Discounted Replacement Cost Savings	\$93,865
Investment Cost	\$99,539
Savings-to-Investment Ratio (SIR)	2.01
Simple Payback (Years)	8.30

**Recommendations:** The current chillers should be replaced by two 30-ton scroll chillers. The GEODSS site will see an approximate 8% decrease in energy consumption.

E M C Engineers, Inc.  
EMC#1406-008  
GEODSS, White Sands Missile Range  
DACA 63-92-C-0152

CHILLER REPLACEMENT

ECO-8.XLS  
Prepared by: D Jones  
11/10/95  
Checked by: \_\_\_\_\_

1. COMPONENT ARMY	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE Apr-95																																																		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GEODSS Site, White Sands Missile Range, NM																																																				
4. PROJECT TITLE ECIP: Upgrade Lighting Systems	5. PROJECT NUMBER																																																			
LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS SUMMARY ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM (ECIP)																																																				
LOCATION: GEODSS Site, White Sands Missile Range, NM PROJECT TITLE: ECIP: REPLACE CHILLERS DISCRETE PORTION NAME: TOTAL ANALYSIS DATE: 11/10/95		REGION: 4 PROJECT N 1413-001 FISCAL YEA 1995 ECONOMIC LIFE: 20 PREPARED B D Jones																																																		
<p><b>1. INVESTMENT</b></p> <table> <tbody> <tr> <td>A.</td> <td>CONSTRUCTION COST</td> <td>=</td> <td>=</td> <td>\$89,272</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B.</td> <td>SIOH COST</td> <td>(5.5% of 1A) =</td> <td></td> <td>\$4,910</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C.</td> <td>DESIGN COST</td> <td>(6.0% of 1A) =</td> <td></td> <td>\$5,356</td> </tr> <tr> <td>D.</td> <td>TOTAL COST</td> <td>(1A +1B +1C) =</td> <td></td> <td>\$99,539</td> </tr> <tr> <td>E.</td> <td>SALVAGE VALUE OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT =</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>F.</td> <td>PUBLIC UTILITY COMPANY REBATE =</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>G.</td> <td>TOTAL INVESTMENT</td> <td>(1D -1E -1F) =</td> <td>-----&gt;</td> <td>\$99,539</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			A.	CONSTRUCTION COST	=	=	\$89,272	B.	SIOH COST	(5.5% of 1A) =		\$4,910	C.	DESIGN COST	(6.0% of 1A) =		\$5,356	D.	TOTAL COST	(1A +1B +1C) =		\$99,539	E.	SALVAGE VALUE OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT =				F.	PUBLIC UTILITY COMPANY REBATE =				G.	TOTAL INVESTMENT	(1D -1E -1F) =	----->	\$99,539															
A.	CONSTRUCTION COST	=	=	\$89,272																																																
B.	SIOH COST	(5.5% of 1A) =		\$4,910																																																
C.	DESIGN COST	(6.0% of 1A) =		\$5,356																																																
D.	TOTAL COST	(1A +1B +1C) =		\$99,539																																																
E.	SALVAGE VALUE OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT =																																																			
F.	PUBLIC UTILITY COMPANY REBATE =																																																			
G.	TOTAL INVESTMENT	(1D -1E -1F) =	----->	\$99,539																																																
<p><b>2. ENERGY SAVINGS (+) OR COST (-):</b></p> <table> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4">DATE OF NISTR-4942-1 USED FOR DISCOUNT FACTORS:</th> <th>OCT '94</th> </tr> <tr> <th>ENERGY SOURCE</th> <th>FUEL COST \$/kWh (1)</th> <th>SAVINGS kWh (2)</th> <th>ANNUAL \$ SAVINGS (3)</th> <th>DISCOUNT FACTOR (4) ISCOUNTED SAVINGS (5)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. ELEC</td> <td>\$0.0821</td> <td>85,456</td> <td>\$7,016</td> <td>15.08 \$105,800</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. DIST</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. NAT GAS</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>D. REFUS</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>E. COAL</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>F. OTHER</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>G. DEMAND SAVINGS</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>H. TOTAL</td> <td></td> <td>85,456</td> <td>\$7,016</td> <td>-----&gt; \$105,800</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			DATE OF NISTR-4942-1 USED FOR DISCOUNT FACTORS:				OCT '94	ENERGY SOURCE	FUEL COST \$/kWh (1)	SAVINGS kWh (2)	ANNUAL \$ SAVINGS (3)	DISCOUNT FACTOR (4) ISCOUNTED SAVINGS (5)	A. ELEC	\$0.0821	85,456	\$7,016	15.08 \$105,800	B. DIST					C. NAT GAS					D. REFUS					E. COAL					F. OTHER					G. DEMAND SAVINGS					H. TOTAL		85,456	\$7,016	-----> \$105,800
DATE OF NISTR-4942-1 USED FOR DISCOUNT FACTORS:				OCT '94																																																
ENERGY SOURCE	FUEL COST \$/kWh (1)	SAVINGS kWh (2)	ANNUAL \$ SAVINGS (3)	DISCOUNT FACTOR (4) ISCOUNTED SAVINGS (5)																																																
A. ELEC	\$0.0821	85,456	\$7,016	15.08 \$105,800																																																
B. DIST																																																				
C. NAT GAS																																																				
D. REFUS																																																				
E. COAL																																																				
F. OTHER																																																				
G. DEMAND SAVINGS																																																				
H. TOTAL		85,456	\$7,016	-----> \$105,800																																																
<p><b>3. NON-ENERGY SAVINGS (+) OR COST (-):</b></p> <table> <tbody> <tr> <td>A.</td> <td>ANNUAL RECURRING (+/-)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>DISCOUNT FACTOR</td> <td>(From Table A) =</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td>DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (+) / COST (-)</td> <td>(3A x 3A1) =</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="5"><b>B. NON-RECURRING (+/-)</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>ITEM</td> <td>SAVINGS (+) COST(-) (1)</td> <td>YEAR OF OCCURRENCE (2)</td> <td>DISCOUNT FACTOR (3) DISCOUNTED SAVINGS/COST (4) (TABLE B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>a.</td> <td>AVOIDED COST OF CHILLER REPLA</td> <td>\$99,539</td> <td>2</td> <td>0.943 \$93,865</td> </tr> <tr> <td>b.</td> <td>MATERIAL: NONE</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>c.</td> <td>MATERIAL: NONE</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>d.</td> <td>TOTAL</td> <td>\$99,539</td> <td></td> <td>\$93,865</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C.</td> <td>TOTAL NON-ENERGY DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (+) OR COST (-)</td> <td></td> <td>(3A2 + 3Bd4) =</td> <td>\$93,865</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			A.	ANNUAL RECURRING (+/-)				1.	DISCOUNT FACTOR	(From Table A) =			2.	DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (+) / COST (-)	(3A x 3A1) =			<b>B. NON-RECURRING (+/-)</b>						ITEM	SAVINGS (+) COST(-) (1)	YEAR OF OCCURRENCE (2)	DISCOUNT FACTOR (3) DISCOUNTED SAVINGS/COST (4) (TABLE B)	a.	AVOIDED COST OF CHILLER REPLA	\$99,539	2	0.943 \$93,865	b.	MATERIAL: NONE				c.	MATERIAL: NONE				d.	TOTAL	\$99,539		\$93,865	C.	TOTAL NON-ENERGY DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (+) OR COST (-)		(3A2 + 3Bd4) =	\$93,865
A.	ANNUAL RECURRING (+/-)																																																			
1.	DISCOUNT FACTOR	(From Table A) =																																																		
2.	DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (+) / COST (-)	(3A x 3A1) =																																																		
<b>B. NON-RECURRING (+/-)</b>																																																				
	ITEM	SAVINGS (+) COST(-) (1)	YEAR OF OCCURRENCE (2)	DISCOUNT FACTOR (3) DISCOUNTED SAVINGS/COST (4) (TABLE B)																																																
a.	AVOIDED COST OF CHILLER REPLA	\$99,539	2	0.943 \$93,865																																																
b.	MATERIAL: NONE																																																			
c.	MATERIAL: NONE																																																			
d.	TOTAL	\$99,539		\$93,865																																																
C.	TOTAL NON-ENERGY DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (+) OR COST (-)		(3A2 + 3Bd4) =	\$93,865																																																
<p><b>4. FIRST YEAR DOLLAR SAVINGS (+) / COSTS (-)</b> (2H3+3A+(3Bd1/Economic Life)) \$11,993</p> <p><b>5. SIMPLE PAYBACK (SPB) IN YEARS (MUST BE &lt; 10 YEARS TO QUALIFY)</b> (1G/4) = 8.30</p> <p><b>6. TOTAL NET DISCOUNTED SAVINGS</b> (2H5 + 3C) = \$199,665</p> <p><b>7. DISCOUNTED SAVINGS-TO-INVESTMENT RATIO (SIR)</b> (6/1G) = 2.01 (MUST HAVE SIR &gt; 1.25 TO QUALIFY)</p>																																																				

**Existing Reciprocating Chiller**

Specified capacity

428,750 Btuh  
 35.73 tons

Full load performance

0.274 Btu in/Btu out  
 0.96 kW/ton  
 1.43 kW/ton  
 Carrier 30GB-40 Air cooled chiller  
 115 EAT, 45 LWT

Part load performance

$\% \text{kW} = 0.0881 + 1.138 * \text{PLR} - 0.2258 * \text{PLR}^2$

where

PLR is part load ratio

DOE default for reciprocating chiller

PLR	TONS	kW	kW / Ton	% kW
1.00	31.3	44.80	1.43	1.00
0.75	23.5	36.49	1.55	0.81
0.50	15.7	26.91	1.72	0.60
0.25	7.8	16.06	2.05	0.36

**Proposed Scroll Chiller**

Selected Model

CCAD-40

Condenser

CAUC-C50

Part load performance

PLR	TONS	kW	kW / Ton	% kW
1.00	34.4	52.00	1.51	1.000
0.75	25.8	32.46	1.26	0.624
0.50	17.2	17.63	1.02	0.339
0.25	8.6	8.67	1.01	0.167


**Energy Savings**

Current Energy Use (MBTU)	3573.45
Proposed Energy Use (MBTU)	3281.79
Annual Energy Savings (MBTU)	291.66
Annual Energy Savings (kWh)	85,456
Annual Cost Savings (\$)	\$7,015.91
UPV Factor (20 years)	15.08
Discounted Energy Savings	<b>\$105,800</b>

ENERGY TYPE	IN SITE MBTU	ELECTRICITY
CATEGORY OF USE		
SPACE HEAT	55.12	
SPACE COOL	1277.30	
HVAC AUX	426.63	
DOM HOT WTR	0.00	
AUX SOLAR	0.00	
LIGHTS	288.88	
VERT TRANS	0.00	
MISC EQUIP	1233.86	
TOTAL	3281.79	

TOTAL SITE ENERGY 3281.71 MBTU 287.9 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 287.9 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
TOTAL SOURCE ENERGY 3281.71 MBTU 287.9 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 287.9 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
PERCENT OF HOURS ANY SYSTEM ZONE OUTSIDE OF THROTTLING RANGE = 0.4  
PERCENT OF HOURS ANY PLANT LOAD NOT SATISFIED =100.0  
NOTE ELECTRICITY AND/OR FUEL USED TO GENERATE ELECTRICITY IS APPORTIONED BASED  
ON THE YEARLY DEMAND. ALL OTHER ENERGY TYPES ARE APPORTIONED HOURLY.

**TOTAL SITE ELECTRICITY ENERGY USE 3281.79 MBTU**



ENGINEER'S OPINION OF PROBABLE COST							SHEET	1	OF	1						
AREA		ACTIVITY		LOCATION			AMENDMENT NO.									
PROJECT TITLE				CONTRACT NO.												
GEOSS, Energy Conservation Survey				DACA01-94-D-0033												
Line No.		Item Description		Unit of Measure	No. of Units	Unit Cost	MATERIAL COST		LABOR COST		EQUIPMENT COST	TOTAL COST				
Line No.		Item Description		Unit of Measure	No. of Units	Unit Cost	Total	Manhrs/ Unit	Total Manhrs	Labor Cost/ Manhour	Total Labor Cost	Unit Cost	Total			
1		Trane 30-ton Scroll Chiller CCAD-30		Ea.	2	\$16,338	\$32,675	118.00	236.00	\$22.99	\$5,426	\$0.00	\$0	\$19,050	\$38,101	
2		with Condenser CAUC-C40		Ea.	2	\$0.00	\$0	0.00	0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0	
3							\$0	0.00	0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0	
4		Travel to Socorro		hrs	24		\$0	1.00	24.00	\$22.99	\$552	\$0.00	\$0	\$22.99	\$552	
5		Demolition		Ea.	2	\$0.00	\$0	16.00	32.00	\$22.99	\$736	\$0.00	\$0	\$367.84	\$736	
6		Travel to job site		hrs	30		\$0	1.00	30.00	\$22.99	\$690	\$0.00	\$0	\$22.99	\$690	
7		Lodging and per diem		days	30		\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$100.00	\$3,000	\$100.00	\$3,000	
8		Mileage		miles	600		\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.30	\$180	\$0.30	\$180	
9							\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
10							\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
11							\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
12							\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
13							\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
14							\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
15							\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
16							\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
17							\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
18							\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
19							\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
20							\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
21							\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
22							\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
23							\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
24							\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
25							\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
26							\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
27							\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
28							\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
29							\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
30							\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
31							\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
32							\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
33							\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
34							\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
35							\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
36							\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
37							\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
38							\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
39		SUBCONTRACTOR SUBTOTAL					\$32,675		322		\$7,403			\$3,180		\$43,258
40		LABOR BURDEN		%	30		\$0				\$2,221			\$954		\$3,175
41		SUBTOTAL					\$32,675				\$9,624			\$4,134		\$46,433
42		OVERHEAD		%	12.0		\$3,921				\$1,155			\$496		\$5,572
43		SUBTOTAL					\$36,596				\$10,778			\$4,630		\$52,005
44		PROFIT		%	12		\$4,392				\$1,293			\$556		\$6,241
45		SUBCONTRACTOR TOTAL					\$40,988				\$12,072			\$5,186		\$58,245
46		OVERHEAD		%	10.95		\$4,488				\$1,322			\$568		\$6,378
47		SUBTOTAL					\$45,476				\$13,394			\$5,754		\$64,623
48		PROFIT		%	8		\$3,638				\$1,071			\$460		\$5,170
49		SUBTOTAL					\$49,114				\$14,465			\$6,214		\$69,793
50		BOND		%	0.737		\$362				\$107			\$46		\$514
51		SUBTOTAL					\$49,476				\$14,572			\$6,260		\$70,307
52		N. M. TAX		%	5.8125		\$2,876				\$847			\$364		\$4,087
53		SUBTOTAL					\$52,351				\$15,419			\$6,623		\$74,394
54		CONTINGENCY		%	20		\$10,470				\$3,084			\$1,325		\$14,879
55		GRAND TOTAL					\$62,822				\$18,503			\$7,948		\$89,272
PREPARED BY		APPROVED BY			TITLE OR ORGANIZATION				DATE		11/10/95					
EMS					EMC Engineers, Inc.											

## 157 | Air Conditioning and Ventilation

157 100   A.C. & Vent. Units	CREW	DAILY OUTPUT	MAN- HOURS	UNIT	1995 BARE COSTS				TOTAL INCL O&P
					MAT.	LABOR	EQUIP.	TOTAL	
151 80 ton cooling	Q-8	.10	320	Ea.	42,800	8,925	525	52,250	61,500
152 100 ton cooling		.09	355		49,700	9,925	585	60,210	70,500
1540 120 ton cooling		.08	400		55,000	11,200	655	66,855	78,500
1560 135 ton cooling		.07	457		62,500	12,800	750	76,050	89,500
1580 150 ton cooling		.07	457		66,500	12,800	750	80,050	93,500
1600 175 ton cooling		.06	533		76,500	14,900	875	92,275	108,000
1620 200 ton cooling		.05	640		88,500	17,900	1,050	107,450	126,000
1640 225 ton cooling		.05	640		94,000	17,900	1,050	112,950	132,000
1660 250 ton cooling		.04	800		102,500	22,300	1,300	126,100	148,000
4000 Packaged chiller, with remote air cooled condensers incl.									
4020 15 ton cooling	Q-7	.35	91,429	Ea.	12,300	2,550		14,850	17,400
4030 20 ton cooling		.32	100		14,200	2,800		17,000	20,000
4040 25 ton cooling		.30	106		16,900	2,975		19,875	23,200
4050 30 ton cooling		.27	118		19,200	3,300		22,500	26,200
4060 40 ton cooling		.22	145		24,900	4,050		28,950	33,500
4070 50 ton cooling		.18	177		27,900	4,950		32,850	38,300
4080 60 ton cooling		.14	228		30,400	6,375		36,775	43,200
4090 70 ton cooling		.12	266		37,900	7,450		45,350	53,000
4100 80 ton cooling		.11	290		38,900	8,125		47,025	55,000
4110 90 ton cooling		.10	320		51,000	8,925		59,925	69,500
4120 100 ton cooling		.09	355		56,500	9,925		66,425	77,000
4130 110 ton cooling	Q-8	.08	400		58,000	11,200	655	69,855	81,500
4140 120 ton cooling		.07	457		59,500	12,800	750	73,050	86,000
4150 140 ton cooling		.06	533		66,000	14,900	875	81,775	96,500
0010 WINDOW UNIT AIR CONDITIONERS									
4000 Portable/window, 15 amp 125V grounded receptacle required									
4060 5000 BTUH	1 Carp	8	1	Ea.	275	24.50		299.50	345
134 6000 BTUH		8	1		350	24.50		374.50	425
1480 8000 BTUH		6	1.333		465	33		498	565
1500 10,000 BTUH		6	1.333		525	33		558	635
1520 12,000 BTUH	L-2	8	2		560	43.50		603.50	685
1600 Window/thru-the-wall, 15 amp 230V grounded receptacle required									
1780 17,000 BTUH	L-2	6	2.667	Ea.	745	58		803	915
1940 25,000 BTUH		4	4		980	87		1,067	1,225
1960 29,000 BTUH		4	4		1,175	87		1,262	1,450

## 157 200 | System Components

010 COILS, FLANGED									201
100 Basic water or condenser coils									
110 Copper tubes, alum. fins, galv. end sheets									
112 H is finned height, L is finned length									
120 3/8" x .016 tube, .0065 AL fins									
130 2 row, 8 fins per inch									
140 4" H x 12" L	Q-5	48	.333	Ea.	255	8.80		263.80	294
150 4" H x 24" L		24	.667		275	17.55		292.55	330
160 4" H x 48" L		12	1.333		355	35		390	445
170 4" H x 72" L		8	2		390	52.50		442.50	510
180 6" H x 12" L		32	.500		266	13.15		279.15	315
190 6" H x 24" L		16	1		287	26.50		313.50	355
200 6" H x 48" L		8	2		375	52.50		427.50	495
210 6" H x 72" L		5.33	3.002		420	79		499	580
220 10" H x 12" L		19.30	.829		290	22		312	355
230 10" H x 24" L		9.60	1.667		315	44		359	415
240 10" H x 48" L		4.80	3.333		420	88		508	595
250 10" H x 72" L		3.20	5		470	132		602	715

**TRANE™**

6675 S. Kenton St., Suite 118  
Englewood, CO 80111

**F A X C O V E R S H E E T**

**DATE:** September 29, 1995      **TIME:** 1:10 PM  
**TO:** Dennis Jones      **PHONE:**  
EMC Engineers      **FAX:** .  
**FROM:** Gerry L. Boarman      **PHONE:** 303-705-9100  
The Trane Company      **FAX:** 303-649-9195  
**RE:**  
**CC:**

Number of pages including cover sheet: 1

**Message**

Dennis,

Sorry for the delay.

The budget for 2-30 ton compressor chillers and associated 40 ton air cooled condensers is \$ 32,675.00 Please let me know what else I can get for you and thank you for the opportunity.



**TRANE™**


**SLC-DS-1  
January 1994**

First Printing

---

**Cold Generator®  
Scroll Liquid Chillers**

**20 to 60 Tons  
Water Cooled  
and  
Condenserless**



## Features and Benefits

### Leading in Efficiency and Reliability With State-Of-The-Art Scroll Compressor Technology

#### Reliability

The Trane Cold Generator® water chiller with many new improvements, now brings an exciting new compressor to the commercial market — the Trane 3-D™ Scroll compressor. Trane has designed the scroll compressor to be a leader in reliability. HERE'S HOW:

- Simple design with 64 percent fewer parts than equal capacity reciprocating compressor.
- 3-D Scroll compliance allows liquid and dirt to pass through without damaging compressor (liquid slugging resistant).
- Advanced microelectronics protect both compressor and motor from typical electrical fault conditions.
- Scroll compressors have less than a third the torque variations of a reciprocating compressor.
- Years of laboratory testing have optimized compressor and chiller systems reliability.
- Water-Cooled Cold Generators are 100 percent RUN TESTED at the factory.

#### Efficiency

The energy efficiency of the Cold Generator liquid chiller results in energy costs lower than any other comparable chiller. Full load efficiencies are typical of reciprocating chillers, but part load efficiencies are simply unmatched by any other manufacturer.

Superior efficiencies are obtained by combining many of the traditional Cold Generator chiller energy efficient features with the Trane 3-D scroll compressor technology. HERE'S HOW:

- Scroll compressor's positive displacement design
- Dual refrigerant circuits (40-60 ton units)
- Multiple compressors
- Optimum system design
- Reduced Friction
- No Valves
- Advanced Heat Transfer Surfaces





Chart illustrates low torque variation of 3-D scroll compressor vs reciprocating compressor.



Graph illustrates Trane Cold Generator chiller's superior annual energy costs vs typical reciprocating chillers.

## Trane 3-D™ Compliance Scroll Compressor

— Maximum Efficiency  
with  
Enhanced Reliability

### How Does 3-D Compliance Work?


The 3-D compressor has a patented tip seal on the tip of each spiral. The tip seal acts like a piston ring to provide sealing between high and low pressure chambers without wearing the mating surfaces.

Radial compliance is achieved with a swing link mechanism that allows the spiral walls on the disks to touch without wear. The swing link joins the motor shaft and the orbiting scroll disk.

In normal operation this contact provides sealing between high and low pressure cavities. However, if a contaminant such as dirt or liquid refrigerant enters the compression chamber, the swing link allows the spiral walls to separate in the radial direction and pass the contamination without harm to the compressor.

### General

The 3-D compressor has two scrolls. The top scroll is fixed and the bottom scroll orbits. Each scroll has walls in a spiral shape that mesh.



### Inlet-First Orbit

As the bottom scroll orbits, two refrigerant gas pockets are formed and enclosed.

### Compression-Second Orbit

The refrigerant gas is compressed as the volume is reduced closer to the center of the scroll.

### Discharge-Third Orbit


The gas is compressed further and discharged through a small port in the center of the fixed scroll.

### Scroll Principal Components

This is a cutaway view of a hermetic, scroll compressor, showing the relative positions of the principal components. Shown is a Trane 10-ton, 3600 rpm, scroll compressor as an example.

The principle of operation of this example compressor is as follows: The suction gas is drawn into the compressor at A. The gas then passes through the gap between the rotor and stator, B, cooling the motor, before it enters the compressor housing, C. Here, the velocity of the gas is reduced, causing a separation of the entrained oil from the gas stream. The gas then enters the intake chamber, D, that encircles the scrolls.

Finally, the suction gas is drawn into the scroll assembly where it is compressed and discharged into the dome of the compressor. The dome of this example compressor acts as a hot gas muffler which dampens the pulsations before the gas enters the discharge line, E.



# Performance Data

Performance  
Data  
Compressor  
Chiller

Table 16-1 — CCAD Performance Data, 42 F Leaving Chilled Water Temperature

Unit Size	Condenser Size	Entering Condenser Air Temperature											
		85		95		105		115					
Tons	Kw	EER	Tons	Kw	EER	Tons	Kw	EER	Tons	Kw	EER		
20	CAUC-C20	19.4	19.5	11.8	18.4	21.6	10.1	17.3	23.9	8.6	16.1	26.6	7.2
20	CAUC-C25	19.7	18.6	12.6	18.7	20.6	10.8	17.6	23.0	9.1	16.5	25.5	7.7
25	CAUC-C25	24.1	24.9	11.5	22.8	27.6	9.9	21.5	30.6	8.4	20.0	34.0	7.0
25	CAUC-C30	24.5	23.4	12.5	23.3	26.0	10.7	22.0	28.9	9.1	20.6	32.1	7.7
30	CAUC-C30	28.8	29.1	11.8	27.3	32.2	10.1	25.7	35.7	8.6	24.1	39.6	7.3
30	CAUC-C40	29.2	27.0	12.9	27.8	29.9	11.1	26.3	33.2	9.5	24.7	36.9	8.0
40	CAUC-C40	38.7	38.8	11.9	36.7	43.0	10.2	34.5	47.8	8.6	32.2	53.2	7.2
40	CAUC-C50	39.1	37.4	12.5	37.1	41.6	10.6	35.0	46.2	9.0	32.7	51.4	7.6
50	CAUC-C50	47.6	50.2	11.3	45.1	55.6	9.7	42.5	61.8	8.2	39.7	68.4	6.9
50	CAUC-C60	48.4	47.0	12.3	46.0	52.2	10.5	43.5	58.2	8.9	40.8	64.6	7.6
60	CAUC-C60	56.9	58.4	11.6	54.0	64.8	10.0	50.9	71.8	8.5	47.6	79.8	7.1
60	CAUC-C80	57.5	53.6	12.8	54.7	59.6	11.0	51.8	66.4	9.3	48.6	73.8	7.9

Table 16-2 — CCAD Performance Data, 44 F Leaving Chilled Water Temperature

Unit Size	Condenser Size	Entering Condenser Air Temperature											
		85		95		105		115					
Tons	Kw	EER	Tons	Kw	EER	Tons	Kw	EER	Tons	Kw	EER		
20	CAUC-C20	20.0	19.7	12.1	19.0	21.8	10.4	17.8	24.2	8.8	16.6	26.8	7.4
20	CAUC-C25	20.4	18.8	12.9	19.3	20.8	11.0	18.2	23.2	9.3	17.1	25.7	7.9
25	CAUC-C25	24.9	25.1	11.8	23.6	27.9	10.1	22.2	30.9	8.6	20.7	34.3	7.2
25	CAUC-C30	25.3	23.7	12.7	24.1	26.3	10.9	22.7	29.2	9.3	21.3	32.4	7.9
30	CAUC-C30	29.7	29.4	12.1	28.2	32.6	10.3	26.6	36.1	8.8	24.9	40.0	7.4
30	CAUC-C40	30.2	27.2	13.2	28.8	30.2	11.4	27.2	33.5	9.7	25.6	37.2	8.2
40	CAUC-C40	39.9	39.2	12.1	37.8	43.4	10.4	35.6	48.2	8.8	33.3	53.6	7.4
40	CAUC-C50	40.3	37.8	12.7	38.3	42.0	10.9	36.1	46.6	9.2	33.8	51.8	7.8
50	CAUC-C50	49.1	50.6	11.6	46.6	56.2	9.9	43.9	62.2	8.4	41.0	69.0	7.1
50	CAUC-C60	50.0	47.4	12.6	47.5	52.6	10.8	44.9	58.6	9.2	42.2	65.2	7.7
60	CAUC-C60	58.7	58.5	12.0	55.7	65.4	10.2	52.6	72.6	8.7	49.2	80.6	7.3
60	CAUC-C80	59.3	54.0	13.1	56.5	60.0	11.3	53.5	66.8	9.6	50.3	74.4	8.1

Table 16-3 — CCAD Performance Data, 45 F Leaving Chilled Water Temperature

Unit Size	Condenser Size	Entering Condenser Air Temperature											
		85		95		105		115					
Tons	Kw	EER	Tons	Kw	EER	Tons	Kw	EER	Tons	Kw	EER		
20	CAUC-C20	20.3	19.8	12.2	19.3	21.9	10.5	18.1	24.3	8.9	16.9	26.9	7.5
20	CAUC-C25	20.7	18.9	13.0	19.6	20.9	11.2	18.5	23.3	9.5	17.3	25.8	8.0
25	CAUC-C25	25.3	25.3	11.9	24.0	28.0	10.2	22.6	31.1	8.7	21.1	34.4	7.3
25	CAUC-C30	25.8	23.8	12.9	24.5	26.4	11.1	23.1	29.3	9.4	21.7	32.5	8.0
30	CAUC-C30	30.2	29.5	12.2	28.7	32.7	10.5	27.0	36.3	8.9	25.3	40.2	7.5
30	CAUC-C40	30.7	27.3	13.4	29.2	30.3	11.5	27.7	33.7	9.8	26.0	37.4	8.3
40	CAUC-C40	40.5	39.4	12.3	38.4	43.6	10.5	36.2	48.4	8.9	33.8	53.8	7.5
40	CAUC-C50	40.9	38.0	12.8	38.9	42.0	11.1	36.7	46.8	9.4	34.4	52.0	7.9
50	CAUC-C50	49.9	50.8	11.7	47.3	56.4	10.0	44.6	62.6	8.5	41.7	69.4	7.2
50	CAUC-C60	50.8	47.6	12.7	48.3	53.0	10.9	45.7	58.8	9.3	42.9	65.4	7.8
60	CAUC-C60	59.6	59.2	12.0	56.6	65.6	10.3	53.4	72.8	8.8	50.1	80.8	7.4
60	CAUC-C80	60.3	54.2	13.3	57.4	60.4	11.4	54.4	67.2	9.7	51.1	74.6	8.2

Notes:

1. Evaporator fouling factor is 0.00025 on ARI Standard 590-92.
2. Interpolation between points is permissible. Extrapolation is not permitted.
3. Kw input is for compressors only.
4. EER = Energy Efficiency Ratio, (Btu/watt-hour). Power includes compressors and control power.
5. Rated in accordance with ARI Standard 590-92.
6. Ratings are based on evaporator temperature drop of 10 F.

Proposed  
chiller

## Performance Data Part Load

CGWD 20-60 Ton  
Part Load Performance

Table 15-1 — CGWD 20-60 Ton  
Part Load Performance

	CGWD 20 Unit Compressor Capacity				
	100%	75%	50%	25%	IPLV
Tons	20.6	15.5	10.3	5.2	
Kw	16.4	10.7	5.9	3.1	18.1
EER	15.0	17.2	20.6	18.7	

	CGWD 25 Unit Compressor Capacity				
	100%	75%	50%	25%	IPLV
Tons	24.9	18.7	12.5	6.2	
Kw	21.8	14.2	9.0	4.7	15.4
EER	13.6	15.6	16.2	15.2	

	CGWD 30 Unit Compressor Capacity				
	100%	75%	50%	25%	IPLV
Tons	29.5	22.1	14.8	7.4	
Kw	25.8	17.3	9.9	5.2	15.8
EER	13.7	15.2	17.5	16.4	

30 ton

	CGWD 40 Unit Compressor Capacity				
	100%	75%	50%	25%	IPLV
Tons	41.2	30.9	20.6	10.3	
Kw	33.0	20.6	11.2	5.5	19.0
EER	14.9	17.8	21.7	21.3	

40 ton

	CGWD 50 Unit Compressor Capacity				
	100%	75%	50%	25%	IPLV
Tons	48.6	36.5	24.3	12.2	
Kw	42.6	27.3	16.2	8.1	16.3
EER	13.6	15.8	17.8	17.6	

	CGWD 60 Unit Compressor Capacity				
	100%	75%	50%	25%	IPLV
Tons	59.3	44.5	29.7	14.8	
Kw	52.2	33.9	19.3	9.4	16.5
EER	13.6	15.7	18.2	18.4	

Notes:

1. Evaporator and condenser flow rates are constant. Flow rates determined at ARI full load standard rating points.
2. Part load is rated in accordance with ARI Standard 590-92.
3. IPLV is a single number part-load efficiency figure of merit calculated per ARI Standard 590-92.
4. KW input is for compressor(s) only.

# Performance data (cont) Existing Chiller

## PERFORMANCE RATINGS (10 F Chilled Water Rise)

UNIT 30	CAP. (Tons)	SDT (F)	COMPR KW	COOLER FLOW DATA		CAP. (Tons)	SDT (F)	COMPR KW	COOLER FLOW DATA		CAP. (Tons)	SDT (F)	COMPR KW	COOLER FLOW DATA										
				Gpm	PD				Gpm	PD				Gpm	PD									
<b>105 F Condenser Entering Air Temperature</b>																								
<b>40 F LCWT</b>				<b>42 F LCWT</b>						<b>44 F LCWT</b>														
GA	020	15.4	127.4	20.1	36.8	2.8	16.1	128.3	20.5	38.5	3.0	16.9	129.4	21.0	40.4	3.3								
	025	19.5	127.1	25.4	46.6	4.3	20.4	128.1	26.2	48.9	4.7	21.4	129.2	26.9	51.3	5.2								
	030	22.8	125.2	28.7	54.6	5.8	23.9	126.1	29.4	57.1	6.4	24.9	127.0	30.1	59.7	6.9								
GB	040	30.4	130.3	40.7	72.6	7.5	31.7	131.4	41.7	75.9	8.1	33.1	132.4	42.7	79.2	8.8								
	045	37.8	130.0	50.0	90.3	6.5	39.4	131.1	51.3	94.4	7.1	41.2	132.1	52.6	93.6	7.7								
	055	44.0	131.5	59.5	105.1	8.8	45.9	132.6	61.0	169.8	9.5	47.8	133.7	62.5	114.5	10.3								
	070	57.9	130.1	78.4	138.4	9.8	60.5	131.1	80.3	144.6	10.7	63.1	132.1	82.4	151.0	11.6								
GA	085	69.8	131.6	98.0	166.7	8.7	72.8	132.7	100.5	174.1	9.4	75.9	133.8	103.0	181.7	10.2								
	105	87.5	132.1	118.4	209.1	7.4	90.9	133.1	121.2	217.5	7.9	94.4	134.1	124.0	226.0	8.5								
	110	95.8	135.1	145.4	229.1	8.8	99.5	136.2	149.0	238.0	9.4	103.2	137.3	152.6	247.1	10.1								
	120	103.0	138.1	170.7	246.1	10.1	106.8	139.2	175.0	255.4	10.8	110.6	140.4	179.3	264.9	11.6								
	<b>45 F LCWT</b>				<b>46 F LCWT</b>						<b>48 F LCWT</b>													
GA	020	17.2	129.9	21.3	41.3	3.4	17.6	130.4	21.5	42.2	3.6	18.4	131.4	22.0	44.1	3.9								
	025	21.9	129.7	27.3	52.5	5.4	22.4	130.2	27.6	53.8	5.7	23.5	131.3	28.4	56.3	6.2								
	030	25.5	127.4	30.4	61.0	7.2	26.0	127.9	30.8	62.3	7.5	27.1	128.8	31.5	65.0	8.1								
GB	040	33.8	132.9	43.1	80.9	9.2	34.5	133.5	43.6	82.6	9.6	35.9	134.6	44.6	86.1	10.3								
	045	42.0	132.7	53.2	100.7	8.1	42.9	133.2	53.8	102.8	8.4	44.7	134.3	55.1	107.2	9.1								
	055	48.8	134.3	63.3	116.9	10.7	49.8	134.8	64.1	119.4	11.2	51.9	136.0	65.7	124.4	12.1								
	070	64.4	132.7	83.4	154.3	12.1	65.8	133.2	84.4	157.5	12.6	68.5	134.3	86.4	164.2	13.6								
GA	085	77.5	134.4	104.3	185.6	10.7	79.1	134.9	105.6	189.5	11.1	82.3	136.0	108.1	197.3	12.0								
	105	96.2	134.7	125.4	230.4	8.9	98.0	135.2	126.9	234.8	9.2	101.7	136.2	129.7	243.7	9.9								
	110	105.1	137.9	154.4	251.7	10.5	107.0	138.4	156.2	256.4	10.9	110.9	139.5	159.9	265.8	11.7								
	120	112.6	140.9	181.4	269.6	12.0	114.6	141.5	183.6	274.4	12.4	118.6	142.7	188.0	284.2	13.3								

## PERFORMANCE RATINGS (10 F Chilled Water Rise)

UNIT 30	CAP. (Tons)	SDT (F)	COMPR KW	COOLER FLOW DATA		CAP. (Tons)	SDT (F)	COMPR KW	COOLER FLOW DATA		CAP. (Tons)	SDT (F)	COMPR KW	COOLER FLOW DATA										
				Gpm	PD				Gpm	PD				Gpm	PD									
<b>115 F Condenser Entering Air Temperature</b>																								
<b>40 F LCWT</b>				<b>42 F LCWT</b>						<b>44 F LCWT</b>														
GA	020	14.2	136.2	20.8	34.0	2.4	14.9	137.1	21.3	35.6	2.6	15.6	138.1	21.9	37.4	2.9								
	025	17.6	135.5	25.7	42.1	3.6	18.6	136.5	26.6	44.4	4.0	19.5	137.5	27.4	46.7	4.4								
	030	21.2	134.3	30.0	50.7	5.1	22.2	135.1	30.8	53.1	5.5	23.2	135.9	31.6	55.5	6.0								
GB	040	28.1	139.1	42.2	67.1	6.4	29.4	140.0	43.2	70.2	7.0	30.7	141.1	44.3	73.4	7.6								
	045	35.0	138.8	52.1	83.6	5.6	36.6	139.8	53.4	87.5	6.2	38.2	140.8	54.8	91.5	6.7								
	055	40.8	140.3	61.9	97.6	7.6	42.6	141.3	63.6	102.0	8.3	44.5	142.4	65.3	106.5	9.0								
	070	53.7	138.9	81.5	128.5	8.5	56.2	139.9	83.7	134.4	9.3	58.6	140.9	85.8	140.4	10.1								
GA	085	64.8	140.4	101.9	155.0	7.5	67.7	141.5	104.5	162.1	8.2	70.7	142.5	107.3	169.3	8.9								
	105	81.8	141.1	123.8	195.6	6.5	85.1	142.1	126.8	203.7	7.0	88.5	143.0	129.9	211.9	7.5								
	110	89.8	144.1	151.9	214.6	7.7	93.3	145.1	155.7	223.1	8.3	96.9	146.2	159.6	231.8	9.0								
	120	96.6	147.0	178.2	230.9	8.9	100.2	148.1	182.7	239.8	9.6	104.0	149.2	187.3	248.9	10.3								
	<b>45 F LCWT</b>				<b>46 F LCWT</b>						<b>48 F LCWT</b>													
GA	020	16.0	138.6	22.1	38.2	3.0	16.3	139.1	22.4	39.1	3.1	17.1	140.1	22.9	40.9	3.4								
	025	20.0	138.1	27.9	47.9	4.6	20.5	138.6	28.3	49.1	4.8	21.5	139.7	29.1	51.5	5.2								
	030	23.7	136.4	31.9	56.8	6.3	24.2	136.8	32.3	58.0	6.6	25.3	137.7	33.1	60.6	7.1								
GB	040	31.3	141.6	44.8	75.0	8.0	32.0	142.1	45.3	76.7	8.3	33.4	143.2	46.4	80.0	9.0								
	045	39.0	141.3	55.5	93.5	7.0	39.9	141.9	56.1	95.5	7.3	41.6	143.0	57.5	99.7	7.9								
	055	45.4	142.9	66.1	108.8	9.4	46.4	143.5	67.0	111.1	9.7	48.3	144.6	68.7	115.8	10.6								
	070	59.9	141.4	87.0	143.5	10.5	61.2	141.9	88.1	146.6	11.0	63.8	143.0	90.3	153.0	11.9								
GA	085	72.2	143.1	108.6	173.0	9.3	73.8	143.6	110.1	176.7	9.7	76.8	144.7	112.8	184.2	10.5								
	105	90.2	143.5	131.4	216.0	7.8	92.0	144.0	133.0	220.3	8.1	95.5	145.1	136.1	228.8	8.7								
	110	98.7	146.7	161.5	236.3	9.3	100.5	147.3	163.5	240.7	9.6	104.2	148.4	167.4	249.8	10.4								
	120	105.9	149.8	189.6	253.5	10.7	107.8	150.4	191.9	258.1	11.0	111.6	151.6	196.6	267.5	11.8								

Cap. — Capacity

Kw — Compressor Motor Power Input at Rated Voltage

LCWT — Leaving Chilled Water Temperature

PD — Pressure Drop (ft water)

SDT — Saturated Discharge Temperature

## 4.9 ECO 9: RECIRCULATE AIR IN TOWERS

**Proposed Modification:** Reduce the outside airflow rate in three telescope towers by installing a return air duct system in each tower for recirculation of room air.

Each return air duct system would come through the tower wall next to the existing supply air ductwork. Putting this system in place would involve cutting through the concrete between the tower and its adjacent compressor room and routing a return air duct back into the air handling unit (AHU) in that room. This system will intake only 400 cfm of outside air (OA) (or 20% of supply air) and return 1600 cfm from the tower.

**Existing Conditions:** Presently, the three telescope towers use 100% OA for cooling. This system consumes significant energy as the cool air is directly vented to the outside and is not reused. According to the building personnel, there is no specific reason why this particular system is in place.

**Method of Analysis:** Analysis proceeded as follows:

- A baseline computer model of the building was created using DOE2.1d which simulated the building energy consumption over a period of one year.
- The baseline computer model was modified to reflect an 80% reduction of the total outside air. The modified baseline computer model was subtracted from the baseline computer model to determine the energy savings.

**Results:** The LCCA summarized below represents the results of lowering outside air quantities on the AHUs serving the telescope towers.

Annual Electric Energy Savings (kWh)	74,537
Total Annual Energy Cost Savings	\$6,118
Annual Maintenance Cost Savings	\$0
Investment Cost	\$22,767
Savings-to-Investment Ratio (SIR)	4.05
Simple Payback (Years)	3.7

**Recommendations:** The reduction of outside airflow in each tower is recommended for implementation.

1. COMPONENT ARMY	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE Jul-95	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GEODSS Site, White Sands Missile Range, NM					
4. PROJECT TITLE Recirculate Tower Air		5. PROJECT NUMBER			
<b>LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS SUMMARY ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM (ECIP)</b>					
LOCATION: White Sands Missile Range, NM		REGION: 4 (New Mexico)	PROJECT NO: 1406.008		
PROJECT TITLE: Recirculate Tower Air				FISCAL YEAR: 1995	
ANALYSIS DATE: 12/01/95		ECONOMIC LIFE: 20	PREPARED BY: E.Smith		
<b>1. INVESTMENT</b>					
A. CONSTRUCTION COST	=	\$20,328			
B. SIOH COST	(6.0% of 1A) =	\$1,220			
C. DESIGN COST	(6.0% of 1A) =	\$1,220			
D. TOTAL COST	(1A +1B +1C) =	\$22,767			
E. SALVAGE VALUE OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT =		\$0			
F. PUBLIC UTILITY COMPANY REBATE =		\$0			
G. TOTAL INVESTMENT	(1D -1E -1F) =	-----> \$22,767			
<b>2. ENERGY SAVINGS (+) OR COST (-):</b>					
DATE OF NISTR-85-3273-9 USED FOR DISCOUNT FACTORS:				Jul-95	
ENERGY SOURCE	FUEL COST \$/KWH (1)	SAVINGS KWH/YR (2)	ANNUAL \$ SAVINGS (3)	DISCOUNT FACTOR (4)	DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (5)
A. ELECT. (SAV'GS)	\$0.0821	74537	\$6,119	15.08	\$92,282
B. DIST (GAL.)	\$1.10	0	\$0	18.57	\$0
C. RESID (GAL.)	\$3.00	0	\$0	21.02	\$0
D. NAT GAS (MBTU)	\$6.18	0	\$0	18.58	\$0
E. COAL	\$2.00	0	\$0	16.83	\$0
G. DEMAND (\$/kW)	\$0.00	0	\$0	15.08	\$0
H. TOTAL		74,537	\$6,119	----->	\$92,282
<b>3. NON-ENERGY SAVINGS (+) OR COST (-):</b>					
A. ANNUAL RECURRING (+/-)					
1 ANNUAL MAINTENANCE SAVINGS				\$0	14.88
2					14.88
3 TOTAL ANNUAL DISC. SAVINGS (+) / COST (-)				\$0	\$0
B. NON-RECURRING (+/-)					
ITEM	SAVINGS (+) COST(-) (1)	YEAR OF OCCURRENCE (2)	DISCOUNT FACTOR (3)	DISCOUNTED SAV'G/COST(4) (TABLE A-2)	
a.	\$0	0	0.00	\$0	
b.	\$0	0	0.00	\$0	
c.	\$0	0	0.00	\$0	
d. TOTAL	\$0			\$0	
C. TOTAL NON-ENERGY DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (+) OR COST (-)					(3A3 + 3Bg4) = \$0
4. FIRST YEAR DOLLAR SAVINGS (+) / COSTS (-)					(2H3+3A+(3Bg1/Economic Life)) \$6,119
5. SIMPLE PAYBACK (SPB) IN YEARS (MUST BE < 10 YEARS TO QUALIFY)					(1G/4) = 3.7
6. TOTAL NET DISCOUNTED SAVINGS					(2H5 + 3C) = \$92,282
7. DISCOUNTED SAVINGS-TO-INVESTMENT RATIO (SIR) (MUST HAVE SIR > 1.25 TO QUALIFY)					(6/1G) = 4.05

Economic Life (yrs)	20
---------------------	----

Energy Information

Energy Type	Unit Energy Cost	UPW Discount Factors (1) Discount Rate = 4%, Region 4
Economic Life of ECO (yrs)		10 15 20
Electric Energy	0.0821 (\$/kWh)	8.58 12.02 15.08

(1) NISTER 4942-1 Energy Prices and Discount Factors for Life-Cycle Cost Analysis 1995

Proposed Supply Airflow Reduction

Zone	Floor Area (ft^2)	Existing Supply Airflow (cfm)	Existing Outside Airflow (cfm)	Existing Return Airflow (cfm)	Proposed Supply Airflow (cfm)	Proposed Outside Airflow (cfm)	Proposed Return Airflow (cfm)
Tower 1	576	2000	2000	0	2000	400	1600
Tower 2	576	2000	2000	0	2000	400	1600
Tower 3	576	2000	2000	0	2000	400	1600

Energy Savings Predicted by DOE 2.1


Baseline Energy Consumption (MBTUh)	Energy Consumption With Return Air in Towers (MBTUh)	Predicted Energy Savings (MBTUh)	Annual Savings (kWh)	Unit Energy Cost (\$/kWh)	Annual Energy Cost Savings (\$)
3573.44	3319.11	254.33	74537	0.0821	\$6,119


EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/7/1995 13:11:23 PDL RUN 1  
DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION  
REPORT- BEPS ESTIMATED BUILDING ENERGY PERFORMANCE TRUTH OR CONSEQU. N

ENERGY TYPE  
IN SITE MBTU - ELECTRICITY  
CATEGORY OF USE  
SPACE HEAT 55.12  
SPACE COOL 1323.79  
HVAC AUX 417.46  
DOM HOT WTR 0.00  
AUX SOLAR 0.00  
LIGHTS 288.88  
VERT TRANS 0.00  
MISC EQUIP 1233.86  
TOTAL 3319.12

TOTAL SITE ENERGY 3319.12 MBTU 291.2 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 291.2 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
TOTAL SOURCE ENERGY 3319.12 MBTU 291.2 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 291.2 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
PERCENT OF HOURS ANY SYSTEM ZONE OUTSIDE OF THROTTLING RANGE = 0.0  
PERCENT OF HOURS ANY PLANT LOAD NOT SATISFIED = 100.0  
NOTE ELECTRICITY AND/OR FUEL USED TO GENERATE ELECTRICITY IS APPORTIONED BASED  
ON THE YEARLY DEMAND. ALL OTHER ENERGY TYPES ARE APPORTIONED HOURLY.

**TOTAL SITE ELECTRICITY ENERGY USE 3319.11 MBTU**





PROPOSED RETURN DUCT

EXISTING DAMPER


PROPOSED DAMPER  
EXISTING DAMPER

COOLING COIL

①

PLY DUCT

TURN DUCT



4-85

(3)

ENGINEER'S OPINION OF PROBABLE COST							SHEET	1	OF	1			
AREA		ACTIVITY		LOCATION White Sands Missile Range, NM				AMENDMENT NO.					
PROJECT TITLE GEOSS, Energy Conservation Survey				CONTRACT NO. DACA01-94-D-0033									
Line No.	Item Description		Unit of Measure	No. of Units	MATERIAL COST		LABOR COST			EQUIPMENT COST	TOTAL COST		
					Unit Cost	Total	Manhrs/ Unit	Total Manhrs	Total Labor Cost/ Manhour	Unit Cost	Total		
1	Sawing Concrete	Inch-ft	480	\$0.25	\$120	0.08	38.40	\$22.99	\$883	\$0.00	\$0	\$2	\$1,003
2	Galvanized Steel Ductwork	Lb	600	\$1.00	\$600	0.08	48.00	\$22.99	\$1,104	\$0.00	\$0	\$3	\$1,704
3	Ductwork Liner	SF	600	\$0.38	\$228	0.04	24.00	\$22.99	\$552	\$0.00	\$0	\$1	\$780
4	Damper	ea	3	\$70.00	\$210	1.00	3.00	\$22.99	\$69	\$0.00	\$0	\$92.99	\$279
5	Pneumatic Operator	ea	3	\$153.00	\$459	1.00	3.00	\$22.99	\$69	\$0.00	\$0	\$175.99	\$528
6	Pneumatic Econo Control	ea	3	\$250.00	\$750	1.50	4.50	\$22.99	\$103	\$0.00	\$0	\$284.49	\$853
7	Return Air Grill	ea	6	\$39.00	\$234	0.53	3.20	\$22.99	\$74	\$0.00	\$0	\$51.25	\$308
8	Balancing	ea	3	\$0.00	\$0	1.50	4.50	\$22.99	\$103	\$0.00	\$0	\$34.49	\$103
9	Drywall Repair	SF	150	\$0.25	\$38	0.04	6.00	\$22.99	\$138	\$0.00	\$0	\$1.17	\$175
10	Painting	SF	150	\$0.04	\$6	0.04	6.00	\$22.99	\$138	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.96	\$144
11	Cleanup (after job completed)	Ls	1		\$0	16.00	16.00	\$22.99	\$368	\$0.00	\$0	\$367.84	\$368
12					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
13	Travel to Socorro	hrs	18		\$0	1.00	18.00	\$22.99	\$414	\$0.00	\$0	\$22.99	\$414
14	Travel to job site	hrs	15		\$0	1.00	15.00	\$22.99	\$345	\$0.00	\$0	\$22.99	\$345
15	Lodging and per diem	days	15		\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$100.00	\$1,500	\$100.00	\$1,500
16	Milage	miles	800		\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.30	\$240	\$0.30	\$240
17					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
18					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
19					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
20					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
21					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
22					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
23					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
24					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
25					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
26					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
27					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
28					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
29					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
30					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
31					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
32					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
33					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
34					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
35					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
36					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
37					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
38					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
39	SUBCONTRACTOR SUBTOTAL				\$2,645		190		\$4,359		\$1,740		\$8,743
40	LABOR BURDEN	%	30		\$0				\$1,308		\$522		\$1,830
41	SUBTOTAL				\$2,645				\$5,667		\$2,262		\$10,573
42	OVERHEAD	%	12		\$317				\$680		\$271		\$1,269
43	SUBTOTAL				\$2,962				\$6,346		\$2,533		\$11,842
44	PROFIT	%	12		\$355				\$762		\$304		\$1,421
45	SUBCONTRACTOR TOTAL				\$3,317				\$7,108		\$2,837		\$13,263
46	OVERHEAD	%	11		\$363				\$778		\$311		\$1,452
47	SUBTOTAL				\$3,681				\$7,886		\$3,148		\$14,715
48	PROFIT	%	8		\$294				\$631		\$252		\$1,177
49	SUBTOTAL				\$3,975				\$8,517		\$3,400		\$15,892
50	BOND	%	1		\$29				\$63		\$25		\$117
51	SUBTOTAL				\$4,004				\$8,580		\$3,425		\$16,009
52	N. M. TAX	%	6		\$233				\$499		\$199		\$931
53	SUBTOTAL				\$4,237				\$9,079		\$3,624		\$16,940
54	CONTINGENCY	%	20		\$847				\$1,816		\$725		\$3,388
55	GRAND TOTAL				\$5,084				\$10,895		\$4,349		\$20,328
PREPARED BY			APPROVED BY			TITLE OR ORGANIZATION				DATE			
EEMS						EMC Engineers, Inc.				11/10/95			

# 157 | Air Conditioning and Ventilation

## 157 200 | System Components

	CREW	DAILY OUTPUT	MAN- HOURS	UNIT	1995 BARE COSTS				TOTAL INCL O&P	230
					MAT.	LABOR	EQUIP.	TOTAL		
30 ton	Q-6	.30	.80	Ea.	11,400	2,175		13,575	15,900	
40 ton		.20	120		15,200	3,275		18,475	21,700	
50 ton		.18	133		18,800	3,650		22,450	26,200	
60 ton		.16	150		22,000	4,100		26,100	30,500	
75 ton		.14	171		37,100	4,675		41,775	48,000	
80 ton		.12	200		38,000	5,450		43,450	50,000	
100 ton		.09	266		51,000	7,275		58,275	67,500	
Water cooled, compressor, heat exchanger, controls										
5 ton	Q-5	.70	22,857	Ea.	3,625	600		4,225	4,925	
15 ton		.50	32		6,025	845		6,870	7,925	
20 ton	Q-6	.40	60		8,325	1,650		9,975	11,700	
40 ton		.20	120		15,700	3,275		18,975	22,300	
100 ton		.11	218		33,900	5,950		39,850	46,300	
CLOUDING TOWERS Packaged units	A84 -120									240
Draw thru, single flow										
Belt drive, 60 tons	A84 -230	Q-6	.90	.267	TonAC	69.50	7.30	76.80	87.50	
95 tons			100	.240		60	6.55	66.55	76	
110 tons			109	.220		59	6	65	74	
125 tons			120	.200		58	5.45	63.45	72.50	
For higher capacities, use multiples										
Induced air, double flow										
Gear drive, 150 ton	Q-6	126	.190	TonAC	76.50	5.20		81.70	92.50	
300 ton		129	.186		52.50	5.10		57.60	66	
600 ton		132	.182		41.50	4.96		46.46	53	
840 ton		142	.169		44	4.61		48.61	55.50	
Up to 1,000 tons		150	.160		43	4.37		47.37	54	
For higher capacities, use multiples										
For pumps and piping, add	Q-6	38	.632	TonAC	35	17.25		52.25	64.50	
For absorption systems, add					75%	75%				
For rigging, see division 016-460										
DUCTWORK	R157 -060									250
Fabricated rectangular, includes fittings, joints, supports, allowance for flexible connections, no insulation	R157 -070									
NOTE: Fabrication and Installation are combined as LABOR cost.	R157 -100									
Add to labor for elevated installation										
of fabricated ductwork										
10' to 15' high						6%				
15' to 20' high						12%				
20' to 25' high						15%				
25' to 30' high						21%				
30' to 35' high						24%				
35' to 40' high						30%				
Over 40' high						33%				
For duct insulation and lining see 155-651-3000										
Aluminum, alloy 3003-H14, under 100 lb.	Q-10	.75	.320	lb.	3.49	8.40		11.89	17	
100 to 500 lb.		80	.300		1.81	7.85		9.66	14.30	
500 to 1,000 lb.		95	.253		1.62	6.65		8.27	12.20	
1,000 to 2,000 lb.		120	.200		1.52	5.25		6.77	9.85	
2,000 to 5,000 lb.		130	.185		1.38	4.84		6.22	9.10	
Over 5,000 lb.		145	.166		1.38	4.34		5.72	8.30	
Galvanized steel, under 200 lb.		235	.102		2.47	2.68		5.15	6.90	
200 to 500 lb.		245	.098		1	2.57		3.57	5.10	
500 to 1,000 lb.		255	.094		.62	2.47		3.09	4.55	

## 020 | Subsurface Investigation and Demolition

	020 700   Selective Demolition	CREW	DAILY OUTPUT	MAN- HOURS	UNIT	1995 BARE COSTS			TOTAL INCL G&P			
						MAT.	LABOR	EQUIP.				
724	1320 Double	1 Plum	7	1.143	Ea.		33.50		33.50	53	724	
	1400 Water closet, floor mounted		8	1			29.50		29.50	46.50		
	1420 Wall mounted		7	1.143			33.50		33.50	53		
	1500 Urinal, floor mounted		4	2			58.50		58.50	92.50		
	1520 Wall mounted		7	1.143			33.50		33.50	53		
	1600 Water fountains, free standing		8	1			29.50		29.50	46.50		
	1620 Recessed		6	1.333			39		39	61.50		
	2000 Piping, metal, to 2" diameter		200	.040	LF.		1.17		1.17	1.85		
	2050 To 4" diameter		150	.053			1.56		1.56	2.47		
	2100 To 8" diameter	2 Plum	100	.160			4.69		4.69	7.40		
	2150 To 16" diameter		60	.267			7.80		7.80	12.35		
	2240 Toilet partitions, see division 020-732											
	2250 Water heater, 40 gal.	1 Plum	6	1.333	Ea.		39		39	61.50		
	6000 Remove and reset fixtures, minimum		6	1.333			39		39	61.50		
	6100 Maximum		4	2			58.50		58.50	92.50		
725	0010 ROOFING AND SIDING DEMOLITION	R020-510	B-13	1,680	.033	S.F.					725	
	1000 Deck, roof, concrete plank			3,900	.014		.30	.13	.43	.54		
	1100 Gypsum plank			3,500	.016		.34	.14	.48	.72		
	1150 Metal decking											
	1200 Wood, boards, tongue and groove, 2" x 6"	2 Clb	960	.017			.32		.32	.55		
	1220 2" x 10"		1,040	.015			.30		.30	.51		
	1280 Standard planks, 1" x 6"		1,080	.015			.29		.29	.49		
	1320 1" x 8"		1,160	.014			.27		.27	.45		
	1340 1" x 12"		1,200	.013			.26		.26	.44		
	2000 Gutters, aluminum or wood, edge hung	1 Clb	240	.033	LF.		.65		.65	1.10		
	2100 Built-in		100	.080			1.55		1.55	2.63		
	2500 Roof accessories, plumbing vent flashing		14	.571	Ea.		11.10		11.10	18.80		
	2600 Adjustable metal chimney flashing		9	.889			17.25		17.25	29		
	3000 Roofing, built-up, 5 ply roof, no gravel	B-2	1,600	.025	S.F.		.49		.49	.84		
	3001 Including gravel		890	.045			.89		.89	1.51		
	3100 Gravel removal, minimum		5,000	.008			.16		.16	.27		
	3120 Maximum		2,000	.020			.40		.40	.57		
	3400 Roof insulation board		3,900	.010			.20		.20	.34		
	4000 Shingles, asphalt strip		3,500	.011			.23		.23	.38		
	4100 Slate		2,500	.016			.32		.32	.54		
	4300 Wood		2,200	.018			.36		.36	.61		
	4500 Skylight to 10 S.F.	1 Clb	8	1	Ea.		19.40		19.40	33		
	5000 Siding, metal, horizontal		444	.018	S.F.		.35		.35	.59		
	5020 Vertical		400	.020			.39		.39	.66		
	5200 Wood, boards, vertical		400	.020			.39		.39	.66		
	5220 Clapboards, horizontal		380	.021			.41		.41	.69		
	5240 Shingles		350	.023			.44		.44	.75		
	5260 Textured plywood		725	.011			.21		.21	.36		
726	0010 SAW CUTTING Asphalt over 1000 LF., 3" deep	B-89	775	.021	LF.		.21	.46	.36	1.03	136	728
	0020 Each additional inch of depth		1,250	.013			.05	.28	.22	.55	.76	
	0400 Concrete slabs, mesh reinforcing, per inch of depth		960	.017			.27	.37	.29	.93	1.21	
	0420 Rod reinforcing, per inch of depth		550	.029			.36	.64	.50	1.50	1.98	
	0800 Concrete walls, plain, per inch of depth	A-1A	100	.080			.25	1.55	.34	2.14	3.27	
	0820 Rod reinforcing, per inch of depth		60	.133			.36	2.59	.57	3.52	5.40	
	1200 Masonry walls, brick, per inch of depth		146	.055			.25	1.06	.23	1.54	2.33	
	1220 Block walls, solid, per inch of depth		122	.066			.25	1.27	.28	1.80	2.74	
	1300 Wood sheathing to 1" thick, on walls	1 Carp	200	.040			.98		.98	1.67		
	1320 On roof		250	.032			.79		.79	1.33		
	See also div. 020-125 core drilling											

## 155 | Heating

### 155 600 | Heating System Access.

			DAILY OUTPUT	MAN- HOURS	UNIT	1995 BARE COSTS				TOTAL INCL O&P
						MAT.	LABOR	EQUIP.	TOTAL	
651	2000	Breeching, 2" calcium silicate with 1/2" cement finish, no lath								
	2020	Rectangular	Q-14	.42	.381	S.F.	4.79	9.60		14.39
	2040	Round		38.70	.413		5.30	10.40		15.70
	2300	Calcium silicate block, + 200° to + 1200°F								22.50
	2310	On irregular surfaces, valves and fittings								
	2340	1" thick	Q-14	.30	.533	S.F.	2.71	13.45		16.16
	2360	1-1/2" thick		.25	.640		2.95	16.15		19.10
	2380	2" thick		.22	.727		3.74	18.35		22.09
	2400	3" thick			.18	.889		5.95	22.50	28.45
	2410	On plane surfaces								43
	2420	1" thick	Q-14	.168	.095	S.F.	2.71	2.40		5.11
	2430	1-1/2" thick		.144	.111		2.95	2.80		5.75
	2440	2" thick		.126	.127		3.74	3.20		6.94
	2450	3" thick		.100	.160		5.95	4.03		9.98
	2900	Domestic water heater wrap kit								
	2920	1-1/2" with vinyl jacket, 20-60 gal.	1 Plum	8	1	Ea.	30	29.50		59.50
	3000	Ductwork								
	3020	Blanket type, fiberglass, flexible								
	3030	Fire resistant liner, black coating one side								
	3050	1/2" thick, 2 lb. density	Q-14	.380	.042	S.F.	.38	1.06		1.44
	3060	1" thick, 1-1/2 lb. density		.350	.046		.50	1.15		1.65
	3070	1-1/2" thick, 1-1/2 lb. density		.320	.050		.62	1.26		1.88
	3080	2" thick, 1-1/2 lb. density			.300	.053		.86	1.34	2.20
	3140	FRK vapor barrier wrap, .75 lb. density								3.12
	3160	1" thick	Q-14	.350	.046	S.F.	.28	1.15		1.43
	3170	1-1/2" thick		.320	.050		.37	1.26		1.63
	3180	2" thick		.300	.053		.46	1.34		1.80
	3190	3" thick		.260	.062		.53	1.55		2.08
	3200	4" thick			.242	.066		.71	1.67	2.38
	3210	Vinyl jacket, same as FRK								
	3280	Unfaced, 1 lb. density								
	3310	1" thick	Q-14	.360	.044	S.F.	.29	1.12		1.41
	3320	1-1/2" thick		.330	.048		.39	1.22		1.61
	3330	2" thick			.310	.052		.48	1.30	1.78
	3490	Board type, fiberglass, 3 lb. density								
	3500	Fire resistant, black pigmented, 1 side								
	3520	1" thick	Q-14	.150	.107	S.F.	1.44	2.69		4.13
	3540	1-1/2" thick		.130	.123		1.78	3.10		4.88
	3560	2" thick			.120	.133		2.15	3.36	5.51
	3600	FRK vapor barrier								7.80
	3620	1" thick	Q-14	.150	.107	S.F.	1.10	2.69		3.79
	3630	1-1/2" thick		.130	.123		1.30	3.10		4.40
	3640	2" thick			.120	.133		1.60	3.36	4.96
	3680	No finish								7.20
	3700	1" thick	Q-14	.170	.094	S.F.	.65	2.37		3.02
	3710	1-1/2" thick		.140	.114		.75	2.88		3.63
	3720	2" thick			.130	.123		1	3.10	4.10
	3730	Sheet insulation								
	3760	Polyethylene foam, closed cell, UV resistant								
	3770	Standard temperature (-90° to +212° F)								
	3771	1/4" thick	Q-14	.450	.036	S.F.	.31	.90		1.21
	3772	3/8" thick		.440	.036		.47	.92		1.99
	3773	1/2" thick		.420	.038		.62	.96		1.58
	3774	3/4" thick		.400	.040		.99	1.01		2
	3775	1" thick			.380	.042		1.32	1.06	2.38
	3779	Adhesive (see line 155-651-7878)								3.17

# 092 | Lath, Plaster and Gypsum Board

## 092 600 | Gypsum Board Systems

ITEM 1. O&P	DESCRIPTION	DAILY OUTPUT	MAN- HOURS	UNIT	1995 BARE COSTS				TOTAL INCL O&P
					MAT.	LABOR	EQUIP.	TOTAL	
508	2000 5/8" thick, on walls, standard, no finish included	2 Carp	2,000	.008	S.F.	.20	.20	.40	.55
	2050 Taped and finished		965	.017		.25	.41	.66	.97
.55	2100 Fire resistant, no finish included		2,000	.008		.22	.20	.42	.57
1.04	2150 Taped and finished		965	.017		.27	.41	.68	.99
1.28	2200 Water resistant, no finish included		2,000	.008		.29	.20	.49	.65
1.75	2250 Taped and finished		965	.017		.34	.41	.75	1.06
.56	2300 Prefinished, vinyl, clipped to studs		900	.018		.59	.44	1.03	1.39
1.05	3000 On ceilings, standard, no finish included		1,800	.009		.20	.22	.42	.59
.57	3050 Taped and finished		765	.021		.25	.51	.76	1.15
1.07	3100 Fire resistant, no finish included		1,800	.009		.22	.22	.44	.61
1.31	3150 Taped and finished		765	.021		.27	.51	.78	1.17
1.80	3200 Water resistant, no finish included		1,800	.009		.29	.22	.51	.69
1.34	3250 Taped and finished		765	.021		.34	.51	.85	1.24
1.85	3500 On beams, columns, or soffits, standard, no finish included		675	.024		.29	.58	.87	1.31
.56	3550 Taped and finished		475	.034		.35	.83	1.18	1.79
1.08	3600 Fire resistant, no finish included		675	.024		.31	.58	.89	1.33
1.31	3650 Taped and finished		475	.034		.37	.83	1.20	1.81
1.80	3700 Water resistant, no finish included		675	.024		.38	.58	.96	1.41
.33	3750 Taped and finished		475	.034		.43	.83	1.26	1.87
.17	4000 Fireproofing, beams or columns, 2 layers, 1/2" thick, incl finish		330	.048		.49	1.19	1.68	2.56
604	4050 5/8" thick		300	.053		.55	1.31	1.86	2.83
	4100 3 layers, 1/2" thick		225	.071		.73	1.75	2.48	3.77
1.16	4150 5/8" thick		210	.076		.79	1.87	2.66	4.05
1.18	5050 For 1" thick coreboard on columns	↓	480	.033		.51	.82	1.33	1.95
1.45	5100 For foil-backed board, add					.08		.08	.09
1.48	5200 For high ceilings, over 8' high, add	2 Carp	3,060	.005		.09	.13	.22	.32
1.2	5270 For textured spray, add	2 Lath	1,600	.010		.11	.24	.35	.51
1.43	5300 For over 3 stories high, add per story	2 Carp	6,100	.003	↓	.05	.06	.11	.17
1.90	5350 For finishing corners, inside or outside, add		1,100	.015	L.F.	.06	.36	.42	.68
1.44	5500 For acoustical sealant, add per bead	1 Carp	500	.016	"	.03	.39	.42	.70
	5550 Sealant, 1 quart tube				Ea.	4.10		4.10	4.51
608	5600 Sound deadening board, 1/4" gypsum	2 Carp	1,800	.009	S.F.	.16	.22	.38	.55
	5650 1/2" wood fiber		1,800	.009	"	.22	.22	.44	.61
52	0010 METAL STUDS, DRYWALL Partitions, 10' high, with runners								612
.55	0050 See also Studding, division 051-230								
1.27	2000 Non-load bearing, galvanized, 25 ga. 1-5/8", 16" O.C.	1 Carp	450	.018	S.F.	.24	.44	.68	1
.52	2100 24" O.C.		520	.015		.19	.38	.57	.85
.92	2200 2-1/2" wide, 16" O.C.		440	.018		.26	.45	.71	1.05
.55	2250 24" O.C.		510	.016		.22	.39	.61	.89
.95	2300 3-5/8" wide, 16" O.C.		430	.019		.30	.46	.76	1.11
.59	2350 24" O.C.		500	.016		.26	.39	.65	.96
1.01	2400 4" wide, 16" O.C.		420	.019		.35	.47	.82	1.18
1.30	2450 24" O.C.		490	.016		.28	.40	.68	.99
.56	2500 6" wide, 16" O.C.		410	.020		.45	.48	.93	1.31
1.12	2550 24" O.C.		480	.017		.34	.41	.75	1.07
.59	2600 20 ga. studs, 1-5/8" wide, 16" O.C.		450	.018		.42	.44	.86	1.20
1.15	2650 24" O.C.		520	.015		.34	.38	.72	1.01
.53	2700 2-1/2" wide, 16" O.C.		440	.018		.47	.45	.92	1.28
1.18	2750 24" O.C.		510	.016		.38	.39	.77	1.07
1.35	2800 3-5/8" wide, 16" O.C.		430	.019		.56	.46	1.02	1.40
1.82	2850 24" O.C.		500	.016		.45	.39	.84	1.17
1.32	2900 4" wide, 16" O.C.		420	.019		.59	.47	1.06	1.44
1.77	2950 24" O.C.		490	.016		.47	.40	.87	1.20
1.1	3000 6" wide, 16" O.C.		410	.020		.74	.48	1.22	1.62
1.83	3050 24" O.C.	↓	480	.017	↓	.59	.41	1	1.35

Standard coverage of these items see Means Interior Cost Data 1995

# 099 | Painting and Wall Coverings

## 099 200 | Interior Painting

		CREW	DAILY OUTPUT	MAN- HOURS	UNIT	1995 BARE COSTS				TOTAL INCL O&P
						MAT.	LABOR	EQUIP.	TOTAL	
6300	To 16" diameter, primer or sealer coat, brushwork	2 Pord	340	.047	L.F.	.19	1.06		1.25	1.96
6350	Spray		567	.028		.21	.64		.85	1.28
6400	Paint 1 coat, brushwork		325	.049		.20	1.11		1.31	2.05
6450	Spray		567	.028		.23	.64		.87	1.30
6500	Paint 2 coats, brushwork		202	.079		.40	1.79		2.19	3.38
6550	Spray		323	.050		.44	1.12		1.56	2.32
7000	Trim, wood, incl. puttying, under 6" wide									
7200	Primer coat, oil base, brushwork	1 Pord	900	.009	L.F.	.02	.20		.22	.35
7250	Paint, 1 coat, brushwork		875	.009		.02	.21		.23	.36
7400	2 coats		520	.015		.04	.35		.39	.61
7450	3 coats		370	.022		.05	.49		.54	.86
7500	Over 6" wide, primer coat, brushwork		600	.013		.04	.30		.34	.53
7550	Paint, 1 coat, brushwork		450	.018		.04	.40		.44	.70
	2 coats		265	.030		.07	.68		.75	1.20
7600	3 coats		190	.042		.09	.95		1.04	1.66
7650	Cornice, simple design, primer coat, oil base, brushwork		550	.015	S.F.	.09	.33		.42	.64
8000	Paint, 1 coat		500	.016		.09	.36		.45	.69
8250	2 coats		300	.027		.18	.60		.78	1.19
8300	Ornate design, primer coat		300	.027		.19	.60		.79	1.20
8400	Paint, 1 coat		280	.029		.19	.65		.84	1.27
8450	2 coats		170	.047		.35	1.06		1.41	2.14
8600	Balustrades, primer coat, oil base, brushwork		598	.013		.05	.30		.35	.56
8650	Paint, 1 coat		544	.015		.05	.33		.38	.61
8700	2 coats		340	.024		.09	.53		.52	.97
8900	Trusses and wood frames, primer coat, oil base, brushwork		800	.010		.05	.23		.28	.43
8950	Spray		1,200	.007		.05	.15		.20	.31
9000	Paint 1 coat, brushwork		750	.011		.05	.24		.29	.46
9200	Spray		1,200	.007		.05	.15		.20	.31
9220	Paint 2 coats, brushwork		500	.016		.09	.36		.45	.69
9240	Spray		600	.013		.09	.30		.39	.59
9260	Stain, brushwork, wipe off		600	.013		.03	.30		.33	.52
9280	Varnish, 3 coats, brushwork		275	.029		.10	.66		.76	1.19
9350	For latex paint, deduct					10%				

0010	WALLS AND CEILINGS									
0020	Labor cost includes protection of adjacent items not painted									
0100	Concrete, dry wall or plaster, oil base, primer or sealer coat									
0200	Smooth finish, brushwork	1 Pord	1,300	.006	S.F.	.04	.14		.18	.27
0240	Roller		2,040	.004		.04	.09		.13	.19
0300	Sand finish, brushwork		1,163	.007		.07	.16		.23	.34
0340	Roller		1,700	.005		.07	.11		.18	.25
0380	Spray		2,720	.003		.05	.07		.12	.17
0400	Paint 1 coat, smooth finish, brushwork		1,200	.007		.05	.15		.20	.31
0440	Roller		2,000	.004		.04	.09		.13	.19
0480	Spray		2,200	.004		.04	.08		.12	.17
0500	Sand finish, brushwork		1,050	.008		.06	.17		.23	.35
0540	Roller		1,600	.005		.05	.09		.14	.20
0580	Spray		2,100	.004		.05	.09		.17	.26
0600	Paint 2 coats, smooth finish, brushwork		680	.012		.08	.27		.35	.53
0640	Roller		1,190	.007		.07	.15		.22	.33
0680	Spray		1,700	.005		.08	.11		.19	.26
0900	Sand finish, brushwork		605	.013		.11	.30		.41	.61
0940	Roller		1,020	.008		.10	.18		.28	.40
0980	Spray		1,700	.005		.09	.11		.20	.27
1200	Paint 3 coats, smooth finish, brushwork		510	.016		.12	.35		.47	.71
1240	Roller		790	.010		.11	.23		.34	.50

For expanded coverage of these items see Means Interior Cost Data 1995

## **4.10 ECO 10: TURN OFF OFFICE AHU AT NIGHT**

**Proposed Modifications:** Install a time clock to turn off the AHU serving the office areas in the building at night.

Since these areas are not occupied at night, the temperature does not need to be maintained or the space ventilated during unoccupied periods. In the summer the temperature may be allowed to rise, while in the winter the temperature may be allowed to drop, in order to save energy.

**Existing Conditions:** Presently, the thermostat is set to maintain 70°F year-round, even when the offices are unoccupied.

**Method of Analysis:** The analysis proceeded as follows:

- The AHU operating schedules in the baseline energy model were altered so that the AHU only operates from 6 a.m. to 4 p.m. daily.
- The energy savings were compared to the baseline model and the energy and cost savings were calculated.
- A LCCA was prepared to determine the cost effectiveness of implementing this ECO.
- It was assumed that the GEODSS maintenance staff would install the time clock as part of their normal daily duties. The cost of a time clock is the only expense to implement this ECO.

**Results:** The LCCA results are presented in the following table.

Annual Electric Energy Savings (kWh)	48,210
Total Annual Energy Cost Savings	\$3,958
Annual Maintenance Cost Savings	\$0
Investment Cost	\$420
Savings-to-Investment Ratio (SIR)	80.86
Simple Payback (Years)	0.10

**Recommendations:** Turning off the office AHU at night is recommended.

1. COMPONENT ARMY	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA				2. DATE Jul-95
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GEODSS Site, White Sands Missile Range, NM					
4. PROJECT TITLE Recirculate Tower Air			5. PROJECT NUMBER		
<b>LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS SUMMARY</b> <b>ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM (ECIP)</b>					
LOCATION: White Sands Missile Range, NM		REGION: 4 (New Mexico)		PROJECT NO: 1406.008	
PROJECT TITLE: Turn Off Office AHU at Night				FISCAL YEAR: 1995	
ANALYSIS DATE: 11/13/95		ECONOMIC LIFE: 10		PREPARED BY: E.Smith	
<b>1. INVESTMENT</b>					
A. CONSTRUCTION COST	=	\$375			
B. SIOH COST	(6.0% of 1A) =	\$22			
C. DESIGN COST	(6.0% of 1A) =	\$22			
D. TOTAL COST	(1A + 1B + 1C) =	\$420			
E. SALVAGE VALUE OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT	=	\$0			
F. PUBLIC UTILITY COMPANY REBATE	=	\$0			
G. TOTAL INVESTMENT	(1D -1E -1F) =	-----> \$420			
<b>2. ENERGY SAVINGS (+) OR COST (-):</b>					
DATE OF NISTR-85-3273-9 USED FOR DISCOUNT FACTORS:				Jul-95	
ENERGY SOURCE	FUEL COST \$/KWH (1)	SAVINGS KWH/YR (2)	ANNUAL \$ SAVINGS (3)	DISCOUNT FACTOR (4)	DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (5)
A. ELECT. (SAV'GS)	\$0.0821	48210	\$3,958	8.58	\$33,960
B. DIST (GAL.)	\$1.10	0	\$0		\$0
C. RESID (GAL.)	\$3.00	0	\$0		\$0
D. NAT GAS (MBTU)	\$6.18	0	\$0		\$0
E. COAL	\$2.00	0	\$0		\$0
G. DEMAND (\$/kW)	\$0.00	0	\$0		\$0
H. TOTAL		48,210	\$3,958	----->	\$33,960
<b>3. NON-ENERGY SAVINGS (+) OR COST (-):</b>					
A. ANNUAL RECURRING (+/-)					
1 ANNUAL MAINTENANCE SAVINGS		\$0	14.88	\$0	
2			14.88	\$0	
3 TOTAL ANNUAL DISC. SAVINGS (+) / COST		\$0		\$0	
B. NON-RECURRING (+/-)					
ITEM	SAVINGS (+) COST(-) (1)	YEAR OF OCCURRENCE (2)	DISCOUNT FACTOR (3)	DISCOUNTED SAV'G/COST(4) (TABLE A-2)	
a.	\$0	0	0.00	\$0	
b.	\$0	0	0.00	\$0	
c.	\$0	0	0.00	\$0	
d. TOTAL	\$0			\$0	
C. TOTAL NON-ENERGY DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (+) OR COST (-) (3A3 + 3Bg4) =				\$0	
4. FIRST YEAR DOLLAR SAVINGS (+) / COSTS (-) (2H3 + 3A + (3Bg1/Economic Life))				\$3,958	
5. SIMPLE PAYBACK (SPB) IN YEARS (MUST BE < 10 YEARS TO QUALIFY) (1G/4) =				0.1	
6. TOTAL NET DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (2H5 + 3C) =				\$33,960	
7. DISCOUNTED SAVINGS-TO-INVESTMENT RATIO (SIR) (6/1G) = (MUST HAVE SIR > 1.25 TO QUALIFY)				80.86	

E M C Engineering, Inc.  
EMC #1406-008  
GEODSS Site, White Sands Missile Range, NM

Turn Off Office AHU at Night


ECO-10.XLS  
Prepared By: EMS  
11/13/95  
Checked By: \_\_\_\_\_

Economic Life(Years)	10
----------------------	----

Simulation	Energy Consumed (MBTU)	Energy Consumed (kWh)
Baseline Model	3573.45	1,047,011
Night Setback	3408.91	998,802
Savings	164.54	48,210
Cost Savings		\$3,958

Annual Electric Energy Savings (kWh)	48,210
Total Annual Energy Cost Savings	\$3,958
Construction Cost	\$375
SIOH (6.0%)	\$22
Design Cost (6.0%)	\$22
Investment Cost	\$420
Discounted Savings	\$33,960
Savings-to-Investment Ratio (SIR)	80.86
Simple Payback (Years)	0.11

**TOTAL SITE ELECTRICITY ENERGY USE 3408.91 MBTU**



ENGINEER'S OPINION OF PROBABLE COST							SHEET	1	OF	1			
AREA		ACTIVITY		LOCATION			AMENDMENT NO.						
PROJECT TITLE		Turn Off Office AHU		CONTRACT NO.									
GEOSS, Energy Conservation Survey				DACA01-94-D-0033									
Line No.	Item Description	Unit of Measure	No. of Units	MATERIAL COST		LABOR COST			EQUIPMENT COST		TOTAL COST		
				Unit Cost	Total	Manhrs/ Unit	Total Manhrs	Labor Cost/ Manhour	Total Labor Cost	Unit Cost	Total	Unit Cost	Total
1	Programmable Timer	ea	1	\$249.33	\$249	2.00	2.00	\$22.99	\$46	\$0.00	\$0	\$295	\$295
2	(solid state w/battery)				\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
3	(Installation by GEOSS staff)				\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
4					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
5					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
6					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
7					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
8					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
9					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
10					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
11					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
12					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
13					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
14					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
15					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$100.00	\$0	\$100.00	\$0
16					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.30	\$0	\$0.30	\$0
17					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
18					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
19					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
20					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
21					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
22					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
23					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
24					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
25					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
26					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
27					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
28					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
29					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
30					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
31					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
32					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
33					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
34					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
35					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
36					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
37					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
38					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
39	SUBCONTRACTOR SUBTOTAL				\$249		2		\$46		\$0		\$295
40	LABOR BURDEN	%	0		\$0				\$0		\$0		\$0
41	SUBTOTAL		0		\$249				\$46		\$0		\$295
42	OVERHEAD	%	0		\$0				\$0		\$0		\$0
43	SUBTOTAL		0		\$249				\$46		\$0		\$295
44	PROFIT	%	0		\$0				\$0		\$0		\$0
45	SUBCONTRACTOR TOTAL		0		\$249				\$46		\$0		\$295
46	OVERHEAD	%	0		\$0				\$0		\$0		\$0
47	SUBTOTAL		0		\$249				\$46		\$0		\$295
48	PROFIT	%	0		\$0				\$0		\$0		\$0
49	SUBTOTAL		0		\$249				\$46		\$0		\$295
50	BOND	%	0		\$0				\$0		\$0		\$0
51	SUBTOTAL		0		\$249				\$46		\$0		\$295
52	N. M. TAX	%	5.8125		\$14				\$3		\$0		\$17
53	SUBTOTAL		0		\$264				\$49		\$0		\$312
54	CONTINGENCY	%	20		\$53				\$10		\$0		\$62
55	GRAND TOTAL				\$317				\$58		\$0		\$375
PREPARED BY		APPROVED BY			TITLE OR ORGANIZATION				DATE				
EMS					EMC Engineers, Inc.				11/10/95				

# 157 | Air Conditioning and Ventilation

## 157 400 | Accessories

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	CREW	DAILY OUTPUT	MAN- HOURS	UNIT	1995 BARE COSTS			TOTAL	INCL O&P
						MAT.	LABOR	EQUIP.		
3430	Pneumatic/electric	1 Plum	16	.500	Ea.	135	14.65		149.65	172
3440	Pneumatic proportioning		8	1		156	29.50		185.50	217
3450	Pneumatic switching		12	.667		74.50	19.55		94.05	112
3460	Selector, 3 point		6	1.333		55.50	39		94.50	121
3470	Time delay		8	1		206	29.50		235.50	271
3500	Sensor, air operated									
3520	Humidity	1 Plum	16	.500	Ea.	175	14.65		189.65	216
3540	Pressure		16	.500		194	14.65		208.65	236
3560	Temperature		12	.667		138	19.55		157.55	182
3600	Electric operated									
3620	Humidity	1 Elec	8	1	Ea.	40	28.50		68.50	87
3650	Pressure		8	1		485	28.50		513.50	580
3680	Temperature		10	.800		80.50	23		103.50	124
4000	Thermometers									
4100	Dial type, 3-1/2" diameter, vapor type, union connection	1 Spi	32	.250	Ea.	95	7.30		102.30	116
4120	Liquid type, union connection		32	.250		133	7.30		140.30	157
4130	Remote reading, 15' capillary		32	.250		90	7.30		97.30	110
4500	Stem type, 6-1/2" case, 2" stem, 1/2" NPT		32	.250		24	7.30		31.30	37.50
4520	4" stem, 1/2" NPT		32	.250		33	7.30		40.30	47.50
4600	9" case, 3-1/2" stem, 3/4" NPT		28	.286		40	8.35		48.35	57
4620	6" stem, 3/4" NPT		28	.286		43	8.35		51.35	60.50
4640	8" stem, 3/4" NPT		28	.286		49	8.35		57.35	67
4660	12" stem, 1" NPT		26	.308		55	9		64	74.50
5000	Thermostats									
5030	Manual	1 Shee	8	1	Ea.	21	28		49	67
5040	1 set back, electric, timed		8	1		69.50	28		97.50	121
5050	2 set back, electric, timed		8	1		42.50	28		70.50	90.50
5100	Locking cover					13.80			13.80	15.15
5200	24 hour, automatic, clock	1 Shee	8	1		87	28		115	140
5220	Electric, 2 wire	1 Elec	13	.615		11.60	17.55		29.15	39.50
5230	3 wire		10	.800		14.05	23		37.05	50
5240	Pneumatic:									
5250	Single temp., single pressure	1 Spi	8	1	Ea.	102	29.50		131.50	157
5251	Dual pressure		8	1		141	29.50		170.50	200
5252	Dual temp., dual pressure		8	1		132	29.50		161.50	190
5253	Reverse acting w/averaging element		8	1		124	29.50		153.50	181
5254	Heating-cooling w/deadband		8	1		139	29.50		168.50	198
5255	Integral w/piston top valve actuator		8	1		129	29.50		158.50	187
5256	Dual temp., dual pressure		8	1		151	29.50		180.50	211
5257	Low limit, 8" averaging element		8	1		94	29.50		123.50	149
5258	Room single temp. proportional		8	1		42	29.50		71.50	91
5300	Transmitter, pneumatic									
5320	Temperature averaging element	0-1	8	2	Ea.	80.50	53		133.50	169
5350	Pressure differential	1 Plum	7	1.143		470	33.50		503.50	565
5370	Humidity, duct		8	1		158	29.50		187.50	218
5380	Room		12	.667		143	19.55		162.55	188
5390	Temperature, with averaging element		6	1.333		90	39		129	159
5420	Electric operated, humidity	1 Elec	8	1		40	28.50		68.50	87
5430	DPST		8	1		56	28.50		84.50	105
6000	Valves, motorized zone									
6100	Sweat connections, 1/2" C x C	1 Spi	20	.400	Ea.	37	11.70		48.70	59
6110	3/4" C x C		20	.400		37	11.70		48.70	59
6120	1" C x C		19	.421		41.50	12.30		53.80	65
6140	1/2" C x C, with end switch, 2 wire		20	.400		48	11.70		59.70	71
6150	3/4" C x C, with end switch, 2 wire		20	.400		48	11.70		59.70	71
6160	1" C x C, with end switch, 2 wire		19	.421		52.50	12.30		64.80	76.50

## 4.11 ECO 11: PROPANE HEAT

**Proposed Modification:** Replace electric heating coils in ducts with propane-fired duct furnaces which use a less expensive fuel.

This would involve installing propane duct heaters and associated propane lines and a propane storage tank.

**Existing Conditions:** Only the computer room CRUs and AHU-2 have heating coils, which are placed in the ducts. Propane duct heaters are not practical for the CRUs, therefore they were not evaluated. Since the price of electricity is high at \$0.0821/kWh, a way to save money and energy is to convert the existing electric duct heaters over to propane-fired duct furnaces in AHU-2 serving the office.

**Method of Analysis:** Analysis proceeded as follows:

- A baseline energy consumption model was developed using DOE2.1d.
- A modified baseline energy consumption model was developed using DOE2.1d. ECO 10 (Turn Off Office AHU at Night) significantly reduced the heating energy use. Most heating energy was consumed at night when internal heat gain from lights, office equipment, and people was minimal. The dominant heating load at night was ventilation air heating which was eliminated by ECO 10.
- The baseline models were then modified so that the heating coils for AHU-2 were propane-fired instead of electric.
- The baseline energy consumption model and the modified model were compared and the energy savings were calculated.

**Results:** The LCCA results are presented in the following table.

	Baseline	Modified Baseline
Annual Electric Energy Savings (kWh)	16,150	1,199
Total Annual Energy Cost Savings	\$878	\$65
Annual Maintenance Cost Savings	\$0	\$0
Investment Cost	\$11,182	\$11,182
Savings-to-Investment Ratio (SIR)	1.04	0.08
Simple Payback (Years)	12.74	171.7

**Recommendations:** Switching over to propane is not recommended because it is not cost effective when used in conjunction with ECO 10. Furthermore, GEODSS does not desire to use propane in the building due to the risk to the facility.

E M C Engineering, Inc.

EMC #1406-008

GEODSS Site, White Sands Missile Range, NM PROPANE FIRED DUCT FURNACES

## LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS

ECO-11.XLS

Prepared By: EMS

11/10/95

Checked By: \_\_\_\_\_

ECONOMIC LIFE (YEARS)	20
-----------------------	----

## Existing Conditions

	Baseline	Baseline with ECO 10
Baseline Electric Heating Energy (MBtu)	55.12	4.09
Conversion Factor (MBtu/kWh)	0.003413	0.003413
Baseline Electric Heating Energy (kWh)	16,150	1,199
Unit Electricity Cost (\$/kWh)	\$0.0821	\$0.0821
Annual Energy Cost (\$)	\$ 1,326	\$ 98

## Proposed Modification

	Baseline	Baseline with ECO 10
Modified Propane Heating Energy (MBtu)	61.38	4.56
Conversion Factor (MBtu/gal)	0.095	0.095
Baseline Propane Required (gal)	646	48
Unit Propane Cost (\$/gal)	\$0.6940	\$0.6940
Annual Energy Cost (\$)	\$ 448	\$ 33


Annual Electric Energy Savings (kWh)	16,150	1,199
Annual Propane Savings (gal)	(646)	(48)
Total Annual Energy Cost Savings	\$ 878	\$ 65
Annual Maintenance Costs	-	-
Economic Life (yrs)	\$ 20	\$ 20
UPV Factor - Electricity	15.08	15.08
UPV Factor - LP Gas	18.58	18.58
Life Cycle Cost Savings	\$ 11,664	\$ 866
Construction Cost	\$ 9,984	\$ 9,984
SIOH (6.0%)	\$ 599	\$ 599
Design Cost (6.0%)	\$ 599	\$ 599
Total Investment	\$ 11,182	\$ 11,182
Savings-to-Investment Ratio	1.04	0.08
Simple Payback (years)	12.74	171.70

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/ 8/1995 9: 9:49 PDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION  
 REPORT- BEPS ESTIMATED BUILDING ENERGY PERFORMANCE TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N

ENERGY TYPE IN SITE MBTU -	ELECTRICITY	NATURAL-GAS
CATEGORY OF USE		
SPACE HEAT	0.00	61.38
SPACE COOL	1568.96	0.00
HVAC AUX	426.63	0.00
DOM HOT WTR	0.00	0.00
AUX SOLAR	0.00	0.00
LIGHTS	288.88	0.00
VERT TRANS	0.00	0.00
MISC EQUIP	1233.87	0.00
TOTAL	3518.34	61.38


TOTAL SITE ENERGY 3579.61 MBTU 314.0 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 314.0 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
 TOTAL SOURCE ENERGY 3579.61 MBTU 314.0 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 314.0 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
 PERCENT OF HOURS ANY SYSTEM ZONE OUTSIDE OF THROTTLING RANGE = 0.4  
 PERCENT OF HOURS ANY PLANT LOAD NOT SATISFIED = 100.0  
 NOTE ELECTRICITY AND/OR FUEL USED TO GENERATE ELECTRICITY IS APPORTIONED BASED  
 ON THE YEARLY DEMAND. ALL OTHER ENERGY TYPES ARE APPORTIONED HOURLY.

### TOTAL SITE ELECTRICITY ENERGY USE 3518.34 MBTU




TOTAL SITE NATURAL-GAS ENERGY USE 61.38 MBTU

SPACE HEAT 100.0%



# Baseline with ECO - 10



ENGINEER'S OPINION OF PROBABLE COST							SHEET	1	OF	1				
AREA		ACTIVITY		LOCATION				AMENDMENT NO.						
PROJECT TITLE				Turn Off Office AHU		CONTRACT NO.								
GEOSS, Energy Conservation Survey				DACA01-94-D-0033										
Line No.	Item Description		Unit of Measure	No. of Units	MATERIAL COST		LABOR COST			EQUIPMENT COST	TOTAL COST			
					Unit Cost	Total	Manhrs/ Unit	Total Manhrs	Labor Cost/ Manhour	Total Labor Cost	Unit Cost	Total		
1	Propane Tank 305 Gallons		Ea.	1	\$2,865	\$2,865	8.00	8.00	\$22.99	\$184	\$0.00	\$0	\$3,049	\$3,049
2	Cement Pad		S.F.	18	\$0.96	\$17	0.00	0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$1	\$17
3	Piping		L.F.	44	\$2.84	\$126	0.11	4.75	\$22.99	\$109	\$0.00	\$0	\$5	\$235
4	Propane Furnace		Ea.	1	\$880.00	\$880	8.00	8.00	\$22.99	\$184	\$0.00	\$0	\$1,064	\$1,064
5	Excavation		L.F.	20	\$0.00	\$0	0.10	2.00	\$22.99	\$46	\$0.00	\$0	\$2.30	\$46
6	Pressure Regulator Valve		Ea.	1	\$153.00	\$153	1.60	1.60	\$22.99	\$37	\$0.00	\$0	\$189.78	\$190
7	Gas Stop		Ea.	1.0	\$17.75	\$18	0.67	0.67	\$22.99	\$15	\$0.00	\$0	\$33.08	\$33
8						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
9								0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
10	Travel to job site		hrs	9		\$0	1.00	9.00	\$22.99	\$207	\$0.00	\$0	\$22.99	\$207
11	Lodging and per diem					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$100.00	\$0	\$100.00	\$0
12	Mileage		miles	300		\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.30	\$90	\$0.30	\$90
13						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
14						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
15						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$100.00	\$0	\$100.00	\$0
16						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.30	\$90	\$0.30	\$90
17						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
18						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
19						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
20						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
21						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
22						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
23						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
24						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
25						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
26						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
27						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
28						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
29						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
30						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
31						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
32						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
33						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
34						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
35						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
36						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
37						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
38						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
39	SUBCONTRACTOR SUBTOTAL					\$4,059		34		\$782		\$90		\$4,931
40	LABOR BURDEN		%	30		\$0				\$235		\$27		\$262
41	SUBTOTAL					\$4,059				\$1,017		\$117		\$5,193
42	OVERHEAD		%	12		\$487				\$122		\$14		\$623
43	SUBTOTAL					\$4,546				\$1,139		\$131		\$5,816
44	PROFIT		%	12		\$546				\$137		\$16		\$698
45	SUBCONTRACTOR TOTAL					\$5,092				\$1,275		\$147		\$6,514
46	OVERHEAD		%	11		\$558				\$140		\$16		\$713
47	SUBTOTAL					\$5,649				\$1,415		\$163		\$7,227
48	PROFIT		%	8		\$452				\$113		\$13		\$578
49	SUBTOTAL					\$6,101				\$1,528		\$176		\$7,805
50	BOND		%	1		\$45				\$11		\$1		\$58
51	SUBTOTAL					\$6,146				\$1,539		\$177		\$7,863
52	N. M. TAX		%	6		\$357				\$89		\$10		\$457
53	SUBTOTAL					\$6,503				\$1,629		\$187		\$8,320
54	CONTINGENCY		%	20		\$1,301				\$326		\$37		\$1,664
55	GRAND TOTAL					\$7,804				\$1,955		\$225		\$9,984
PREPARED BY		APPROVED BY			TITLE OR ORGANIZATION				DATE			11/10/95		
EMS														

## 026 | Piped Utilities

### 026 800 | Fuel Distribution

		CREW	DAILY OUTPUT	MAN- HOURS	UNIT	1995 BARE COSTS			TOTAL INCL O&P
						MAT.	LABOR	EQUIP.	
804	0320 Reducers 2"	Q-6	27	.889	Ea.	13.45	24.50		37.95
	3" diameter		22	1.091		15.55	30		45.55
	4" diameter	↓	20	1.200	↓	24	33		57
1010	Gas station product line for secondary containment (double wall)								77.50
1100	Fiberglass reinforced plastic pipe 25' lengths	Q-6	375	.064	L.F.	3.62	1.75		5.37
1120	Pipe, plain end 3"		350	.069		4.66	1.87		6.53
1130	4" diameter		325	.074		5.20	2.02		7.22
1140	5" diameter								8.90
1150	6" diameter	↓	300	.080	↓	8.80	2.18		10.98
1200	Fittings								13.15
1230	Elbows, 90° & 45° 3"	Q-6	18	1.333	Ea.	35	36.50		71.50
1240	4" diameter		16	1.500		65	41		106
1250	5" diameter		14	1.714		134	47		181
1260	6" diameter		12	2		139	54.50		193.50
1270	Tees 3"		15	1.600		48.50	43.50		92
1280	4" diameter		12	2		80.50	54.50		135
1290	5" diameter		9	2.667		150	73		223
1300	6" diameter		6	4		155	109		264
1310	Couplings 3"		18	1.333		23	36.50		59.50
1320	4" diameter		16	1.500		63	41		104
1330	5" diameter		14	1.714		125	47		172
1340	6" diameter		12	2		130	54.50		184.50
1350	Cross-over nipples, 3"		18	1.333		5.40	36.50		41.90
1360	4" diameter		16	1.500		6.30	41		47.30
1370	5" diameter		14	1.714		9.40	47		56.40
1380	6" diameter		12	2		9.75	54.50		64.25
1400	Telescoping, reducers, concentric 4" x 3"		18	1.333		18.05	36.50		54.55
1410	5" x 4"		17	1.412		46.50	38.50		85
1420	6" x 5"	↓	16	1.500	↓	114	41		155
									190

### 026 850 | Gas Distribution System

854	0010 PIPING, GAS SERVICE & DISTRIBUTION, POLYETHYLENE								854
	0020 not including excavation or backfill								
1000	60 psi coils, 1/2" diameter, SDR 9.3	B-20	450	.053	L.F.	.25	1.18		1.43
1040	1-1/4" diameter, SDR 11		400	.060		.65	1.32		1.97
1100	2" diameter, SDR 11		360	.067		1.21	1.47		2.68
1160	3" diameter, SDR 11	↓	300	.080		2.27	1.76		4.03
1500	40' joints with coupling, 3" diameter, SDR 11	B-21	300	.093		2.47	2.11	.36	4.94
1540	4" diameter, SDR 11		260	.108		3.91	2.44	.41	6.76
1600	6" diameter, SDR 11		240	.117		8.95	2.64	.45	12.04
1640	8" diameter, SDR 11	↓	200	.140	↓	14.90	3.17	.54	18.61
856	0010 PIPING, GAS SERVICE & DISTRIBUTION, STEEL								856
	0020 not including excavation or backfill, tar coated and wrapped								
4000	Schedule 40, plain end								
4040	1" diameter	Q-4	300	.107	L.F.	2.84	2.98	.17	5.99
4080	2" diameter		280	.114		3.21	3.20	.19	6.60
4120	3" diameter	↓	260	.123		6.45	3.44	.20	10.09
4160	4" diameter	B-35	255	.188		9.25	4.49	1.96	15.70
4200	5" diameter		220	.218		13.90	5.20	2.27	21.37
4240	6" diameter		180	.267		17.05	6.35	2.77	26.50
4280	8" diameter		140	.343		27	8.15	3.56	38.71
4320	10" diameter		100	.480		37	11.45	4.99	53.44
4360	12" diameter		80	.600		46.50	14.30	6.25	67.05
4400	14" diameter		75	.640		52	15.25	6.65	73.90
4440	16" diameter	↓	70	.686	↓	57	16.35	7.10	80.45
									97.50

## 155 | Heating

### 155 200 | Boiler Accessories

ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	CREW	DAILY OUTPUT	MAN- HOURS	UNIT	1995 BARE COSTS			TOTAL INCL O&P
						MAT.	LABOR	EQUIP.	
9470	10,400/23,200 GPH	Q-6	29	82.759	Ea.	26,100	2,250		28,350
0010	INDUCED DRAFT FANS								32,200
1000	Breeching installation								250
1800	Hot gas, 600°F, variable pitch pulley and motor								260
1840	6" diam. inlet, 1/4 H.P., 1 phase, 400 CFM	Q-9	6	2,667	Ea.	1,000	67.50		1,067.50
1850	7" diam. inlet, 1/4 H.P., 1 phase, 800 CFM		5	3,200		1,075	81		1,156
1860	8" diam. inlet, 1/4 H.P., 1 phase, 1120 CFM		4	4		1,575	101		1,300
1870	9" diam. inlet, 3/4 H.P., 1 phase, 1440 CFM		3.60	4,444		1,850	112		1,676
1880	10" diam. inlet, 3/4 H.P., 1 phase, 2000 CFM		3.30	4,848		2,075	123		1,875
1900	12" diam. inlet, 3/4 H.P., 3 phase, 2960 CFM		3	5,333		2,150	135		2,198
1910	14" diam. inlet, 1 H.P., 3 phase, 4160 CFM		2.60	6,154		2,525	156		2,550
1920	16" diam. inlet, 2 H.P., 3 phase, 6720 CFM		2.30	6,957		2,750	176		2,681
1940	18" diam. inlet, 3 H.P., 3 phase, 9120 CFM		2	8		3,325	202		3,300
1950	20" diam. inlet, 3 H.P., 3 phase, 9760 CFM		1.50	10,667		3,625	270		3,527
1960	22" diam. inlet, 5 H.P., 3 phase, 13,360 CFM		1	16		4,225	405		4,400
1980	24" diam. inlet, 7-1/2 H.P., 3 phase, 17,760 CFM		↓	.80	20	5,200	505		4,630
2000	For multi-blade damper at fan inlet, add					20%			5,275
3650	Chimneytop Installation								6,525
3700	6" size								
3740	8" size	1 Shee	8	1	Ea.	305	28		333
3780	13" size		7	1.143		310	32		380
3800	For speed control switch, add		↓	6	1.333	420	37.50		342
3820	For thermal fan control, add					49.50			390
3840	Rue shutter damper for draft control, parallel blades					29			457.50
3850	8" size								520
3860	9" size	Q-9	8	2	Ea.	350	50.50		400.50
3880	10" size		7.50	2,133		380	54		465
3890	12" size		7	2,286		390	58		434
3900	14" size		6.50	2,462		430	62.50		505
3920	16" size		6	2,667		490	67.50		448
3940	18" size		5.50	2,909		530	73.50		492.50
3950	20" size		5	3,200		580	81		575
3960	22" size		4.50	3,556		645	90		640
3980	24" size		4	4		710	101		700
3990	27" size		3.50	4,571		750	116		765
4000	30" size		3	5,333		775	135		850
4010	32" size		2.50	6,400		825	162		910
4020	36" size		2	8		865	202		1,075
			↓	1.50	10,667	930	270		1,175
									1,067
									1,275
									1,200
									1,450

### 155 400 | Warm Air Systems

DUCT FURNACES includes burner, controls, stainless steel heat exchanger. Gas fired, electric ignition

Indoor installation

100 MBH output	Q-5	5	3,200	Ea.	880	84.50		964.50	1,100
120 MBH output		4	4		1,000	105		1,105	1,250
200 MBH output		2.70	5,926		1,375	156		1,531	1,775
240 MBH output		2.30	6,957		1,450	183		1,633	1,850
280 MBH output		2	8		1,600	211		1,811	2,100
320 MBH output		↓	1.60	10	1,750	263		2,013	2,325
For powered venter and adapter, add					220			220	242
For required flue pipe, see division 155-680									
Outdoor installation, with vent cap									
75 MBH output	Q-5	4	4	Ea.	1,325	105		1,430	1,600
94 MBH output	↓	4	4	↓	1,475	105		1,580	1,775

# 022 | Earthwork

## 2 SITE WORK

### 022 200 | Excav./Backfill/Compact.

	REF ID	DESCRIPTION	CREW	DAILY OUTPUT	MAN- HOURS	UNIT	1995 BARE COSTS			TOTAL INCL.
							MAT.	LABOR	EQUIP.	
258	0010	EXCAVATING, UTILITY TRENCH Common earth								
	0050	Trenching with chain trencher, 12 H.P., operator walking								
	0100	4" wide trench, 12" deep	B-53	800	.010	L.F.		.24	.10	.34
	0150	18" deep		750	.011			.26	.11	.37
	0200	24" deep			.011			.28	.12	.40
	0300	6" wide trench, 12" deep			.012			.30	.13	.43
	0350	18" deep			.013			.32	.14	.46
	0400	24" deep			.015			.35	.15	.50
	0450	36" deep			.018			.43	.19	.62
	0600	8" wide trench, 12" deep			.017			.41	.18	.59
	0650	18" deep			.020			.48	.21	.69
	0700	24" deep			.023			.55	.24	.79
	0750	36" deep			.027			.64	.28	.92
	1000	Backfill by hand including compaction, add								
	1050	4" wide trench, 12" deep	A-1	800	.010	L.F.		.19	.08	.27
	1100	18" deep		530	.015			.29	.11	.40
	1150	24" deep		400	.020			.39	.15	.54
	1300	6" wide trench, 12" deep		540	.015			.29	.11	.40
	1350	18" deep		405	.020			.38	.15	.53
	1400	24" deep		270	.030			.57	.22	.79
	1450	36" deep		180	.044			.86	.33	1.19
	1600	8" wide trench, 12" deep		400	.020			.39	.15	.54
	1650	18" deep		265	.030			.59	.23	.82
	1700	24" deep		200	.040			.78	.30	1.08
	1750	36" deep		135	.059			1.15	.45	1.60
	2000	Chain trencher, 40 H.P. operator riding								
	2050	6" wide trench and backfill, 12" deep	B-54	1,200	.007	L.F.		.16	.16	.32
	2100	18" deep		1,000	.008			.19	.19	.38
	2150	24" deep		975	.008			.20	.20	.40
	2200	36" deep		900	.009			.21	.21	.42
	2250	48" deep		750	.011			.26	.26	.52
	2300	60" deep		650	.012			.30	.30	.60
	2400	8" wide trench and backfill, 12" deep		1,000	.008			.19	.19	.38
	2450	18" deep		950	.008			.20	.20	.40
	2500	24" deep		900	.009			.21	.21	.42
	2550	36" deep		800	.010			.24	.24	.48
	2600	48" deep		650	.012			.30	.30	.60
	2700	12" wide trench and backfill, 12" deep		975	.008			.20	.20	.40
	2750	18" deep		860	.009			.22	.22	.44
	2800	24" deep		800	.010			.24	.24	.48
	2850	36" deep		725	.011			.27	.27	.54
	3000	16" wide trench and backfill, 12" deep		835	.010			.23	.23	.46
	3050	18" deep		750	.011			.26	.26	.52
	3100	24" deep		700	.011			.28	.28	.56
	3200	Compaction with vibratory plate, add								50%
262	0010	FILL Spread dumped material, by dozer, no compaction								
	0100	By hand	B-10B	1,000	.012	C.Y.		.28	.85	1.13
	0150	Spread fill, from stockpile with 2-1/2 C.Y. F.E. loader	1 Club	12	.567	"		12.95		12.95
	0170	130 H.P. 300' haul	B-10P	600	.020	C.Y.		.46	1.35	1.81
	0190	With dozer 300 H.P. 300' haul	B-10M	600	.020	"		.46	1.71	2.17
	0500	Gravel fill, compacted, under floor slabs, 4" deep	B-37	10,000	.005	S.F.	.10	.10	.01	.21
	0600	6"deep		8,600	.006			.15	.11	.26
	0700	9" deep		7,200	.007			.25	.14	.39
	0800	12" deep		6,000	.008			.35	.16	.53
	1000	Alternate pricing method, 4" deep		120	.400	C.Y.	7.50	8.20	1.16	16.86

## 55 | Heating

### 55 600 | Heating System Access.

ITEM	QUANTITY	DAILY OUTPUT	MAN- HOURS	UNIT	1995 BARE COSTS				TOTAL INCL O&P
					MAT.	LABOR	EQUIP.	TOTAL	
15 gallon capacity	Q-5	17	.941	Ea.	485	25		510	570
24 gallon capacity		14	1.143		520	30		550	620
30 gallon capacity		12	1.333		605	35		640	720
40 gallon capacity		10	1.600		690	42		732	825
60 gallon capacity		8	2		790	52.50		842.50	955
80 gallon capacity		7	2.286		905	60		965	1,100
100 gallon capacity		6	2.667		1,100	70		1,170	1,325
120 gallon capacity		5	3.200		1,275	84.50		1,359.50	1,525
135 gallon capacity		4.50	3.556		1,375	93.50		1,468.50	1,650
175 gallon capacity		4	4		1,675	105		1,780	2,025
220 gallon capacity		3.60	4.444		1,925	117		2,042	2,300
240 gallon capacity		3.30	4.848		2,025	128		2,153	2,425
305 gallon capacity		3	5.333		2,725	140		2,865	3,225
400 gallon capacity		2.80	5.714		3,325	150		3,475	3,900
Steel ASME expansion, rubber diaphragm, 19 gal. cap. accept.		12	1.333		1,125	35		1,160	1,300
31 gallon capacity		8	2		1,250	52.50		1,302.50	1,450
61 gallon capacity		6	2.667		1,750	70		1,820	2,025
79 gallon capacity		5	3.200		1,875	84.50		1,959.50	2,175
119 gallon capacity		4	4		1,975	105		2,080	2,350
158 gallon capacity		3.80	4.211		2,775	111		2,886	3,250
211 gallon capacity		3.30	4.848		3,200	128		3,328	3,725
317 gallon capacity		2.80	5.714		4,200	150		4,350	4,875
422 gallon capacity		2.60	6.154		6,200	162		6,362	7,075
528 gallon capacity		2.40	6.667		6,800	176		6,976	7,750
VENT CHIMNEY Prefab metal, U.L. listed									680
Gas, double wall, galvanized steel									
3" diameter	Q-9	72	.222	V.L.F.	2.92	5.60		8.52	12.30
4" diameter		68	.235		3.57	5.95		9.52	13.55
5" diameter		64	.250		4.20	6.30		10.50	14.80
6" diameter		60	.267		4.93	6.75		11.68	16.30
7" diameter		56	.286		7.25	7.25		14.50	19.70
8" diameter		52	.308		8.10	7.80		15.90	21.50
10" diameter		48	.333		17.05	8.45		25.50	32.50
12" diameter		44	.364		23	9.20		32.20	40
14" diameter		42	.381		38.50	9.65		48.15	57.50
16" diameter		40	.400		52	10.10		62.10	73.50
18" diameter		38	.421		67	10.65		77.65	90.50
20" diameter	Q-10	36	.467		79	17.50		96.50	116
22" diameter		34	.706		100	18.50		118.50	140
24" diameter		32	.750		123	19.65		142.65	168
26" diameter		31	.774		148	20.50		168.50	196
28" diameter		30	.800		156	21		177	206
30" diameter		28	.857		165	22.50		187.50	219
32" diameter		27	.889		192	23.50		215.50	249
34" diameter		26	.923		218	24		242	279
36" diameter		25	.960		231	25		256	295
38" diameter		24	1		252	26		278	320
40" diameter		23	1.043		281	27.50		308.50	355
42" diameter		22	1.091		295	28.50		323.50	370
44" diameter		21	1.143		325	30		355	410
46" diameter		20	1.200		360	31.50		391.50	445
48" diameter		19	1.263		395	33		428	490
For 4", 5" and 6" oval, add					50%				
Gas, double wall, galvanized steel, fittings									
Elbow 45°, 3" diameter	Q-9	36	.444	Ea.	7.20	11.25		18.45	26
4" diameter		34	.471		8.50	11.90		20.40	28.50

## 033 | Cast-In-Place Concrete

## 033 100 | Structural Concrete

	ITEM NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	CREW	DAILY OUTPUT	MAN- HOURS	UNIT	1995 BARE COSTS			TOTAL INCL O&P
							MAT.	LABOR	EQUIP.	
130	4050	Over 20 C.Y.	C-15	35.77	2,013	C.Y.	88	46.50	1.65	136.15
	4200	Grade walls, 8" thick, 8' high		↓ 14.76	4,878		85	113	4	202
	4250	14' high	C-14	21.98	6,551		113	157	51.50	321.50
	4260	12" thick, 8' high	C-15	20.70	3,478		77	80.50	2.85	160.35
	4270	14' high	C-14	32.20	4,472		88.50	107	35	230.50
	4300	15" thick, 8' high	C-15	25.76	2,795		73	65	2.29	140.29
	4350	12' high	C-14	41.24	3,492		78	83.50	27.50	189
	4500	18' high		39.32	3,662	↓	87.50	87.50	28.50	203.50
	4520	Handicap access ramp, railing both sides, 3' wide		47.37	3,040	L.F.	91.50	75.50	7.10	174.10
	4525	5' wide		47	3,053		94	76	7.15	177.15
	4530	With cheek walls and rails both sides, 3' wide		26.22	5,491		148	137	3.85	288.85
	4535	5' wide		24.32	5,920	↓	180	154	6.80	340.80
	4650	Slab on grade, not including finish, 4" thick	C-15	52.36	1,375	C.Y.	62	32	1.13	95.13
	4700	6" thick		78.79	.914	*	59	21	.75	80.75
	4751	Slab on grade, incl. troweled finish, not incl. forms or reinforcing, over 10,000 S.F., 4" thick slab	C-8A	1,982	.024	S.F.	.66	.51		1.17
	4760			2,000	.024		.96	.51		1.47
	4820	6" thick slab		1,840	.026		1.32	.55		1.87
	4840	8" thick slab		1,594	.030		1.98	.64		2.62
	4900	12" thick slab		1,458	.033	↓	2.49	.70		3.19
	4950	15" thick slab								3.9
	5000	Slab on grade, incl. textured finish, not incl. forms	C-8A	2,200	.022	S.F.	.64	.46		1.10
	5001	For reinforcing, 4" thick slab		2,000	.024		.96	.51		1.47
	5010	6" thick		1,800	.027	↓	1.28	.57		1.85
	5020	8" thick								2.3
	5200	Lift slab in place above the foundation, incl. forms, reinforcing, concrete and columns, minimum	C-14	1,665	.086	S.F.	3.38	2.07	.68	6.13
	5210			1,240	.116		3.63	2.78	.91	7.32
	5250	Average		1,200	.120	↓	3.92	2.87	.94	7.73
	5300	Maximum								10
	5500	Lightweight, ready mix, including screed finish only, not including forms or reinforcing								
	5510		C-8	80	.700	C.Y.	79.50	15.25	7.15	101.90
	5550	1:4 for structural roof decks		90	.622		75	13.55	6.35	94.90
	5600	1:6 for ground slab with radiant heat		80	.700		79.50	15.25	7.15	101.90
	5650	1:3:2 with sand aggregate, roof deck		105	.533		79.50	11.60	5.45	96.55
	5700	Ground slab								113
	5900	Pile caps, incl. forms and reinf., sq. or rect., under 5 C.Y.	C-15	34.34	2,097		74	48.50	1.72	124.22
	5950	Over 10 C.Y.		47.34	1,521		71.50	35.50	1.25	108.25
	6000	Triangular or hexagonal, under 5 C.Y.		33.66	2,139		66.50	49.50	1.75	117.75
	6050	Over 10 C.Y.		53.88	1,336		71	31	1.10	103.10
	6200	Retaining walls, gravity, 4' high see division 022-708		21.35	3,372		68	78.50	2.77	149.27
	6250	10' high		40.17	1,792		59.50	41.50	1.47	102.47
	6300	Cantilever, level backfill loading, 8' high		22.59	3,187		75.50	74	2.61	152.11
	6350	16' high		29.29	2,458	↓	73.50	57	2.02	132.52
	6800	Stairs, not including safety treads, free standing		120	.600	LF Nose	5	13.95	.49	19.44
	6850	Cast on ground		180	.400	*	3.51	9.30	.33	13.14
	7000	Stair landings, free standing		285	.253	S.F.	2	5.85	.21	8.06
	7050	Cast on ground		685	.105	*	1.13	2.44	.09	3.66
134	0010	CURING With burlap, 4 uses assumed, 7.5 oz.	2 Clab	55	.291	C.S.F.	2.78	5.65		8.43
	0100	12 oz.		55	.291		4	5.65		9.65
	0200	With waterproof curing paper, 2 ply, reinforced		70	.229		5.30	4.43		9.73
	0300	With sprayed membrane curing compound		95	.168	↓	2.07	3.27		5.34
	0400	Curing blankets, 1" to 2" thick, buy, minimum				S.F.	.81			.81
	0450	Maximum					2.53			2.53
	0500	Electrically heated pads, 110 volts, 15 watts per S.F., buy					4.24			4.24
	0600	20 watts per S.F., buy					5.65			5.65
	0710	Electrically heated pads, 15 watts/sf, 20 uses, minimum					.15			.15
	0800	Maximum					.25			.25

See the Reference Section for reference number information, Crew Listings and City C

## 4.12 ECO 12: ECONOMIZERS

**Proposed Modifications:** Install an economizer on AHU-2, which serves the hall and office areas.

An economizer uses outside air to cool the building when the outdoor temperature drops below a preset temperature. With the outside air cooling the building instead of the chillers, less energy is used in maintaining the indoor temperature.

**Existing Conditions:** Only the office AHU is eligible for an economizer as this is the only AHU using outside air as a percentage of their supply air. The restricted environment of the computer room makes an economizer on the CRUs an impractical option.

**Method of Analysis:** Analysis proceeded as follows:

- The baseline energy consumption model was modified so that the office AHUs included economizers controlled by dry-bulb temperature.

**Results:** The results are presented in the table below.

Annual Electric Energy Savings (kWh)	967
Total Annual Energy Cost Savings	\$79
Annual Maintenance Cost Savings	\$0
Investment Cost	\$4,096
Savings-to-Investment Ratio (SIR)	0.29
Simple Payback (Years)	51.6

**Recommendations:** An economizer on AHU-2 is not recommended.

1. COMPONENT ARMY	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE Jul-95	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GEODSS Site, White Sands Missile Range, NM					
4. PROJECT TITLE Install Economizer		5. PROJECT NUMBER			
<b>LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS SUMMARY ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM (ECIP)</b>					
LOCATION: White Sands Missile Range, NM		REGION: 4 (New Mexico)		PROJECT NO: 1406.008	
PROJECT TITLE: Recirculate Tower Air				FISCAL YEAR: 1995	
ANALYSIS DATE: 12/01/95		ECONOMIC LIFE: 20	PREPARED BY: E.Smith		
<b>1. INVESTMENT</b>					
A. CONSTRUCTION COST	=	\$3,657			
B. SIOH COST	(6.0% of 1A) =	\$219			
C. DESIGN COST	(6.0% of 1A) =	\$219			
D. TOTAL COST	(1A +1B +1C) =	\$4,096			
E. SALVAGE VALUE OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT =		\$0			
F. PUBLIC UTILITY COMPANY REBATE =		\$0			
G. TOTAL INVESTMENT	(1D -1E -1F) =	-----> \$4,096			
<b>2. ENERGY SAVINGS (+) OR COST (-):</b>					
DATE OF NISTR-85-3273-9 USED FOR DISCOUNT FACTORS: <u>Jul-95</u>					
ENERGY SOURCE	FUEL COST \$/KWH (1)	SAVINGS KWH/YR (2)	ANNUAL \$ SAVINGS (3)	DISCOUNT FACTOR (4)	DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (5)
A. ELECT. (SAV/GS)	\$0.0821	967	\$79	15.08	\$1,197
B. DIST (GAL.)	\$1.10	0	\$0	18.57	\$0
C. RESID (GAL.)	\$3.00	0	\$0	21.02	\$0
D. NAT GAS (MBTU)	\$6.18	0	\$0	18.58	\$0
E. COAL	\$2.00	0	\$0	16.83	\$0
G. DEMAND (\$/KW)	\$0.00	0	\$0	15.08	\$0
H. TOTAL		967	\$79	----->	\$1,197
<b>3. NON-ENERGY SAVINGS (+) OR COST (-)</b>					
A. ANNUAL RECURRING (+/-)					
1 ANNUAL MAINTENANCE SAVINGS		\$0	14.88	\$0	
2			14.88	\$0	
3 TOTAL ANNUAL DISC. SAVINGS (+) / COST (-)		\$0		\$0	
B. NON-RECURRING (+/-)					
ITEM	SAVINGS (+) COST(-) (1)	YEAR OF OCCURRENCE (2)	DISCOUNT FACTOR (3)	DISCOUNTED SAV'G/COST(4) (TABLE A-2)	
a.	\$0	0	0.00	\$0	
b.	\$0	0	0.00	\$0	
c.	\$0	0	0.00	\$0	
d. TOTAL	\$0			\$0	
C. TOTAL NON-ENERGY DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (+) OR COST (-)		(3A3 + 3Bg4) =		\$0	
4. FIRST YEAR DOLLAR SAVINGS (+) / COSTS (-) (2H3+3A+(3Bg1/Economic Life)) \$79					
5. SIMPLE PAYBACK (SPB) IN YEARS (MUST BE < 10 YEARS TO QUALIFY) (1G/4) = 51.6					
6. TOTAL NET DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (2H5 + 3C) = \$1,197					
7. DISCOUNTED SAVINGS-TO-INVESTMENT RATIO (SIR) (6/1G) = 0.29 (MUST HAVE SIR > 1.25 TO QUALIFY)					

E M C Engineers, Inc  
EMC #1406-008  
GEODSS Site, White Sands Missile Range, NM

LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS  
ECONOMIZERS

ECO-12.XLS  
Prepared By: EMS  
11/10/95  
Checked By: \_\_\_\_\_

Economic Life(Years)	20
----------------------	----

Simulation	Energy Consumed (MBTU)	Energy Consumed (kWh)
Baseline Model	3573.44	1,047,008
Economizer Model	3570.14	1,046,042
Savings	3.30	967
Cost Savings		\$79


Annual Electric Energy Savings (kWh)	967
Total Annual Energy Cost Savings	\$79
Construction Cost	\$ 3,657
SIOH (6.0%)	\$ 219
Design Cost (6.0%)	\$ 219
Total Investment	\$ 4,096
Discounted Savings	\$1,197
Savings-to-Investment Ratio (SIR)	0.29
Simple Payback (Years)	51.60

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION  
 REPORT- BEPS ESTIMATED BUILDING ENERGY PERFORMANCE  
 DOE-2.1D 8/ 8/1995 13:42:14 PDL RUN 1  
 TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N

ENERGY TYPE IN SITE MBTU -	ELECTRICITY
CATEGORY OF USE	
SPACE HEAT	55.08
SPACE COOL	1565.69
HVAC AUX	426.63
DOM HOT WTR	0.00
AUX SOLAR	0.00
LIGHTS	288.88
VERT TRANS	0.00
MISC EQUIP	1233.86
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3570.14</b>

TOTAL SITE ENERGY 3570.04 MBTU 313.2 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 313.2 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
 TOTAL SOURCE ENERGY 3570.04 MBTU 313.2 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 313.2 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
 PERCENT OF HOURS ANY SYSTEM ZONE OUTSIDE OF THROTTLING RANGE = 0.0  
 PERCENT OF HOURS ANY PLANT LOAD NOT SATISFIED =100.0  
 NOTE ELECTRICITY AND/OR FUEL USED TO GENERATE ELECTRICITY IS APPORTIONED BASED  
 ON THE YEARLY DEMAND. ALL OTHER ENERGY TYPES ARE APPORTIONED HOURLY.

### TOTAL SITE ELECTRICITY ENERGY USE 3570.13 MBTU



ENGINEER'S OPINION OF PROBABLE COST							SHEET	1	OF	1			
AREA		ACTIVITY		LOCATION White Sands Missile Range, NM				AMENDMENT NO.					
PROJECT TITLE Install Economizer GEOSS, Energy Conservation Survey				CONTRACT NO. DACA01-94-D-0033									
Line No.	Item Description		Unit of Measure	No. of Units	MATERIAL COST		LABOR COST			EQUIPMENT COST	TOTAL COST		
					Unit Cost	Total	Manhrs/ Unit	Total Manhrs	Labor Cost/ Manhour	Total Labor Cost	Unit Cost	Total	
1	Damper Motor	Ea.	Ea.	3	\$153.00	\$459	0.50	1.50	\$22.99	\$34	\$0.00	\$0	
2	Outside Air Temp Sensor	Ea.	Ea.	1	\$69.20	\$69	0.80	0.80	\$22.99	\$18	\$0.00	\$0	
3	Controller	Ea.	Ea.	1	\$250.00	\$250	1.14	1.14	\$22.99	\$26	\$0.00	\$0	
4	Dampers	Ea.	Ea.	3	\$132.00	\$396	1.00	3.00	\$22.99	\$69	\$0.00	\$0	
5	Ductwork Modification	Ls.	Ls.	1	\$0.00	\$0	8.00	8.00	\$22.99	\$184	\$0.00	\$0	
6						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
7						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
8						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
9								0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
10	Travel to job site	hrs	hrs	6		\$0	1.00	6.00	\$22.99	\$138	\$0.00	\$0	
11	Lodging and per diem					\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$100.00	\$0	
12	Milage	miles	miles	300		\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.30	\$90	
13						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
14						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
15						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$100.00	\$0	
16						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.30	\$90	
17						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
18						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
19						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
20						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
21						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
22						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
23						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
24						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
25						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
26						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
27						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
28						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
29						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
30						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
31						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
32						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
33						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
34						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
35						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
36						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
37						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
38						\$0		0.00	\$22.99	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	
39	SUBCONTRACTOR SUBTOTAL					\$1,174		20		\$470		\$90	\$1,734
40	LABOR BURDEN	%	30			\$0				\$141		\$27	\$168
41	SUBTOTAL					\$1,174				\$611		\$117	\$1,902
42	OVERHEAD	%	12			\$141				\$73		\$14	\$228
43	SUBTOTAL					\$1,315				\$684		\$131	\$2,130
44	PROFIT	%	12			\$158				\$82		\$16	\$256
45	SUBCONTRACTOR TOTAL					\$1,473				\$766		\$147	\$2,386
46	OVERHEAD	%	11			\$161				\$84		\$16	\$261
47	SUBTOTAL					\$1,634				\$850		\$163	\$2,647
48	PROFIT	%	8			\$131				\$68		\$13	\$212
49	SUBTOTAL					\$1,765				\$918		\$176	\$2,859
50	BOND	%	1			\$13				\$7		\$1	\$21
51	SUBTOTAL					\$1,778				\$925		\$177	\$2,880
52	N. M. TAX	%	6			\$103				\$54		\$10	\$167
53	SUBTOTAL					\$1,881				\$979		\$187	\$3,048
54	CONTINGENCY	%	20			\$376				\$196		\$37	\$610
55	GRAND TOTAL					\$2,258				\$1,175		\$225	\$3,657
PREPARED BY		APPROVED BY			TITLE OR ORGANIZATION				DATE				
EMS					EMC Engineers, Inc.				11/10/95				

## 157 | Air Conditioning and Ventilation

	REF.	DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	DAILY OUTPUT	MAN- HOURS	UNIT	1995 BARE COSTS			TOTAL
							MAT.	LABOR	EQUIP.	
	<b>157 400   Accessories</b>									
401	1850	Double pack to 30,000 CFM, add					5%			
	1860	Double pack to 60,000 CFM, add					6%			
	1870	Inlet or outlet transition, vertical					2%			
	1880	Single pack to 5000 CFM, add					3%			
	1890	Single pack to 24,000 CFM, add					2%			
	1900	Double pack to 24,000 CFM, add					2%			
	2000	Electronic air cleaner, duct mounted								
		400 - 1000 CFM	1 Shee	2.30	3.478	Ea.	380	97.50		477.50
	2150	1000 - 1400 CFM		2.20	3.636		485	102		587
	2200	1400 - 2000 CFM		2.10	3.810		545	107		652
	2250									770
	2950	Mechanical media filtration units				MCFM	35			35
	3000	High efficiency type, with frame, non-supported					50			55
	3100	Supported type					5.50			5.50
	4000	Medium efficiency, extended surface					45			45
	4500	Permanent washable					165			165
	5000	Renewable disposable roll								182
	5500	Throwaway glass or paper media type				Ea.	4.10			4.10
										4.51
410	0010	ANTI-FREEZE inhibited								
	0900	Ethylene glycol concentrated								
	1000	55 gallon drums, small quantities				Gal.	6.15			6.15
	1200	Large quantities					5.70			5.70
	2000	Propylene glycol, for solar heat, small quantities					7.25			7.25
	2100	Large quantities					7.15			7.15
										7.25
420	0010	CONTROL COMPONENTS								
	0700	Controller, receiver								
	0730	Pneumatic, panel mount, single input	1 Plum	8	1	Ea.	175	29.50		204.50
	0740	With conversion mounting bracket		8	1		182	29.50		211.50
	0750	Dual input, with control point adjustment		7	1.143		250	33.50		283.50
	0850	Electric, single snap switch	1 Elec	4	2		244	57		301
	0860	Dual snap switches		3	2.667		325	76		401
	0870	Humidity controller		8	1		156	28.50		184.50
	0880	Load limiting controller		8	1		315	28.50		343.50
	0890	Temperature controller		8	1		149	28.50		177.50
	1000	Enthalpy control, boiler water temperature control governed by outdoor temperature, with timer	1 Elec	3	2.667	Ea.	142	76		218
	1010									271
	2000	Gauges, pressure or vacuum	1 Spi	32	250	Ea.	8	7.30		15.30
	2100	2" diameter dial		32	250					20
	2200	2-1/2" diameter dial		32	250		9.40	7.30		16.70
	2300	3-1/2" diameter dial		32	250		12	7.30		19.30
	2400	4-1/2" diameter dial		32	250		16.50	7.30		23.80
	2700	Flanged iron case, black ring	1 Spi	32	250	Ea.	43	7.30		50.30
	2800	3-1/2" diameter dial		32	250		52	7.30		59.30
	2900	4-1/2" diameter dial		32	250		70.50	7.30		77.80
	3000	6" diameter dial					18%			
	3300	For compound pressure-vacuum, add								
	3350	Humidistat								
	3360	Pneumatic operation								
	3361	Room humidistat, direct acting	1 Spi	12	.667	Ea.	133	19.50		152.50
	3362	Room humidistat, reverse acting		12	.667		133	19.50		152.50
	3363	Room humidity transmitter		17	.471		143	13.75		156.75
	3364	Duct mounted controller		12	.667		175	19.50		194.50
	3365	Duct mounted transmitter		12	.667		158	19.50		177.50
	3366	Humidity indicator, 3-1/2"		28	.286		63.50	8.35		71.85
	3390	Electric operated	1 Shee	8	1		40	28		68
	3400	Relays								

## 5. RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### 5.1 RESULTS OF ECO ANALYSIS

Table 5-1 presents the results of the analysis for each ECO.

**Table 5-1. Summary of Results**

ECO #	ECO Description	Annual Electric Energy Savings (kWh)	Annual Energy Cost Savings (\$)	Annual Maintenance Cost Savings (\$)	Total Investment Costs (\$)	SIR	Simple Payback (yrs)
1	Albedo Modification	1,532	126	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	Roof Insulation 6"	1,939	159	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	Low-Emissivity Roof Coating	900	74	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	T-8 Fluorescent Lamps	29,455	2,418	47	12,429	2.38	5.0
5	Vortex Tube Cooling	38,441	3,156	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	High-Efficiency Motors	2,197	180	0	1,753	1.55	9.7
7	UPS System	89,454	7,344	0	22,874	4.85	3.1
8	Chiller Replacement	85,453	7,016	0	99,539	2.01	8.3
9	Recirculation of Tower Air	74,518	6,118	0	22,767	4.05	3.7
10	Turn Off AHU at Night	48,210	3,958	0	420	80.86	0.1
11	Propane Heat	1,199	65	0	11,182	0.08	171.7
12	Economizers	967	79	0	4,096	0.29	51.6

### 5.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

The following ECOs are recommended for implementation.

**Table 5-2. Summary of Recommended ECOs**

ECO #	ECO Description	Annual Electric Energy Savings (kWh)	Annual Energy Cost Savings (\$)	Annual Maintenance Cost Savings (\$)	Total Investment Costs (\$)	SIR	Simple Payback (yrs)
10	Turn Off AHU at Night	48,210	3,958	0	420	80.86	0.09
7	UPS System	89,454	7,344	0	22,874	4.85	3.11
9	Recirculation of Tower Air	74,518	6,118	47	22,767	4.05	3.72
4	T-8 Fluorescent Lamps	29,455	2,418	0	12,429	2.38	5.04
8	Chiller Replacement	85,453	7,016	0	99,539	2.01	8.30
6	High Efficiency Motors	2,197	180	0	1,753	1.55	9.72
<b>Overall Savings</b>		<b>280,029</b>	<b>22,990</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>101,292</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>4.41</b>

$$\frac{280,029 \text{ kWh} \times 3,413 \text{ BTU}}{1,000,000 \text{ kWh}} \times \frac{\text{MBTU}}{\text{BTU}} = 956 \text{ MBTU}$$

The overall savings takes into account the synergistic effects of multiple ECOs. The total annual energy cost savings for combined ECOs is \$22,990 per year with a resulting simple payback of 4.4 years. The combined ECOs annual energy savings is 280,029 kWh per year, 27% of the present annual energy use.

To qualify for FEMP funding, ECOs must have an SIR greater than 1.25 and a simple economic payback less than 10 years. The following ECOs are recommended for funding as a Federal Energy Management Program (FEMP) project.

**Table 5-3. Summary of ECOs Recommended for FEMP Funding**

ECO #	ECO Description	Annual Electric Energy Savings (kWh)	Annual Energy Cost Savings (\$)	Annual Maintenance Cost Savings (\$)	Total Investment Costs (\$)	SIR	Simple Payback (yrs)
7	UPS System	89,454	7,344	0	22,874	4.85	3.11
9	Recirculation of Tower Air	74,518	6,118	0	22,767	4.05	3.72
4	T-8 Fluorescent Lamps	29,455	2,418	47	12,429	2.38	5.04
8	Chiller Replacement	85,453	7,016	0	99,539	2.01	8.30
	Combined Savings	252,877	20,761	47	157,609	2.74	5.7

The combined savings of these ECOs with synergistic effects taken into account is \$20,761 per year with a resulting SIR of 2.74 and a simple payback of 5.7 years.

The following ECOs are recommended for in-house implementation by the GEODSS maintenance staff.

**Table 5-4. Summary of ECOs Recommended for In-House Implementation**

ECO #	ECO Description	Annual Electric Energy Savings (kWh)	Annual Energy Cost Savings (\$)	Annual Maintenance Cost Savings (\$)	Total Investment Costs (\$)	SIR	Simple Payback (yrs)
10	Turn Off AHU at Night	48,210	3,958	0	420	80.86	0.09
6	High-Efficiency Motors	2,197	180	0	1,753	1.55	9.72

The following ECOs are recommended for implementation with the installation of the new computer system, in about two years.

**Table 5-5. Recommended ECO Upgrades with Computer Renovation**

ECO #	ECO Description	Annual Electric Energy Savings (kWh)	Annual Energy Cost Savings (\$)	Annual Maintenance Cost Savings (\$)	Total Investment Costs (\$)	SIR	Simple Payback (yrs)
5	Vortex Tube Cooling	38,441	3,156	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

The following ECOs were not found to be cost effective:

**Table 5-6. ECOs Not Recommended**

ECO #	ECO Description	Annual Electric Energy Savings (kWh)	Annual Energy Cost Savings (\$)	Annual Maintenance Cost Savings (\$)	Total Investment Costs (\$)	SIR	Simple Payback (yrs)
1	Albedo Modification	1,532	126	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	Roof Insulation 6"	1,939	159	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	Low-Emissivity Roof Coating	900	74	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	Propane Heat	1,199	65	0	11,182	0.08	171.70
12	Economizers	967	79	0	4,096	0.29	51.60

**APPENDIX A**

**SCOPE OF WORK AND CORRESPONDENCE**

**ORDER FOR SUPPLIES OR SERVICES**  
 (Contractor must submit four copies of invoice)

Form Approved  
 OMB No. 0704-0187  
 Expires Aug 31, 1992

PAGE 1 OF

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0187), Washington, DC 20503. Please DO NOT RETURN your form to either of these addresses. Send your completed form to the procurement official identified in item 6.

1. CONTRACT / PURCH ORDER NO <b>D 01-94-D-0033</b>				2. DELIVERY ORDER NO. <b>0008</b>	3. DATE OF ORDER <b>08 MAY 95</b>	4. REQUISITION / PURCH REQUEST NO.	5. CERTIFIED FOR NATIONAL DEFENSE UNDER DMS REG 1 DO
6. ISSUED BY <b>US ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT, MOBILE P.O. BOX 2288 MOBILE, ALABAMA 36628-0001</b>				7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than 6) <b>CODE</b>		8. DELIVERY FOB <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DEST <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (See Schedule if other)	
9. CONTRACTOR <b>EMC ENGINEERS, INC. 2750 SO. WADSWORTH BLVD, SUITE C-200 DENVER, COLORADO 80227-3400</b>				FACILITY CODE <b>CODE</b>	10. DELIVER TO FOB POINT BY (Date) <b>SEE APPENDIX "A"</b>	11. MARK IF BUSINESS <input type="checkbox"/> SMALL <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SMALL DISADVANTAGED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WOMEN-OWNED	
					12. DISCOUNT TERMS <b>N/A</b>	13. MAIL INVOICES TO <b>CESAM-EN-MN</b>	
14. SHIP TO <b>US ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT, MOBILE P.O. BOX 2288 MOBILE, ALABAMA 36628-0001</b>				15. PAYMENT WILL BE MADE BY <b>FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING OFFICER US ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT, MOBILE P.O. BOX 2288 MOBILE, ALABAMA 36628-0001</b>		MARK ALL PACKAGES AND PAPERS WITH CONTRACT OR ORDER NUMBER	
16. TYPE OF ORDER <input type="checkbox"/> PURCHASE	DELIVERY X <input type="checkbox"/> PURCHASE	This delivery order is issued on another Government agency or in accordance with and subject to terms and conditions of above numbered contract. Reference your ACCEPTANCE. THE CONTRACTOR HEREBY ACCEPTS THE OFFER REPRESENTED BY THE NUMBERED PURCHASE ORDER AS IT MAY PREVIOUSLY HAVE BEEN OR IS NOW MODIFIED, SUBJECT TO ALL OF THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS SET FORTH, AND AGREES TO PERFORM THE SAME.					
NAME OF CONTRACTOR			SIGNATURE	TYPED NAME AND TITLE		DATE SIGNED	
<input type="checkbox"/> If this box is marked, supplier must sign Acceptance and return the following number of copies: <b>17. COUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA / LOCAL USE 2020 508-8028 P437018.75-25CZ S01076 QE50215B231B400 (R08956335) TOTAL: \$44,069.00</b>							
18. ITEM NO.	19. SCHEDULE OF SUPPLIES / SERVICE			20. QUANTITY ORDERED/ ACCEPTED*	21. UNIT	22. UNIT PRICE	23. AMOUNT
	DELIVERY ORDER FOR LIMITED ENERGY STUDY, GEOODS FACILITY, WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE NEW MEXICO						\$44,069.00
*If quantity accepted by the Government is same as quantity ordered, indicate by X. If different, enter actual quantity accepted below quantity ordered and encircle.		24. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA <i>Edward M. Slana</i> 8 MAY 95 BY: EDWARD M. SLANA			CONTRACTING FOR ORDERING OFFICER		25. TOTAL <b>\$44,069.00</b>
							29. DIFFERENCE
26. QUANTITY IN COLUMN 20 HAS BEEN		27. SHIP NO.		28. D.O. VOUCHER NO.		30. INITIALS	
<input type="checkbox"/> INSPECTED <input type="checkbox"/> RECEIVED <input type="checkbox"/> ACCEPTED, AND CONFORMS TO THE CONTRACT EXCEPT AS NOTED		<input type="checkbox"/> PARTIAL <input type="checkbox"/> FINAL		32. PAID BY		33. AMOUNT VERIFIED CORRECT FOR	
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE		31. PAYMENT <input type="checkbox"/> COMPLETE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PARTIAL <input type="checkbox"/> FINAL		35. BILL OF LADING NO.	
DATE		SIGNATURE AND TITLE OF CERTIFYING OFFICER					
37. RECEIVED AT	38. RECEIVED BY	39. DATE RECEIVED	40. TOTAL CONTAINERS	41. S/R ACCOUNT NUMBER		42. S/R VOUCHER NUMBER	

**APPENDIX "A"**

SCOPE OF WORK  
LIMITED ENERGY STUDY  
GEODDS FACILITY, BUILDING 34568  
STALLION SITE  
WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE, NM

Performed as part of the  
ENERGY ENGINEERING ANALYSIS PROGRAM (EEAP)

SCOPE OF WORK  
FOR A  
LIMITED ENERGY STUDY  
GEODDS FACILITY  
WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE, NM

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF WORK
2. GENERAL
3. PROJECT MANAGEMENT
4. SERVICES AND MATERIALS
5. PROJECT DOCUMENTATION
  - 5.1 ECIP Projects
  - 5.2 Non-ECIP Projects
  - 5.3 Nonfeasible ECOs
6. DETAILED SCOPE OF WORK
7. WORK TO BE ACCOMPLISHED
  - 7.1 Perform a Limited Site Survey
  - 7.2 Evaluate Selected ECOs
  - 7.3 Combine ECOs into Recommended Projects
  - 7.4 Submittals, Presentations and Reviews

ANNEXES

- A - DETAILED SCOPE OF WORK
- B - EXECUTIVE SUMMARY GUIDELINE
- C - REQUIRED DD FORM 1391 DATA

1. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF WORK: The Architect-Engineer (AE) shall:

1.1 Perform a site survey of a specific facility to collect all data required to perform a thorough energy audit of the facility.

1.2 Identify and evaluate Energy Conservation Opportunities (ECOS) to determine their energy savings potential and economic feasibility.

1.3 Provide project documentation for recommended ECOs as detailed herein.

1.4 Prepare a comprehensive report to document all work performed, the results and all recommendations.

2. GENERAL

2.1 This study is limited to the evaluation of the specific building listed in Annex A, DETAILED SCOPE OF WORK.

2.2 The information and analysis outlined herein are considered to be minimum requirements for adequate performance of this study.

2.3 For the building listed in Annex A, all methods of energy conservation which are reasonable and practical shall be considered, including improvements of operational methods and procedures as well as the physical facilities. All ECOs which produce energy or dollar savings shall be documented in this report. Any ECO considered infeasible shall also be documented in the report with reasons for elimination.

2.4 The study shall consider the use of all energy sources applicable to each building, system, or ECO.

2.5 The "Energy Conservation Investment Program (ECIP) Guidance", described in letter from DAIM-FDF-U, dated 10 Jan 1994 establishes criteria for ECIP projects and shall be used for performing the economic analyses of all ECOs and projects. The program, Life Cycle Cost In Design (LCCID), has been developed for performing life cycle cost calculations in accordance with ECIP guidelines and is referenced in the ECIP Guidance. If any program other than LCCID is proposed for life cycle cost analysis, it must use the mode of calculation specified in the ECIP Guidance. The output must be in the format of the ECIP LCCA summary sheet, and it must be submitted for approval to the Contracting Officer.

2.6 Computer modeling will be used to analyze ECOs which would modify, replace, or significantly alter the load on an existing heating, ventilating, and air-conditioning (HVAC) system. Modeling will be done using a professionally recognized and proven computer program or programs that integrate architectural features with air-conditioning, heating, lighting and other energy-producing or consuming systems. These programs will be capable of

simulating the features, systems, and thermal loads of the building under study. The program will use established weather data files and may perform calculations on a true hour-by-hour basis or may condense the weather files and the number of calculations into several "typical" days per month. The Detailed Scope of Work, Annex A, lists programs that are acceptable to the Contracting Officer. If the AE desires to use a different program, it must be submitted for approval with a sample run, an explanation of all input and output data, and a summary of program methodology and energy evaluation capabilities.

2.7 Energy conservation opportunities determined to be technically and economically feasible shall be developed into projects acceptable to installation personnel. This may involve combining similar ECOs into larger packages which will qualify for ECIP funding, and determining in coordination with installation personnel the appropriate packaging and implementation approach for all feasible ECOs.

2.7.1 Projects which qualify for ECIP funding shall be identified, separately listed, and prioritized by the Savings to Investment Ratio (SIR).

2.7.2 All feasible non-ECIP projects shall be ranked in order of highest to lowest SIR.

### 3. PROJECT MANAGEMENT

3.1 Project Managers. The AE shall designate a project manager to serve as a point of contact and liaison for work required under this delivery order. Upon award of this delivery order, the individual shall be immediately designated in writing. The AE's designated project manager shall be approved by the Contracting Officer prior to commencement of work. This designated individual shall be responsible for coordination of work required under this delivery order. The Contracting Officer will designate a project manager to serve as the Government's point of contact and liaison for all work required under this delivery order. This individual will be the Government's representative.

3.2 Installation Assistance. The Commanding Officer or authorized representative at the installation will designate an individual to assist the AE in obtaining information and establishing contacts necessary to accomplish the work required under this delivery order. This individual will be the installation representative.

3.3 Public Disclosures. The AE shall make no public announcements or disclosures relative to information contained or developed in this contract, except as authorized by the Contracting Officer.

3.4 Meetings. Meetings will be scheduled whenever requested by the AE or the Contracting Officer for the resolution of questions or problems encountered in the performance of the work. The AE's project manager and the Government's representative shall be required to attend and participate in all meetings pertinent to the work required under this contract as directed by the Contracting Officer. These meetings, if necessary, are in addition to the presentation and review conferences.

3.5 Site Visits, Inspections, and Investigations. The AE shall visit and inspect/investigate the site of the project as necessary and required during the preparation and accomplishment of the work.

### 3.6 Records

3.6.1 The AE shall provide a record of all significant conferences, meetings, discussions, verbal directions, telephone conversations, etc., with Government representative(s) relative to this contract in which the AE and/or designated representative(s) thereof participated. These records shall be dated and shall identify the contract number, and delivery order number, participating personnel, subject discussed and conclusions reached. The AE shall forward to the Contracting Officer within ten calendar days, a reproducible copy of the records.

3.6.2 The AE shall provide a record of requests for and/or receipt of Government-furnished material, data, documents, information, etc., which if not furnished in a timely manner, would significantly impair the normal progression of the work under this contract. The records shall be dated and shall identify the contract number and delivery order number. The AE shall forward to the Contracting Officer within ten calendar days, a reproducible copy of the record of request or receipt of material.

4. SERVICES AND MATERIALS. All services, materials (except those specifically enumerated to be furnished by the Government), labor, supervision and travel necessary to perform the work and render the data required under this delivery order are included in the lump sum price of the delivery order.

5. PROJECT DOCUMENTATION. All energy conservation opportunities which the AE has considered shall be included in one of the following categories and presented in the report as such:

5.1 ECIP Projects. To qualify as an ECIP project, an ECO, or several ECOs which have been combined, must have a construction cost estimate greater than \$300,000, a Savings to Investment Ratio (SIR) greater than 1.25 and a simple payback period of less than ten years. The overall project and each discrete part of the project shall have an SIR greater than 1.25. All projects meeting the above criteria shall be arranged as specified in paragraph 2.7.1 and shall be provided with programming documentation.

Programming documentation shall consist of a DD Form 1391 and life cycle cost analysis (LCCA) summary sheet(s) (with necessary backup

data to verify the numbers presented). A life cycle cost analysis summary sheet shall be developed for each ECO and for the overall project when more than one ECO are combined. The energy savings for projects consisting of multiple ECOs must take into account the synergistic effects of the individual ECOs.

5.2 Non-ECIP Projects. Projects which do not meet ECIP criteria with regard to cost estimate or payback period, but which have an SIR greater than 1.25 shall be documented. Projects or ECOs in this category shall be arranged as specified in paragraph 2.7.2 and shall be provided with the following documentation: the life cycle cost analysis (LCCA) summary sheet completely filled out, a description of the work to be accomplished, backup data for the LCCA, ie, energy savings calculations and cost estimate(s), and the simple payback period. The energy savings for projects consisting of multiple ECOs must take into account the synergistic effects of the individual ECOs. In addition these projects shall have the necessary documentation prepared, as required by the Government's representative, for one of the following categories:

a. Federal Energy Management Program (FEMP) Projects. A FEMP (or O&M Energy) project is one that results in needed maintenance or repair to an existing facility, or replaces a failed or failing existing facility, and also results in energy savings. The criteria are similar to the criteria for ECIP projects, ie,  $SIR \geq 1.25$ , and simple payback period of less than ten years. Projects with a construction cost estimate up to \$1,000,000 shall be documented as outlined in par 5.2 above; projects over \$1,000,000 shall be documented on 1391s. In the FEMP program, a system may be defined as "failed or failing" if it is inefficient or technically obsolete. However, if this strategy is used to justify a proposed project, the equipment to be replaced must have been in use for at least three years.

b. Low Cost/No Cost Projects. These are projects which the Director of Public Works (DPW) can perform using his resources. Documentation shall be as required by the DPW.

5.3 Nonfeasible ECOs. All ECOs which the AE has considered but which are not feasible, shall be documented in the report with reasons and justifications showing why they were rejected.

6. DETAILED SCOPE OF WORK. The Detailed Scope of Work is contained in Annex A.

7. WORK TO BE ACCOMPLISHED.

7.1 Perform a Limited Site Survey. The AE shall obtain all necessary data to evaluate the ECOs or projects by conducting a site survey. The AE shall document his site survey on forms developed for the survey, or standard forms, and submit these completed forms as part of the report. All test and/or measurement equipment shall be properly calibrated prior to its use.

**7.2 Evaluate Selected ECOs.** The AE shall analyze all identified ECOs in detail to determine their feasibility. Savings to Investment Ratios (SIRs) shall be determined using current ECIP guidance. The AE shall provide all data and calculations needed to support the recommended ECO. All assumptions and engineering equations shall be clearly stated. Calculations shall be prepared showing how all numbers in the ECO were figured. Calculations shall be an orderly step-by-step progression from the first assumption to the final number. Descriptions of the products, manufacturers catalog cuts, pertinent drawings and sketches shall also be included. A life cycle cost analysis summary sheet shall be prepared for each ECO and included as part of the supporting data.

**7.3 Combine ECOs Into Recommended Projects.** During the Interim Review Conference, as outlined in paragraph 7.4.1, the AE will be advised of the DPW's preferred packaging of recommended ECOs into projects for implementation. Some projects may be a combination of several ECOs, and others may contain only one. These projects will be evaluated and arranged as outlined in paragraphs 5.1, 5.2, and 5.3. Energy savings calculations shall take into account the synergistic effects of multiple ECOs within a project and the effects of one project upon another. The results of this effort will be reported in the Final Submittal per par 7.4.2.

**7.4 Submittals, Presentations and Reviews.** The work accomplished shall be fully documented by a comprehensive report. The report shall have a table of contents and shall be indexed. Tabs and dividers shall clearly and distinctly divide sections, subsections, and appendices. All pages shall be numbered. Names of the persons primarily responsible for the project shall be included. The AE shall give a formal presentation of the interim submittal to installation, command, and other Government personnel. Slides or view graphs showing the results of the study to date shall be used during the presentation. During the presentation, the personnel in attendance shall be given ample opportunity to ask questions and discuss any changes deemed necessary to the study. A review conference will be conducted the same day, following the presentation. Each comment presented at the review conference will be discussed and resolved or action items assigned. It is anticipated that the presentation and review conference will require approximately one working day. The presentation and review conference will be at the installation on the date agreeable to the building occupant, the DPW, the AE and the Government's representative. The Contracting Officer may require a resubmittal of any document(s), if such document(s) are not approved because they are determined by the Contracting Officer to be inadequate for the intended purpose.

**7.4.1 Interim Submittal.** An interim report shall be submitted for review after the field survey has been completed and an analysis has been performed on all of the ECOs. The report shall indicate the work which has been accomplished to date, illustrate the methods and justifications of the approaches taken and contain a plan of the work remaining to complete the study. Calculations

showing energy and dollar savings, SIR, and simple payback period of all the ECOs shall be included. The results of the ECO analyses shall be summarized by lists as follows:

a. All ECOs eliminated from consideration shall be grouped into one listing with reasons for their elimination as discussed in par 5.3.

b. All ECOs which were analyzed shall be grouped into two listings, recommended and non-recommended, each arranged in order of descending SIR. The AE shall submit the Scope of Work and any modifications to the Scope of Work as an appendix to the report. A narrative summary describing the work and results to date shall be a part of this submittal. At the Interim Submittal and Review Conference, the Government's and AE's representatives shall coordinate with the DPW to provide the AE with direction for packaging or combining ECOs for programming purposes and also indicate the fiscal year for which the programming or implementation documentation shall be prepared. The survey forms completed during this audit shall be submitted with this report. The survey forms only may be submitted in final form with this submittal. They should be clearly marked at the time of submission that they are to be retained. They shall be bound in a standard three-ring binder which will allow repeated disassembly and reassembly of the material contained within.

7.4.2 Final Submittal. The AE shall prepare and submit the final report when all sections of the report are 100% complete and all comments from the interim submittal have been resolved. The AE shall submit the Scope of Work for the study and any modifications to the Scope of Work as an appendix to the submittal. The report shall contain a narrative summary of conclusions and recommendations, together with all raw and supporting data, methods used, and sources of information. The report shall integrate all aspects of the study. The recommended projects, as determined in accordance with paragraph 5, shall be presented in order of priority by SIR. The lists of ECOs specified in paragraph 7.4.1 shall also be included for continuity. The final report and all appendices shall be bound in standard three-ring binders which will allow repeated disassembly and reassembly. The final report shall be arranged to include:

a. An Executive Summary to give a brief overview of what was accomplished and the results of this study using graphs, tables and charts as much as possible (See Annex B for minimum requirements).

b. The narrative report describing the problem to be studied, the approach to be used, and the results of this study.

c. Documentation for the recommended projects (includes LCCA Summary Sheets).

d. Appendices to include as a minimum:

- 1) Energy cost development and backup data
- 2) Detailed calculations
- 3) Cost estimates
- 4) Computer printouts (where applicable)
- 5) Scope of Work



ANNEX A

DETAILED SCOPE OF WORK

LIMITED ENERGY STUDY

GEOODDS FACILITY, BUILDING 34568

STALLION SITE, WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE, NM

1. The General Scope of Work outlines requirements for the study and the report; and the detailed scope of work describes the specific area to be studied. If any conflicts arise between the General and the Detailed scopes of work, the Detailed Scope of Work shall govern.
2. The facility to be investigated in this study is Building 34568, which is located at Stallion Site in the northern part of White Sands Missile Range. It is approximately 30 miles south and east of Socorro, NM and south of US Highway 380. Access to the site is controlled. Temporary passes will be required for both personnel and vehicle access. A one-week notice should be given by the AE prior to any visit. This time will be needed to make the necessary arrangements for the visit.
3. The installation representative for this contract will be Mr. Julian Delgado, Energy Manager, Directorate of Public Works. The occupant representative will be Msgt. Luther Mills, Chief, Detachment 1, 18th SSS.
4. Building 34568 is a windowless, filled-concrete-block, high-bay structure with an area of approximately 10,000 SF. It is a research facility with scientific and computer equipment, and it is occupied 24 hours per day, 365 days per year. Two TRANE 40-ton, air-cooled chillers are used for air conditioning. Some spaces require year-round cooling. Those spaces that require heat are served by electric resistance duct heaters or unit heaters. The building, although owned by the Army, is occupied by an Air Force Detachment. The site is separately metered, and the Air Force reimburses White Sands Missile Range for all power used. Records of electrical consumption are available for the past three years. Building 34568 and a motor-generator set that serves equipment in B/34568 are the major users of electrical energy on the site.
5. Approximately two years ago the electrical consumption for this facility began to rise sharply. The purpose of this study is to find all cost-effective measures which may be employed to reduce energy consumption and cost.
6. The work consists of conducting a thorough energy audit and to identify and evaluate energy conservation opportunities (ECOs) for the GEOODDS facility. All energy-related aspects of the facility should be investigated, ie. skin, lighting, HVAC systems, equipment and controls, other equipment, operations and maintenance. Field data taken should include lighting levels and operating

amps of all major equipment. A field calibration of the electrical meter for the site should be a part of the field investigation. Any proposal that would modify or replace the chillers must take into consideration the latest guidance on CFC refrigerants. See suggested ECOs at the end of this annex.

7. Completion and Payment Schedule: The following schedule shall be used as a guide in approving payments on this contract. The final report for this study shall be due not later than 90 days after Notice to Proceed.

<u>MILESTONE</u>	<u>PERCENT OF CONTRACT AMOUNT AUTHORIZED FOR PAYMENT</u>
Completion of Field Work	25
Receipt of Interim Submittal	75
Completion of Interim Presentation & Review	85
Receipt of Final Report	100

8. The following computer programs will be acceptable for use in building and HVAC system simulation. If it is desired to use a program other than one of the following, it must be submitted for approval as outlined in par 2.6 of the general scope of work.

- a. Building Loads and System Thermodynamics (BLAST)
- b. Carrier E20 or Hourly Analysis Program (HAP)
- c. DOE 2.1B
- d. Trane Air-Conditioning Economics (TRACE)

9. Government-Furnished Information: The following documents will be furnished to the AE:

- a. As-built drawings (as available) of Building 34568.
- b. Energy consumption records.
- c. Energy Conservation Investment Program (ECIP) Guidance, dated 10 Jan 1994.
- d. ETL 1110-3-254, Use of Electric Power for Comfort Space Heating
- e. ETL 1110-3-282, Energy Conservation
- f. TM 5-785, Engineering Weather Data
- g. TM 5-800-2, Cost Estimates, Military Construction
- h. AR 415-15, 1 Jan 84, Military Construction, Army (MCA) Program Development
- i. Architectural and Engineering Instructions, Design Criteria, 9 December 1991
- j. The latest MCP Index

10. A computer program titled Life Cycle Costing in Design (LCCID) is available from the BLAST Support Office in Urbana, Illinois for a nominal fee. The current edition of LCCID is dated October 1994. This computer program can be used for performing the economic calculations for ECIP and non-ECIP ECOs. The AE is encouraged to obtain and use this computer program. The BLAST Support Office can be contacted at 144 Mechanical Engineering Building, 1206 West Green Street, Urbana, Illinois 61801. The telephone number is (217) 333-3977 or (800) 842-5278.

11. Reports and correspondence shall be provided in the quantities shown to the offices listed below:

	<u>CORRESPONDENCE</u>	<u>*FIELD NOTES</u>	
	<u>REPORT SUBMITTALS</u>		
Commander US Army White Sands Missile Range ATTN: STEWS-DPW-PE (Delgado) White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002-5076		2	1
Det 1 18th SSS/DC (Msgt Mills) PO Box W Socorro, NM, 87801		2	1
Air Force Space Command ATTN: 73 MSS/CE (Soderlund) 400 O'Malley Avenue, Suite 56 Falcon AFB, CO, 80912-4056	1		
Commander US Army Engineer District, Mobile ATTN: CESAM-EN-DM (Mr. Battaglia) PO Box 2288 Mobile, AL 36628-0001		2	1
Commander US Army Engineer District, Fort Worth ATTN: CESWF-ED-MP (Mr Champagne) PO Box 17300 Fort Worth, TX, 76102 - 0300		1	-

\* To be submitted in final form with the interim submittal

## SUGGESTED ENERGY CONSERVATION OPPORTUNITIES

### ENVELOPE

- o Insulation (wall, roof, etc.)
- o Color of outside walls, doors, and roof
- o Low emissivity roof coating

### POWER

- o Improve power factor
- o High efficiency motor replacement

### HVAC

- o Reduce outside air
- o Night setback/setup thermostats
- o Economizer cycles (dry bulb)
- o Chiller replacement
- o Chiller controls
- o Revise or repair building HVAC controls

### IMPROVE LIGHTING EFFICIENCY

- o Replace standard fluorescent lamps with energy-conserving lamps
- o Replace standard fluorescent ballasts with electronic ballasts
- o Replace existing fluorescent fixtures with new fixtures having efficient reflectors, electronic ballasts, and energy-conserving lamps
- o Use more efficient lighting source, ie, upgrade from incandescent to fluorescent, from fluorescent to HID, from mercury vapor to high pressure sodium, etc

ANNEX B

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY GUIDELINE

1. Introduction.
2. Building Data (types, number of similar buildings, sizes, etc.)
3. Present Energy Consumption of Buildings or Systems Studied.
  - o Total Annual Energy Used.
  - o Site Energy Consumption.


Electricity - MWH, Dollars, MBTU  
Fuel Oil - GALS, Dollars, MBTU & MWH  
Natural Gas - THERMS, Dollars, MBTU & MWH  
Propane - GALS, Dollars, MBTU & MWH  
Other - QTY, Dollars, MBTU & MWH

4. Energy Conservation Analysis.
  - o ECOs Investigated.
  - o ECOs Recommended.
  - o ECOs Rejected. (Provide economics or reasons)
  - o ECIP Projects Developed. (Provide list)\*
  - o Non-ECIP Projects Developed. (Provide list)\*
  - o Operational or Policy Change Recommendations.

5. Energy and Cost Savings.
  - o Total Potential Energy and Cost Savings resulting from recommended projects in MBTU/yr, MWH/yr, and \$K/yr.
  - o Percentage of Energy Conserved.
  - o Energy Use and Cost Before and After the Energy Conservation Opportunities are Implemented.

\* Include the following data from the life cycle cost analysis summary sheet: the cost (construction plus SIOH), the annual energy savings (type and amount), the annual dollar savings, the SIR, the simple payback period and the analysis date.





2750 South Wadsworth Blvd. • Suite C-200  
Denver, Colorado 80227-3400  
303/988-2951 • Fax: 303/985-2527

### CONFIRMATION NOTICE

Confirmation Notice No. 1

EMC #1406-008

DATE: 22 September 1995

PROJECT: Limited Energy Study - GEODSS Facility

CONTRACT NO.: DACA01-94-D-0033

DELIVERY ORDER: 0008

NOTES

PREPARED BY: E M C Engineers, Inc.

DATE OF  
MEETING: 19 September 1995

PLACE OF  
MEETING: WSMR, New Mexico

SUBJECT: Review of Preliminary Report

ATTENDEES:	Anthony W. Battaglia	Mobile COE	(334) 690-2613
	Capt. Ray Marsh	21 CES/CECR	(719) 556-8935
	Sgt. Charles E. Rodgers	Det 1 18SPSS/DC	(505) 835-4546
	Jim Finley	PRC Sitel	DSN 349-4134
	Mike Barrett	PRC Sitel	DSN 349-4134
	Julian T. Delgado	DPW-PE	(505) 678-8762
	Dennis Jones	EMC	(303) 988-2951

The following is a summary of the items discussed, the comments made, and the decisions made during the meeting.

EMC verbally presented the findings of the Preliminary Report.

The following review comments were offered by Mobile COE:

1. Overall, this is a good report, well-presented, and well documented.

Thank you

Confirmation Notice No. 1  
22 September 1995  
Page 2

2. Pg ES-6 Table ES-3, Summary Of Recommended ECOs: See Comment 15 below.

**Concur. An additional DOE simulation containing all recommended ECOs will be performed.**

3. Page 1-2 Section 1.5: A UPV value for LP Gas should also be included.

**Concur.**

4. Page 2-1 Section 2.2: The CFM rating for the CRUs is given. It would be helpful if the BTU/Hr. rating could also be given.

**Concur. Will add to report.**

5. Section 4 Please add the Life Cycle Cost Analysis (LCCA) Summary Sheet for all ECOs for which SIR was determined, as on page 4-31, except that the Form 1391 heading and borders are not necessary.

**Concur.**

6. Page 4-25 Please clarify if this ECO is for complete fixture replacement or for retrofit of existing fixtures with new ballast's and lamps.

**Will clarify. ECO is retrofit.**

7. Pg. 4-42 In the motor data table, please define the heading of the column "COE."

**Corps of Engineers. Will add note for COE standards.**

8. In the paragraph on Existing Conditions, please make sure that the subjects, verbs and pronouns all agree.

**Concur.**

9. Pg. 4-65 I was not able to reconcile the data presented for the Existing Reciprocating Chiller with the backup material on or around page 4-75. Please Clarify.

**Existing chiller cut sheet is missing. Will add to report.**

10. Pg. 4-69 Item 2, Condenser: Should model number be CAUC-C50 rather than CAUA-C50?

**Yes. Will correct.**

11. Pg 4-69 Are the unit costs for the chiller and the condenser from a quote? I could not find a price like that in the Means data on page 4-70. If from a quote, please include a copy.

**Copy of quote will be included.**

12. Pg. 4-75 Part of the heading for this page, the part towards the right, did not copy well: so I can't tell to which equipment (existing or proposed) this data supplies. Please clarify.

**Heading will be more clearly identified.**

13. Pg. 4-95 At bottom of page, Recommendations: Delete the words "saves energy and " The analysis on page 4-98 shows that there is a net increase in energy use.

**Concur.**

14. Pg. 5-1 Section 5.2 Recommendations: According to the guidelines for FEMP projects ECOs 8 & 11 should not be recommended as their simple payback periods are greater than 10 years. During the review meeting, we should solicit guidance from the customers to see if they want to include these since they are just barely over ten years.

**These ECOs will be re-evaluated as per comments discussed later in the Confirmation Notice. It is likely that the resulting payback periods will be less than 10 years.**

15. Page 5-1 Section 5.2, The overall energy savings appears to be a straight sum of the individual energy savings of each ECO. This is probably not correct for a synergistic approach. The proper way to obtain the energy savings would be to make one more DOE run with all the appropriate changes in inputs. The resulting annual energy usage could then be subtracted from the base case to obtain the savings. All the rest of the LCCA inputs are pretty straightforward.

**Concur. An additional DOE simulation containing all recommended ECOs will be performed.**

16. Be sure to update the distribution list, as some of the names and addresses have changed.

**Concur.**

The following additional items were discussed:

Confirmation Notice No. 1  
22 September 1995  
Page 4

GEODSS does not want to use propane in the building due to risk to the facility. EMC will evaluate use of a double-bundle chiller for heating as an alternative.

The Chiller Replacement ECO should be re-evaluated to include the need to replace existing chillers within two years due to corrosion in the condenser. The new chillers should be down sized from 40 to 30 tons.

The sketch indicating modifications necessary for ECO #9 ,Recirculation of Air in Towers, should be improved to better show work to be performed. EMC obtained additional plans at the site to form the basis of the improved sketch.

Julian Delgado indicated that WSMR has had problems with funding previous ECIP projects because labor rates and other costs differ between previous EMC assumptions and actual negotiated rates under the IDT with the present contractor. Julian will supply EMC with current labor rates and other applicable rates.

Capt. Marsh will fax EMC the 1391 format the Air Force likes to use.

Programming documentation should be prepared for ECOs 4, 7, 8, and 9. GEODSS will implement ECOs 6 and 10 in-house with O&M funds.

This meeting was adjourned.



Dennis E. Jones

v:\1406.008\cn-1a.doc

If any portion of this Confirmation Notice is incorrect, please notify us immediately. If correspondence is not received to the contrary within 14 days, it will be assumed that the decisions, conclusions, and status outlined in this Confirmation Notice are correct.



ANNEX C

REQUIRED DD FORM 1391 DATA

To facilitate ECIP project approval, the following supplemental data shall be provided:

- a. In title block clearly identify projects as "ECIP."
- b. Complete description of each item of work to be accomplished including quantity, square footage, etc.
- c. A comprehensive list of buildings, zones, or areas including building numbers, square foot floor area, designated temporary or permanent, and usage (administration, patient treatment, etc.).
- d. List references, and assumptions, and provide calculations to support dollar and energy savings, and indicate any added costs.
  - (1) If a specific building, zone, or area is used for sample calculations, identify building, zone or area, category, orientation, square footage, floor area, window and wall area for each exposure.
  - (2) Identify weather data source.
  - (3) Identify infiltration assumptions before and after improvements.
  - (4) Include source of expertise and demonstrate savings claimed. Identify any special or critical environmental conditions such as pressure relationships, exhaust or outside air quantities, temperatures, humidity, etc.
- e. Claims for boiler efficiency improvements must identify data to support present properly adjusted boiler operation and future expected efficiency. If full replacement of boilers is indicated, explain rejection of alternatives such as replace burners, nonfunctioning controls, etc. Assessment of the complete existing installation is required to make accurate determinations of required retrofit actions.
- f. Lighting retrofit projects must identify number and type of fixtures, and wattage of each fixture being deleted and installed. New lighting shall be only of the level to meet current criteria. Lamp changes in existing fixtures is not considered an ECIP type project.

g. An ECIP life cycle cost analysis summary sheet as shown in the ECIP Guidance shall be provided for the complete project and for each discrete part included in the project. The SIR is applicable to all segments of the project. Supporting documentation consisting of basic engineering and economic calculations showing how savings were determined shall be included.

h. The DD Form 1391 face sheet shall include, for the complete project, the annual dollar and MBTU savings, SIR, simple amortization period and a statement attesting that all buildings and retrofit actions will be in active use throughout the amortization period.

i. The calendar year in which the cost was calculated shall be clearly shown on the DD Form 1391.

j. For each temporary building included in a project, separate documentation is required showing (1) a minimum 10-year continuing need, based on the installation's annual real property utilization survey, for active building retention after retrofit, (2) the specific retrofit action applicable and (3) an economic analysis supporting the specific retrofit.

k. Nonappropriated funded facilities will not be included in an ECIP project without an accompanying statement certifying that utility costs are not reimbursable.

l. Any requirements required by ECIP guidance dated 10 Jan 1994 and any revisions thereto. Note that unescalated costs/savings are to be used in the economic analyses.

m. The five digit category number for all ECIP projects except for Family Housing is 80000. The category code number for Family Housing projects is 71100.



2750 South Wadsworth Blvd. • Suite C-200  
Denver, Colorado 80227-3400  
303/988-2951 • Fax: 303/985-2527

### CONFIRMATION NOTICE

Confirmation Notice No. 1

EMC #1406-008

DATE: 22 September 1995

PROJECT: Limited Energy Study - GEODSS Facility  
CONTRACT NO.: DACA01-94-D-0033  
DELIVERY ORDER: 0008

NOTES

PREPARED BY: E M C Engineers, Inc.

DATE OF  
MEETING: 19 September 1995

PLACE OF  
MEETING: WSMR, New Mexico

SUBJECT: Review of Preliminary Report

ATTENDEES:	Anthony W. Battaglia	Mobile COE	(334) 690-2613
	Capt. Ray Marsh	21 CES/CECR	(719) 556-8935
	Sgt. Charles E. Rodgers	Det 1 18SPSS/DC	(505) 835-4546
	Jim Finley	PRC Sitel	DSN 349-4134
	Mike Barrett	PRC Sitel	DSN 349-4134
	Julian T. Delgado	DPW-PE	(505) 678-8762
	Dennis Jones	EMC	(303) 988-2951

The following is a summary of the items discussed, the comments made, and the decisions made during the meeting.

EMC verbally presented the findings of the Preliminary Report.

The following review comments were offered by Mobile COE:

1. Overall, this is a good report, well-presented, and well documented.

Thank you

2. Pg ES-6 Table ES-3, Summary Of Recommended ECOs: See Comment 15 below.

**Concur. An additional DOE simulation containing all recommended ECOs will be performed.**

3. Page 1-2 Section 1.5: A UPV value for LP Gas should also be included.

**Concur.**

4. Page 2-1 Section 2.2: The CFM rating for the CRUs is given. It would be helpful if the BTU/Hr. rating could also be given.

**Concur. Will add to report.**

5. Section 4 Please add the Life Cycle Cost Analysis (LCCA) Summary Sheet for all ECOs for which SIR was determined, as on page 4-31, except that the Form 1391 heading and borders are not necessary.

**Concur.**

6. Page 4-25 Please clarify if this ECO is for complete fixture replacement or for retrofit of existing fixtures with new ballast's and lamps.

**Will clarify. ECO is retrofit.**

7. Pg. 4-42 In the motor data table, please define the heading of the column "COE."

**Corps of Engineers. Will add note for COE standards.**

8. In the paragraph on Existing Conditions, please make sure that the subjects, verbs and pronouns all agree.

**Concur.**

9. Pg. 4-65 I was not able to reconcile the data presented for the Existing Reciprocating Chiller with the backup material on or around page 4-75. Please Clarify.

**Existing chiller cut sheet is missing. Will add to report.**

10. Pg. 4-69 Item 2, Condenser: Should model number be CAUC-C50 rather than CAUA-C50?

**Yes. Will correct.**

11. Pg 4-69 Are the unit costs for the chiller and the condenser from a quote? I could not find a price like that in the Means data on page 4-70. If from a quote, please include a copy.

**Copy of quote will be included.**

12. Pg. 4-75 Part of the heading for this page, the part towards the right, did not copy well: so I can't tell to which equipment (existing or proposed) this data supplies. Please clarify.

**Heading will be more clearly identified.**

13. Pg. 4-95 At bottom of page, Recommendations: Delete the words "saves energy and " The analysis on page 4-98 shows that there is a net increase in energy use.

**Concur.**

14. Pg. 5-1 Section 5.2 Recommendations: According to the guidelines for FEMP projects ECOs 8 & 11 should not be recommended as their simple payback periods are greater than 10 years. During the review meeting, we should solicit guidance from the customers to see if they want to include these since they are just barely over ten years.

**These ECOs will be re-evaluated as per comments discussed later in the Confirmation Notice. It is likely that the resulting payback periods will be less than 10 years.**

15. Page 5-1 Section 5.2, The overall energy savings appears to be a straight sum of the individual energy savings of each ECO. This is probably not correct for a synergistic approach. The proper way to obtain the energy savings would be to make one more DOE run with all the appropriate changes in inputs. The resulting annual energy usage could then be subtracted from the base case to obtain the savings. All the rest of the LCCA inputs are pretty straightforward.

**Concur. An additional DOE simulation containing all recommended ECOs will be performed.**

16. Be sure to update the distribution list, as some of the names and addresses have changed.

**Concur.**

The following additional items were discussed:

GEODSS does not want to use propane in the building due to risk to the facility. EMC will evaluate use of a double-bundle chiller for heating as an alternative.

Confirmation Notice No. 1  
22 September 1995  
Page 4

The Chiller Replacement ECO should be re-evaluated to include the need to replace existing chillers within two years due to corrosion in the condenser. The new chillers should be down sized from 40 to 30 tons.

The sketch indicating modifications necessary for ECO #9 ,Recirculation of Air in Towers, should be improved to better show work to be performed. EMC obtained additional plans at the site to form the basis of the improved sketch.

Julian Delgado indicated that WSMR has had problems with funding previous ECIP projects because labor rates and other costs differ between previous EMC assumptions and actual negotiated rates under the IDT with the present contractor. Julian will supply EMC with current labor rates and other applicable rates.

Capt. Marsh will fax EMC the 1391 format the Air Force likes to use.

Programming documentation should be prepared for ECOs 4, 7, 8, and 9. GEODSS will implement ECOs 6 and 10 in-house with O&M funds.

This meeting was adjourned.

---

Dennis E.Jones

v:\1406.008\cn-1a.doc

If any portion of this Confirmation Notice is incorrect, please notify us immediately. If correspondence is not received to the contrary within 14 days, it will be assumed that the decisions, conclusions, and status outlined in this Confirmation Notice are correct.

**APPENDIX B**

**FIELD SURVEY NOTES**

**E M C** Engineers, Inc.  
White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico  
EMC #1406.008

[BLDG NO.] 34568

GE Site  
Building No. 34568  
TRACE Input Data

Prepared by: Smith  
8/11/95  
Checked by: T Poeling

[FLOOR AREA] 10,671 ft<sup>2</sup>

#### INTERIOR LIGHTS

DESCRIPTION	LAMP TYPE	NO. LAMPS	LAMP WATTS	Fixture Watts	Fixture Count	Total Watts
4' RECESSED FLUORESCENT	FLUOR INC	2	40	89	131	11659
EXIT LIGHT	INC	2	20	40	10	400
EXPOSED INCANDESCENT	INC	1	150	150	12	1800
RECESSED INCANDESCENT	INC	1	60	60	9	540
				TOTAL WATTS		14,399
				WATTS PER SQUARE FOOT		1.35

#### EXTERIOR LIGHTS

DESCRIPTION	LAMP TYPE	NO. LAMPS	LAMP WATTS	Fixture Watts	Fixture Count	Total Watts
INCANDESCENT	INC	1	7.5	7.5	18	135
INCANDESCENT (PARKING LOT)	INC	1	12.5	12.5	33	412.5
				TOTAL WATTS		548

#### EQUIPMENT OUTSIDE CONDITIONED SPACE

DESCRIPTION	PEAK WATTS	USE FACTOR	USE WATTS
COMPRESSOR #1	4244	0.5	2122
COMPRESSOR #2	4244	0.5	2122
COMPRESSOR #3	4244	0.5	2122
	TOTAL WATTS		6,366

EQUIPMENT INSIDE CONDITIONED SPACE

DESCRIPTION	PEAK WATTS	USE FACTOR	USE WATTS	COUNT	TOTAL WATTS
COFFEE MAKER	1500	0.75	1125	1	1125
REFRIGERATOR	225	1.00	225	1	225
MICROWAVE	400	0.15	60	1	60
PERSONAL COMPUTER	160	0.5	80	9	720
LASER PRINTER	60	0.5	30	9	270
MEDIUM COPIER	1750	1.00	1750	1	1750
VENDING MACHINE	500	1.00	500	1	500
COKE MACHINE	700	1.00	700	1	700
TOTAL WATTS		5,350		0.50	
WATTS PER SQUARE FOOT					

ASHRAE 1989 FUNDAMENTALS page 26.8 & 28.4

PEOPLE HEAT GAIN

BUILDING TYPE	SENSE BTUh	LATENT BTUh	COUNT
OFFICE	225	125	14
TOTAL PEOPLE		14	
SQUARE FOOT PER PERSON		762	

ASHRAE 1993 FUNDAMENTALS p 26.8

Pa

## HVAC SYSTEMS

ZONE DATA		AHU-2	AHU-3,4,5	AHU-6,7,8	AHU-9
DESIGNATION					
AREA SERVED	OFFICES				
SUPPLY AIRFLOW (CFM)	4770	2000			
VENTILATION	1257	2000			
EXHAUST	1257	2000			
RETURN	3513	0			
OUTSIDE AIR (%)	26.40%	100%			

AHU DATA	SYSTEM TYPE	SZ TRANE	SZ CLIMATE CHANGER #6	SZ CRU AIRFLOW CO.	SZ WILLIAMS AH-800-W2-B40
MANUFACTURER	CLIMATE CHANGER #8	CLIMATE CHANGER #8	CLIMATE CHANGER #6	CCT-41C4	10
MODEL NUMBER	113	62	326	326	N/A
COOLING CAPACITY (MBH)	131	N/A	115	115	0.33
HEATING CAPACITY (MBH)	5	3	7.5	7.5	-
SUPPLY FAN HORSEPOWER	3.0	2.5	0.5	0.5	0.246
SUPPLY FAN STATIC PRESSURE ("H2O)	3.14	1.9	4.72	4.72	N/A
SUPPLY FAN LOAD (kW)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
RETURN FAN HORSEPOWER	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
RETURN FAN STATIC PRESSURE	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
RETURN FAN LOAD	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

CONTROLS	OPERATING SEASON	ALWAYS	APRIL - NOVEMBER	ALWAYS	ALWAYS
	HEATING SEASON	ALWAYS	N/A	ALWAYS	N/A
	COOLING SEASON	ALWAYS	NONE	ALWAYS	ALWAYS
	TIME CLOCK	NONE	24 HOURS	NONE	NONE
	WEEKDAY SCHEDULE	24 HOURS	24 HOURS	24 HOURS	24 HOURS
	WEEKEND SCHEDULE	24 HOURS	24 HOURS	24 HOURS	24 HOURS
	SUPPLY AIR TEMPERATURE CONTROL	24 HOURS	24 HOURS	24 HOURS	24 HOURS
	SUPPLY AIR SETPOINT (°F)	24 HOURS	24 HOURS	24 HOURS	24 HOURS
	MIXED AIR TEMPERATURE CONTROL	24 HOURS	24 HOURS	24 HOURS	24 HOURS
	MIXED AIR SETPOINT (°F)	24 HOURS	24 HOURS	24 HOURS	24 HOURS
	COOLING THERMOSTAT	24 HOURS	24 HOURS	24 HOURS	24 HOURS
	HEATING THERMOSTAT	24 HOURS	24 HOURS	24 HOURS	24 HOURS
	ECONOMIZER TYPE	24 HOURS	24 HOURS	24 HOURS	24 HOURS

### TOWER WALL AND ROOF U-VALUES

Layer	R-value
Outside air film	0.17
1' Concrete Wall	1.23
4" Insulation (on 2 1/2" metal studs)	13.00
5/8" Gypsum	0.56
Inside air Film	0.68
<b>Total R-value</b>	<b>15.64</b>
<b>Total U-value (Btu/hr-ft<sup>2</sup>-°F)</b>	<b>0.064</b>

R-values taken from ASHRAE Table 22.4, pg. 22.6-22.9

### TOWER WALL

Layer	R-value
Outside air film	0.17
1' Concrete Wall	1.23
4" Insulation (on 2 1/2" metal studs)	13.00
5/8" Gypsum	0.56
Inside air Film	0.68
<b>Total R-value</b>	<b>15.64</b>
<b>Total U-value (Btu/hr-ft<sup>2</sup>-°F)</b>	<b>0.064</b>

R-values taken from ASHRAE Table 22.4, pg. 22.6-22.9

### TOWER ROOF

Layer	R-value
Outside air film	0.17
4" Rigid Insulation	25.00
Inside air Film	0.68
<b>Total R-value</b>	<b>25.85</b>
<b>Total U-value (Btu/hr-ft<sup>2</sup>-°F)</b>	<b>0.039</b>

### COMPUTER AND FACILITY ROOF

Layer	R-value
Outside air film	0.17
Built-up roof on underlayment on steel deck on steel joists	0.33
4" Rigid insulation	20.00
Inside air Film	0.68
<b>Total R-value</b>	<b>21.18</b>
<b>Total U-value (Btu/hr-ft<sup>2</sup>-°F)</b>	<b>0.047</b>

### FACILITY EXTERIOR WALLS

Layer	R-value
Outside air film	0.17
8" Concrete masonry unit (Assume medium aggregate w/perlite filled cores at reinforced areas)	2.50
3/4" Plywood	0.93
Air Space	0.91
1 3/8" Plywood removable doors	1.05
5/8" Gypsum	0.56
Inside air Film	0.68
<b>Total R-value</b>	<b>7.31</b>
<b>Total U-value (Btu/hr-ft<sup>2</sup>-°F)</b>	<b>0.137</b>

R-values taken from ASHRAE Table 22.4, pg. 22.6-22.9

**E** Engineers, Inc.  
White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico  
EMC #1406.008

GS Site  
Building No. 34568  
TRACE Input Data

Prepared by E. Smith  
8/11/95  
Checked by T Poeling

#### CONDENSER

Manufacturer	Trane
Model No.	CRHHR400C-3RAT
Tons	35.73

#### COMPRESSOR

Manufacturer	Tri volt
Model No.	3N659A
HP	5.00
RPM	1750

#### PUMPS

Description	Motor Size (hp)	Full Load (kW)	Flow Rate (gpm)	Speed (rpm)	Operating Schedule
Chilled Water Loop	1	0.63	72	1725	AVAIL
Chilled Water Loop	1	0.63	72	1725	AVAIL
Chilled Water Loop	1	0.63	72	1725	AVAIL
Chilled Water Loop	1	0.63	72	1725	AVAIL

E M C Engineers, Inc.  
Energy Conservation Survey  
White Sands Missile Range, NM

Field Survey Notes

EMC No. 1406.008  
Date: 6/29/95  
Prepared by: ES

CHILLER PLANT DATA

Chiller No.: 1

Manufacturer: TRANE	Location: CHILLER ROOM	
Model No.: CRHR400C - 3RAT	Serves AHUs: 2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	
Serial No.: N884062288		
TYPE OF CHILLER:	TYPE OF REFRIGERANT: FREON	MULTIPLE CHILLERS:
Absorption:	DX:	Series Piping:
Centrifugal:	Water:	Parallel Piping:
Reciprocating:	Other:	SIZE OF PIPING:
Rotary Screw:	Air-Cooled:	Supply (in.):
Other:	Water-Cooled:	Return (in.):
COMPRESSOR DATA:	CONDENSING FANS: TRANE	EVAPORATOR DATA:
No. of Compressors: 1	No. of Fans: 4	Serial No.:
RLA: LR: 315	HP: 1.5	No. of Passes:
Volts: 460	FLA: 3	Miscellaneous:
kW:	Volts:	
Capacity (tons): 38.23	Phase/Hz: 3 / 60	
OPERATING TIMES:		
Present Start Time:	Required Start Time:	
Present Stop Time:	Required Stop Time:	
Months Operating:	Timeclock (Y/N):	
CONTROLS:	CONTROL VALVES:	
Pneumatic:	Location:	
Electric:	2-Way:	
DDC:	3-Way:	
Setpoints: CHW: CNW:	Size:	
Comments: MAX FUSE SIZE TIME DELAY: 15,	MIN CIR AMPACITY: 13,	
CONDENSOR MODEL #: CAUA - 4004 - OB,	CONDENSOR TYPE: 621 - 0340 - 3A,	
CONDENSOR SERIAL #: J79E - 20224		

E M C Engineers, Inc.  
Energy Conservation Survey  
White Sands Missile Range, NM

Field Survey Notes

EMC No.1406.008  
Date: 6/22/95  
Prepared by: ES

## CHILLER PLANT DATA

Chiller No.: 2

Manufacturer: TRANE	Location: CHILLER ROOM	
Model No.: CRHR400C - 3FAT	Serves AHUs: 2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	
Serial No.: GOD40J4178		
<b>TYPE OF CHILLER:</b>  Absorption: Centrifugal: Reciprocating: Rotary Screw: Other:	<b>TYPE OF REFRIGERANT:</b> FREON  DX: Water: Other: Air-Cooled: Water-Cooled:	<b>MULTIPLE CHILLERS:</b>  Series Piping: Parallel Piping: <b>SIZE OF PIPING:</b> Supply (in.): Return (in.):
<b>COMPRESSOR DATA:</b>  No. of Compressors: 1 RLA: LR: 315 Volts: 460 kW: Capacity (tons): 38.23	<b>CONDENSING FANS:</b> TRANE  No. of Fans: 4 HP: 1.5 FLA: 3 Volts: Phase/Hz: 3 / 60	<b>EVAPORATOR DATA:</b>  Serial No.: No. of Passes: Miscellaneous:
<b>OPERATING TIMES:</b>  Present Start Time: Present Stop Time: Months Operating:	Required Start Time: Required Stop Time: Timeclock (Y/N):	
<b>CONTROLS:</b>  Pneumatic: Electric: DDC: Setpoints: CHW: CNW:	<b>CONTROL VALVES:</b>  Location: 2-Way: 3-Way: Size:	
<b>Comments:</b> MAX FUSE SIZE TIME DELAY: 15, MIN CIR AMPACITY: 13, CONDENSOR MODEL #: CAUA - 4004 - OB, CONDENSOR TYPE: 621 - 0340 - 3A, CONDENSOR SERIAL #: J79E - 20224 USED ONLY WHEN NEEDED, OTHERWISE IT IS SHUT DOWN		

E M C Engineers, Inc.  
Energy Conservation Survey  
White Sands Missile Range, NM.

EMC No. 1406.008  
Date: 6/24/95  
Prepared by: ES

## MOTORS

Location: Compressor Room #2

Application:

Pump Motor: Tri volt	Manufacturer:
Manufacturer Dayton	Model No.:
Model No.: 3N659A	Serial No.:
Serial No.: GO34A1F9OTO81RO43F	Frame No.:
HP: 5 RPM: 1750	Pump Type: D
Volts: 200-236/460	FLA:
Ph/Hz: 3 / 60	LRA:
Measured RPM:	
Operating Hours:	Months Operating:
Comments: Type: D, SF: 1.15, Insul Class: B, Frame : 184T, Nema Design: B,	
KVARmax: 2.0, Amps: 15.4 - 14.6 / 7.3, SFA: 18.0 - 15.8 / 7.9, Shaft End BRG: 6206,	
Opp End BRG: 6204, Nema Nom Eff: 85.5, Power Factor: 79.5, Duty: Continuous	

Location: 34566 Building

Application: Powers Generator

Pump Motor:	Manufacturer:
Manufacturer Toshiba	Model No.:
Model No.: B2504VLF4B3	Serial No.:
Serial No.: 10123512	Frame No.: 447TZ
HP: 250 RPM: 1770	Pump Type:
Volts: FLA:	GPM: Head (ft.):
Ph/Hz: 4 / 60 LRA:	
Measured RPM: 1796.6	
Operating Hours:	Months Operating: All
Comments: Type: TIKK, Form: VBKI, Code: E, Amps: 28.5, Class: F, Nema Design: B, 4 Poles,	
BRG No: LS-NU318 OS-6318, SF: 1.15, MAX ANB: 40	

E M C Engineers, Inc.  
Energy Conservation Survey  
White Sands Missile Range, NM

Field Survey


EMC No. 1406.008  
Date: 6/22/95  
Prepared By: ES

**PUMPS**

**Location:** Chiller Room

Pump Motor: GE Motor	Pump No.: 1,2,3,4	Type:
Manufacturer:	Manufacturer:	
Model No.:	Model No.:	5K43MG8163A
Serial No.:	Serial No.:	
HP: 1 RPM: 1725	Frame No.:	
Volts: 208 FLA: 3.3	GPM:	Head (ft.):
Fluid: Water	Comments:	Typical of all four pumps Chilled Water

**CONTROL SCHEMATIC/PIPING SCHEMATIC**



E M C Engineers, Inc.  
Energy Conservation Survey  
White Sands Missile Range, NM

Field Survey

EMC No. 1406.008  
Date: 6/22/95  
Prepared By: ES

AIR HANDLING UNIT DATA

AHU No.: 2

Manufacturer	Trane				Location:	Chiller Room		
Model No.:	Climate Changer Size 8				Served by:			
Serial No.:	V80E15027				Serves Area:	Office areas		
AHU TYPE:	Single-zone: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 Pipe FC:	4 Pipe FC:		Unit Heater:			
	H&V Unit:	Multizone:	Double Duct:		Induction Unit:			
	VAV:	Reheat:	Comp. Room:		Other:			
Number of Zones:								
SUPPLY FAN:	Blow-thru:	Draw-thru:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	In-line:				
X Centrifugal:	B.I.:	F.C.:		Airfoil:	Radial:			
Axial:	Vaneaxial:	Tubeaxial:		Propeller:				
Manufacturer:	Motor Dayton							
Model No.:	3N659							
HP:	5	Volts:	460	FLA:	7.3	RPM:	1730	Phase/Hz: 3 / 60
RETURN FAN:	Axial:	Centrifugal:						
Manufacturer:								
Model No.:	N/A							
HP:	Volts:	FLA:		RPM:	Phase/Hz:			
COILS:	CHW	HW	Steam	Electric	CNTRL VLV	CW	HW	
Preheat:					Location:			
Heating:				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2-Way:			
Cooling:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				3-Way:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Humidity:					Size:			
Reheat:					Pneu/Elec.:	Pneu		
CONTROLS:					SETPOINTS:			
Pneumatic:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				Space:			
Electric:					Occupied Heating:			
DDC:					Unoccupied Heating:			
Damper Control (OA):	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				Occupied Cooling:			
Damper Control (RA):					Unoccupied Cooling:			
Damper Control (EA):					Setback (Y/N):			
Economizer (Y/N):	N small OA duct				Setback Setpoint:			
Comments:	RA: 75 °F, SA: 69 °F, Motor: 1727.6 rpm measured Fan: 1727.6 rpm measured $\eta = 82.5\%$				PF = 81%			

E M C Engineers, Inc.  
Energy Conservation Survey  
White Sands Missile Range, NM

Field Survey

EMC No. 1406.008  
Date: 6/22/95  
Prepared By: ES

**AIR HANDLING UNIT DATA**

Manufacturer:	Trane		Location:	Compressor Room # 1					
Model No.:	CC SIZE 6		Served by:						
Serial No.:	V8OE15028		Serves Area:	Tower 1					
AHU TYPE:	Single-zone: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 Pipe FC:	4 Pipe FC:	Unit Heater:					
H&V Unit:	Multizone:		Double Duct:	Induction Unit:					
VAV:	Reheat:		Comp. Room:	Other:					
Number of Zones:									
SUPPLY FAN:	Blow-thru:	Draw-thru:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	In-line:					
X Centrifugal:	B.I.:	F.C.:	Airfoil:		Radial:				
Axial:	Vaneaxial:	Tubeaxial:	Propeller:						
Manufacturer:	Motor Economite								
Model No.:	51-385-215 R6-6P								
HP:	3	Volts:	230	FLA:	8.36	RPM:	1760	Phase/Hz:	3 / 60
RETURN FAN:	Axial:		Centrifugal:						
Manufacturer:	NONE								
Model No.:									
HP:	Volts:	FLA:	RPM:		Phase/Hz:				
COILS:	CHW	HW	Steam	Electric	CNTRL VLV	CW	HW		
Preheat:					Location:				
Heating:					2-Way:				
Cooling:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				3-Way:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Humidity:					Size:				
Reheat:					Pneu/Elec.:				
<b>CONTROLS:</b>					<b>SETPOINTS:</b>				
Pneumatic:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				Space:				
Electric:					Occupied Heating:				
DDC:					Unoccupied Heating:				
Damper Control (OA):	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				Occupied Cooling:				
Damper Control (RA):					Unoccupied Cooling:				
Damper Control (EA):					Setback (Y/N):				
Economizer (Y/N):					Setback Setpoint:				
Comments:	No heating coils		Measured rpm	Motor: 1781.7					
	100% outside air			Fan: 1393					
SA:	68 °F								

E M C Engineers, Inc.  
Energy Conservation Survey  
White Sands Missile Range, NM

Field Survey

EMC No. 1406.008  
Date: 6/22/95  
Prepared By: ES

AIR HANDLING UNIT DATA

AHU No.:

4

Manufacturer:	Trane		Location:	Compressor Room # 2			
Model No.:	CC SIZE 6		Served by:				
Serial No.:	V8OE15028		Serves Area:	Tower 2			
AHU TYPE:	Single-zone: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 Pipe FC:	4 Pipe FC:	Unit Heater:			
	H&V Unit:	Multizone:	Double Duct:	Induction Unit:			
	VAV:	Reheat:	Comp. Room:	Other:			
Number of Zones:							
SUPPLY FAN:	Blow-thru:	Draw-thru:	In-line:				
X Centrifugal:	B.I.:	F.C.:	Airfoil:	Radial:			
Axial:	Vaneaxial:	Tubeaxial:	Propeller:				
Manufacturer:	Fan Doerr						
Model No.:	3N228						
HP:	3	Volts:	230	FLA:	9.8	RPM: 1740 Phase/Hz: 3 / 60	
RETURN FAN:	Axial: Centrifugal:						
Manufacturer:							
Model No.:	<b>NONE</b>						
HP:	Volts:	FLA:	RPM:	Phase/Hz:			
COILS:	CHW	HW	Steam	Electric	CNTRL VLV	CW	HW
Preheat:					Location:		
Heating:					2-Way:		
Cooling:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				3-Way:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Humidity:					Size:		
Reheat:					Pneu/Elec.:		
<b>CONTROLS:</b>					<b>SETPOINTS:</b>		
Pneumatic:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				Space:		
Electric:					Occupied Heating:		
DDC:					Unoccupied Heating:		
Damper Control (OA):	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				Occupied Cooling:		
Damper Control (RA):					Unoccupied Cooling:		
Damper Control (EA):					Setback (Y/N):		
Economizer (Y/N):					Setback Setpoint:		
Comments: Measured rpm	Motor: 1768.9		SAT: 70 °F				
	Fan: 1255.7		CWT: 53 °F				
	Identical to AHU # 3		LWT: 66 °F				

E M C Engineers, Inc.  
Energy Conservation Survey  
White Sands Missile Range, NM

Field Survey

EMC No. 1406.008  
Date: 6/22/95  
Prepared By: ES

AIR HANDLING UNIT DATA

AHU No.:

5

Manufacturer:	Trane			Location:	Compressor Room # 3				
Model No.:	CC SIZE 6			Served by:					
Serial No.:	V80E15028			Serves Area:	Tower 3				
AHU TYPE:	Single-zone: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 Pipe FC:	4 Pipe FC:	Unit Heater:					
H&V Unit:	Multizone:		Double Duct:	Induction Unit:					
VAV:	Reheat:		Comp. Room:	Other:					
Number of Zones:									
SUPPLY FAN:	Blow-thru:	Draw-thru:	In-line:						
X Centrifugal:	B.I.:	F.C.:	Airfoil:	Radial:					
Axial:	Vaneaxial:	Tubeaxial:	Propeller:						
Manufacturer:	Motor U.S. Electric								
Model No.:	G137D/N07N1270436F								
HP:	3	Volts:	230	FLA:	9	RPM:	1740	Phase/Hz:	3 / 60
RETURN FAN:	Axial:		Centrifugal:						
Manufacturer:									
Model No.:	<b>NONE</b>								
HP:	Volts:		FLA:		RPM:	Phase/Hz:			
COILS:	CHW	HW	Steam	Electric	CNTRL VLV	CW	HW		
Preheat:					Location:				
Heating:					2-Way:				
Cooling:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				3-Way:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Humidity:					Size:				
Reheat:					Pneu/Elec.:				
CONTROLS:					SETPOINTS:				
Pneumatic:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				Space:				
Electric:					Occupied Heating:				
DDC:					Unoccupied Heating:				
Damper Control (OA):	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				Occupied Cooling:				
Damper Control (RA):					Unoccupied Cooling:				
Damper Control (EA):					Setback (Y/N):				
Economizer (Y/N):					Setback Setpoint:				
Comments: Measured rpm	Motor: 1766.2				SAT:	72	°F		
	Fan: 1370				CWT:	56	°F		
Identical to AHU # 3					LWT:	62	°F		
Damper on supply air									
η = 81.5%									

E M C Engineers, Inc.  
Energy Conservation Survey  
White Sands Missile Range, NM

Field Survey

EMC No. 1406.008  
Date: 6/24/95  
Prepared By: ES

**AIR HANDLING UNIT DATA**

AHU No.: 6,7,8

Manufacturer:	Airflow Co.		Location:	Computer Room					
Model No.:	CCT-41C4		Served by:						
Serial No.:	M11MD228		Serves Area:	Computer Room					
AHU TYPE:	Single-zone: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 Pipe FC:	4 Pipe FC:	Unit Heater:					
H&V Unit:	Multizone:		Double Duct:	Induction Unit:					
VAV:	Reheat:		Comp. Room:	Other:					
Number of Zones:									
SUPPLY FAN:	Blow-thru:	Draw-thru:	In-line:						
X Centrifugal:	B.I.:	F.C.:	Airfoil:	Radial:					
Axial:	Vaneaxial:	Tubeaxial:	Propeller:						
Manufacturer:	Marathon Electric								
Model No.:	UVA213TTDR7026GPL								
HP:	7.5	Volts:	480	FLA:	11	RPM:	1750	Phase/Hz:	3 / 60
RETURN FAN:	Axial:	Centrifugal:							
Manufacturer:									
Model No.:									
HP:	Volts:	FLA:	RPM:			Phase/Hz:			
COILS:	CHW	HW	Steam	Electric	CNTRL VLV	CW	HW		
Preheat:					Location:				
Heating:				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2-Way:				
Cooling:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				3-Way:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Humidity:					Size:				
Reheat:					Pneu/Elec.:	Pneu			
<b>CONTROLS:</b>							<b>SETPOINTS:</b>		
Pneumatic:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Electric:									
DDC:									
Damper Control (OA):	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Damper Control (RA):									
Damper Control (EA):									
Economizer (Y/N):	N						Setback (Y/N): N		
Comments: Nema nom eff: 84.0,	Nom PF: 76.6,		Maxc CAP KVAR: 5.3,			SF: 1.15,			
Continuous duty									

E M C Engineers, Inc.  
Energy Conservation Survey  
White Sands Missile Range, NM

Field Survey

EMC No. 1406.008  
Date: 6/22/95  
Prepared By: ES

**AIR HANDLING UNIT DATA**

AHU No.:

9

Manufacturer:	Williams				Location:	Conference Room Plenum			
Model No.:	AH-800-W2-B40				Served by:				
Serial No.:					Serves Area:	Conference Room			
<b>AHU TYPE:</b>	Single-zone:	2 Pipe FC:	4 Pipe FC:		Unit Heater:				
	H&V Unit:	Multizone:	Double Duct:		Induction Unit:				
	VAV:	Reheat:	Comp. Room:		Other:	Fan Coil			
Number of Zones:									
<b>SUPPLY FAN:</b>	Blow-thru:	Draw-thru:			In-line:				
	Centrifugal:	B.I.:	F.C.:		Airfoil:	Radial:			
	Axial:	Vaneaxial:	Tubeaxial:		Propeller:				
Manufacturer:									
Model No.:									
HP:	0.33	Volts:	230	FLA:	2.9	RPM:	Phase/Hz:		
<b>RETURN FAN:</b>	Axial:		Centrifugal:						
Manufacturer:									
Model No.:	<b>NONE</b>								
HP:	Volts:		FLA:	RPM:		Phase/Hz:			
<b>COILS:</b>	CHW	HW	Steam	Electric	<b>CNTRL VLV</b>	<b>CW</b>	<b>HW</b>		
Preheat:					Location:				
Heating:					2-Way:	✓			
Cooling:	✓				3-Way:				
Humidity:					Size:				
Reheat:					Pneu/Elec.:				
<b>CONTROLS:</b>					<b>SETPOINTS:</b>				
Pneumatic:	✓				Space:				
Electric:					Occupied Heating:				
DDC:					Unoccupied Heating:				
Damper Control (OA):					Occupied Cooling:				
Damper Control (RA):					Unoccupied Cooling:				
Damper Control (EA):					Setback (Y/N):				
Economizer (Y/N):					Setback Setpoint:				
<b>Comments:</b> No outside air									

E M C Engineers, Inc.  
Energy Conservation Survey  
White Sands Missile Range, NM

Field Survey

EMC No. 1406.008  
Date: 6/22/95  
Prepared By: ES

**INTERNAL LOADS DATA**

Equipment Description:	Lighting		
Equipment Location:	Conference Room		
Manufacturer:			
Model No.:			
Serial No.:			
Motor Data: HP:	RPM:	Volts:	Amps:
Comments: Ballast- Dimmer Ballast      Magnetek 502-ATO-P, Four foot lamps - F-40D			
Thermostat-		70 °F,	40 Watts
Wall-		70 °F	

Equipment Description:			
Equipment Location:	Conference Room		
Manufacturer:			
Model No.:			
Serial No.:			
Motor Data: HP:	RPM:	Volts:	Amps:
Comments: Temperature Plenum= 75 °F			
Roof Bottom		71 °F	
Room=		70 °F	

Equipment Description:			
Equipment Location:	Halls		
Manufacturer:			
Model No.:			
Serial No.:			
Motor Data: HP:	RPM:	Volts:	Amps:
Comments: Ballast- Universal Rapid Start			
Lamps- F-40D		2 Lamp	40 Watts

E M C Engineers, Inc.  
Energy Conservation Survey  
White Sands Missile Range, NM

Field Survey

EMC No. 1406.008

Date: *6/22/95*  
Prepared By: *ES*

## BUILDING MANAGER INTERVIEW

### BUILDING INFORMATION:

Building No:	34568	Building Name:	GEOSS
Surveyed by:		Date:	6/2/95
Building Contact:	Jim Mills	Phone No:	835 - 4546
Building Contact:		Phone No:	

OCCUPANCY:	Day	Night	Day	Night
Number of Employees:	Mon.-Fri.: 12 - 14	3 - 4	Schedule: 7am To 4pm	5pm To 7am
	Saturday:	2	7am To 4pm	5pm To 7am
	Sun./Hol.:	2	3 - 4	7am To 4pm
Visitors Per Day:	Mon.-Fri.:		Schedule: To	To
	Saturday:		To	To
	Sun./Hol.:		To	To
Meals Served Per Day:	Breakfast:		Schedule: To	To
	Lunch:		To	To
	Dinner:		To	To

Comments:

### LIGHTING SCHEDULE:

Normal Occupancy:	Mon.-Fri.:	Schedule:	To	To
	Sat./Sun.:		To	To
Cleaning Crew/2nd Shift:	Mon.-Fri.:	Schedule:	To	To
	Sat./Sun.:		To	To

### EQUIPMENT SCHEDULE:

Fan/AHU Schedule:	Mon.-Fri.:	Schedule:	To	To
	Sat./Sun.:		To	To
Chiller Schedule:	Mon.-Fri.:	Schedule:	To	To
	Sat./Sun.:		To	To
Boiler Schedule:	Mon.-Fri.:	Schedule:	To	To
	Sat./Sun.:		To	To
Aux. Equipment Schedule:				
	Mon.-Fri.:	Schedule:	To	To
	Sat./Sun.:		To	To
	Mon.-Fri.:	Schedule:	To	To
	Sat./Sun.:		To	To

Comments:

E M C Engineers, Inc.  
Energy Conservation Survey  
White Sands Missile Range, NM

Field Survey

EMC No. 1406.008  
Date: 6/2/85  
Prepared By: ES

LIGHTING

SPACE	# OCCUPANCY SENSORS	# FIXTURES W/ O.S.	# DELAMPED	# FIXTURES IN SPACE	TOTAL OPERATING FIXTURES IN SPACE
HALLS	0	0	0	17	17
OFFICES	7	23	19	82	56
COMPUTER ROOM	3	13	27	77	47
CONFERENCE ROOM	0	0	0	6	6
TOWER 1	0	0	0	7	7
TOWER 2	0	0	0	7	7
TOWER 3	0	0	0	7	7

ENERGY CONSUMPTION

SPACE	TOTAL OPERATING FIXTURES IN SPACE	WATTS PER FIXTURE		TOTAL KW CONSUMED	
		PRESENT	T-8	PRESENT	T-8
HALLS	17	89	58	1.513	0.986
OFFICES	56	89	58	4.984	3.248
COMPUTER ROOM	47	89	58	4.183	2.726
CONFERENCE ROOM	6	89	58	0.534	0.348
TOWER 1	7	89	58	0.623	0.406
TOWER 2	7	89	58	0.623	0.406
TOWER 3	7	89	58	0.623	0.406

TOTAL ENERGY CONSUMED BY LIGHTING

SPACE	TOTAL KW CONSUMED		OTHER LIGHTS	WATTAGE OF OTHER LIGHTS	TOTAL KW CONSUMED	
	PRESENT	T-8			PRESENT	T-8
HALLS	1.513	0.986	0	0	1.513	0.99
OFFICES	4.984	3.248	0	0	4.984	3.25
COMPUTER ROOM	4.183	2.726	7	60	4.603	3.15
CONFERENCE ROOM	0.534	0.348	0	0	0.534	0.35
TOWER 1	0.623	0.406	4	150	1.223	1.01
TOWER 2	0.623	0.406	4	150	1.223	1.01
TOWER 3	0.623	0.406	4	150	1.223	1.01

E M C Engineers, Inc.  
Energy Conservation Survey  
White Sands Missile Range, NM

Field Survey

EMC No. 1406.008  
Date: 6/22/95  
Prepared By: ES

MOTORS

Location: Compressor Room # 1

Application: Compressed Air for Camera in Tower # 1

Pump Motor: A.C. TEFC	Manufacturer:
Manufacturer: Lincoln	Model No.:
Model No.: T-3482 ( Lincoln Code )	Serial No.:
Serial No.: 2278302	Frame No.:
HP: 5 RPM: 1740	Pump Type:
Volts: 200/400 FLA:	GPM: Head (ft.):
Ph/Hz: 3 / 60 LRA:	
Measured RPM:	
Operating Hours:	Months Operating:
Comments: INS: B, SF: 1.15, Max Amb: 40, Nema Code: J, Amps: 15.6 / 7.8,	EEF index: K, Nema Design: B,

MOTORS

Location: Compressor Room # 2

Application: Compressed Air for Camera in Tower # 2

Pump Motor: A.C. TEFC	Manufacturer:
Manufacturer: Acurate Air ENGR, INC.	Model No.:
Model No.: 325-14	Serial No.:
Serial No.: 119526LS	Frame No.:
HP: 5 RPM: 1740	Pump Type:
Volts: 200/400 FLA:	GPM: 900 Head (ft.):
Ph/Hz: 3 / 60 LRA:	
Measured RPM:	
Operating Hours:	Months Operating:
Comments: INS: B, SF: 1.15, Max Amb: 40, Nema Code: J, Amps: 15.6 / 7.8, Vessel Service #: 292700	EEF index: K, Nema Design: B,

MOTORS

Location: Compressor Room # 3

Application: Compressed Air for Camera in Tower # 3

Pump Motor: A.C. TEFC	Manufacturer:
Manufacturer: Lincoln	Model No.:
Model No.: T - 3482 ( Lincoln Code )	Serial No.:
Serial No.: 2580235	Frame No.:
HP: 5 RPM: 1740	Pump Type:
Volts: 200/400 FLA:	GPM: Head (ft.):
Ph/Hz: 3 / 60 LRA:	
Measured RPM:	
Operating Hours:	Months Operating:
Comments: INS: B, SF: 1.15, Max Amb: 40, Nema Code: J, Amps: 15.6 / 7.8,	EEF index: K, Nema Design: B,

**APPENDIX C**

**UTILITY DATA**

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. P00006	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 1 Jan 95	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)		
6. ISSUED BY  Utilities Sales Officer SWS-DPW-PE, Bldg 1748 White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002-5076	CODE	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6)		CODE	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code)  Detachment 1, 1st Space Wing (AFSPACECOM) ATTN: SMSGT Luther Mills P.O. Box W Socorro, New Mexico 87801-5000				(V)	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.
					9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)
					10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.
					DAAD07-89-S-0034 10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)
CODE	FACILITY CODE	01 Jan 89			

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers  is extended,  is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:

(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning \_\_\_\_\_ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)

13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS,  
IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

- A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
- B. Per paragraph 4 of cited contract, CHANGE OF RATE CLAUSE
- B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
- C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
- D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: PURCHASER  is not,  is required to sign this document and return \_\_\_\_\_ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

CHANGE OF RATES as follows:

SPECIAL PROVISIONS A (Electric Service): RATE A - \$0.0821/KWH  
 SPECIAL PROVISIONS B-1 (Propane Gas Service): RATE A - \$0.6940/GAL  
 SPECIAL PROVISIONS C (Water Service): RATE A - \$1.3593/KGAL  
 SPECIAL PROVISIONS F (Refuse Disposal Service): RATE A - \$1.4513/CY

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)	16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)  JULIAN T. DELGADO Utilities Sales Officer		
15B. PURCHASER  (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY <i>Julian T. Delgado</i> (Signature of Contracting Officer)	16C. DATE SIGNED 21 Feb 95

CONTRACT NO. DAAD07-89-S-0034  
MODIFICATION P00006

DETACHMENT 1, 1st SPACE WING (AF)

RATE A - CY 95

Electricity:

Bldg 34568 - Metered

Bldg 34226 - Metered

Propane Gas: Charge is made from metered consumption.

Water: FLAT RATE

6.5 KGAL/yr/employee x 26 employees = 169 KGAL/yr  
169 Kgal/yr x \$1.3593/Kgal = \$229.72 per yr  
   \$ 19.14 per month

Refuse: FLAT RATE

Bldg 34568:

14.27 CY/yr/person x 24 people = 342.48 CY/yr  
342.48 CY/yr x \$1.4513 = \$497.04 per yr  
   \$ 41.42 per month

Bldg 34226:

14.27 CY/yr/person x 2 people = 28.54 CY/yr  
28.54 CY/yr x \$1.4513 = \$41.42 per yr  
   \$ 3.45 per month

MAR. -27' 95(MON) 11:18 GEODSS DET 1

TEL: 505 349 4137

P. 001

PRC Inc.  
GEODSS Site 1  
P.O. Box 1159  
Socorro, NM 87501



March 27, 1995

Attn: Dennis Jones;

The electric meter installed at the GEODSS site on WSMR New Mexico is labeled as follows.

Manufacturer	Westinghouse
Class	20
Volts	120
	4WY
Type	D458M
Form	6S
Style	280C021G60
TA	2.5
Kh	1.8
Two stator Watt Hour meter	
60 Hz	
PTR	2.4/1
PKh	691.2
CTR	800/5

The meter has a secondary plate with the following information.

Ser 3947514  
Mark Io Demand Register  
120V 60Hz  
F.5 KW 13.824/27.648  
Reg Ratio 14 101/216  
t-15  
Direct reading for Kh 6912

If you need any more information please feel free to contact me.

Mike Barrett  
GEODSS Site One  
Maintenance Supervisor

Meter

THU JUN 1, 1995 9:51:29 AM  
TEST STATUS: AS FOUND

FORM: 6S 120 VOLTS 2.50 AMPS Kh= 1.80  
REV:FL= 5 PF= 5 LL= 1 N= 0 Nt= 0  
SERIES LEFT COMMON RIGHT  
FL 100.31 99.34 100.18 100.43  
% REG. PF 99.76 100.41 100.36 100.36  
LL 99.58

ZIA ELECTRICAL PRODUCTS


Customer #: \_\_\_\_\_  
Serial #: 68081432  
Reading: 0565  
Meter Brand: Westinghouse  
Tested by: WJ  
Comments: Meter is OK

THU JUN 1, 1995 10:48:34 AM  
TEST STATUS: AS LEFT

FORM: 6S 120 VOLTS 2.50 AMPS Kh= 1.80  
REV:FL= 5 PF= 5 LL= 1 N= 0 Nt= 0  
SERIES LEFT COMMON RIGHT  
FL 100.79 100.08 100.93 100.33  
% REG. PF 100.26 100.79 101.05 100.50  
LL 100.14


ELEC ESEL

ELECTRICITY PURCHASED & GENERATED OCT 1991- JAN 1995




MONTHLY CONSUMPTION

ELECTRIC CONSUMPTION OCT 1991- JAN 1995



## DIESEL CONSUMPTION



**YEARLY**

**YEARLY TOTALS**

	ELECTRIC CONSUMED(MWH)	MONTHLY COST	DIESEL FUEL ONSUMED (GAL)	MONTHLY COST
<b>1991</b>	OCT	109.3	8033.55	288 296.64
	NOV	88.2	6482.70	192 197.76
	DEC	74.9	5505.15	1768 1821.04
	JAN	90.9	6681.15	232 238.96
	FEB	71.9	5284.65	520 535.6
	MAR	72.9	5358.15	568 585.04
	APR	77.9	5725.65	624 642.72
	MAY	83.7	6151.95	464 477.92
	JUN	83.8	6159.30	536 552.08
	JUL	76.3	5608.05	1528 1573.84
	AUG	72.9	5358.15	632 650.96
	SEP	110.2	8099.70	400 412
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>1012.9</b>	<b>74448.15</b>	<b>7752 7984.56</b>
<b>1992</b>	OCT	83.9	6166.65	48 49.44
	NOV	105.2	7732.2	32 32.96
	DEC	80.6	5924.1	352 362.56
	JAN	101.5	7460.25	104 107.12
	FEB	91.3	6710.55	80 82.4
	MAR	85.7	6298.95	160 164.8
	APR	85.3	6269.55	352 362.56
	MAY	83.4	6129.9	784 807.52
	JUN	74.1	5446.35	592 609.76
	JUL	96.1	7063.35	608 626.24
	AUG	90.7	6666.45	784 807.52
	SEP	80.8	5938.8	216 222.48
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>1058.6</b>	<b>77807.1</b>	<b>4112 4235.36</b>
<b>1993</b>	OCT	99.1	7283.85	168 173.04
	NOV	77.1	5666.85	16 16.48
	DEC	65.9	4843.65	1896 1952.88
	JAN	91.6	6732.6	96 98.88
	FEB	79.6	5850.6	16 16.48
	MAR	79	5806.5	176 181.28
	APR	88.7	6519.45	80 82.4
	MAY	61.9	4549.65	256 263.68
	JUN	12	882	457 470.71
	JUL	13.6	999.6	784 807.52
	AUG	11.7	859.95	1392 1433.76
	SEP	11.7	859.95	96 98.88
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>691.9</b>	<b>50854.65</b>	<b>5433 5595.99</b>

YEARLY

OCT	30	2205	48	49.44
NOV	91.5	6725.25	64	65.92
DEC	73.25	5383.875	80	82.4
<b>1994 JAN</b>	<b>91.33</b>	<b>6712.755</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>24.72</b>
		<b>6179.6181</b>		<b>0</b>
MAR	23	1741.1		0
APR	72	5450.4		0
MAY	73.7	5579.09		0
JUN	63.4	4799.38		0
JUL	80.78	6115.046		0
AUG	97.96	7415.572		0
SEP	98.4	7448.88		0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>876.953</b>	<b>65755.966</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>222.48</b>


OCT	77.98	5903.086
NOV	97.92	7412.544
DEC	82.06	6211.942
<b>1995</b>		<b>8305.236</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>359.12</b>	<b>27832.808</b>

YEAR	ELECTRIC	DIESEL FUEL	MONTHLY	
	CONSUMPTION	COST \$	ONSUMED (GAL)	COST
1991	1012.9	74448.15	7752	7984.56
1992	1058.6	77807.1	4112	4235.36
1993	691.9	50854.65	5433	5595.99
1994	876.953	65755.966	216	222.48
1995	359.12	27832.808		

**APPENDIX D**

**COMPUTER SIMULATIONS**


DOE2.1d Model vs. Historical  
Data




## EZDOE Model Data

## ELECTRICAL DATA FROM SITE

		ELECTRIC CONSUMED (MBTU)	EZDOE ELECTRIC CONSUMED (MWH)	1994 ELECTRIC CONSUMED (MWH)	1992 ELECTRIC CONSUMED (MWH)	1991 ELECTRIC CONSUMED (MWH)
1994	JAN	285.20	83.58	91.33	101.50	90.90
	FEB	249.90	73.24		91.30	71.90
	MAR	272.83	79.96	23.00	85.70	72.90
	APR	274.47	80.44	72.00	85.30	77.90
	MAY	302.69	88.71	73.70	83.40	83.70
	JUN	344.08	100.84	63.40	74.10	83.80
	JUL	360.70	105.71	80.78	96.10	76.30
	AUG	346.30	101.49	97.96	90.70	72.90
	SEP	314.83	92.27	98.40	80.80	110.20
	OCT	278.59	81.65	77.98	99.10	83.90
	NOV	263.28	77.16	97.92	77.10	105.20
	DEC	280.48	82.20	82.06	65.90	80.60
TOTAL		3573.35	1047.25	940.16	1031.00	1010.20



ECO-8 Chiller Replacement




ECO - 8      Chiller Replacement

+

ECO - 4      T-8 Lighting

TOTAL SITE ELECTRICITY ENERGY USE 2710.37 MBTU



ECO-8            chiller Replacement

+

ECO-4            T-8 Lighting


+

ECO-9            Recirculation

+

ECO-7            UPS Modification

TOTAL SITE ELECTRICITY ENERGY USE 2617.7 MBTU



ECO's 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10

INPUT LOADS ..

\$-----\$  
\$ E Z - D O E    L O A D S   I N P U T \$  
\$-----\$

\$ GENERAL PROJECT DATA

TITLE LINE-1 \*    EMC    ENGINEERS    INC.    \*  
LINE-2 \*EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC\*  
LINE-3 \*    DENVER,    CO    80227    \*  
  
LINE-4 \*GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION    \* ..

ABORT              ERRORS ..  
DIAGNOSTIC        WARNINGS ..  
LOADS-REPORT      VERIFICATION=(LV-B,LV-D,LV-F,LV-G,LV-I)  
                    SUMMARY=(LS-A,LS-B,LS-C,LS-D)  
                    HOURLY-DATA-SAVE = YES ..  
BUILDING-LOCATION ALTITUDE = 4998.  
                    SHIELDING-COEF = 0.31  
                    X-REF = 0.0  
                    Y-REF = 0.0 ..  
RUN-PERIOD        JAN 1 1994 THRU DEC 31 1994 ..

\$ SCHEDULES

D\_LIGHTS =DAY-SCHEDULE (1,6) (0.75)  
              (7,16) (1.)  
              (17,24) (0.75) ..

SSH\_LIGHTS =DAY-SCHEDULE (1,6) (0.4)  
              (7,16) (0.16)  
              (17,24) (0.4) ..

DAYOCCUP =DAY-SCHEDULE (1,5) (0.25)  
              (6) (0.5)  
              (7,10) (1.)  
              (11,13) (0.75)  
              (14,16) (1.)  
              (17) (0.5)  
              (18,24) (0.25) ..

SSH\_OCCUP =DAY-SCHEDULE (1,6) (0.25)  
              (7,16) (0.14)  
              (17,24) (0.25) ..

OFFICEQUIP =DAY-SCHEDULE (1,5) (0.5)  
              (6) (0.75)  
              (7,16) (1.)  
              (17) (0.75)  
              (18,24) (0.5) ..

D\_ON =DAY-SCHEDULE (1,24) (1.) ..

SSHOFFEQUP =DAY-SCHEDULE (1,6) (0.6)  
              (7,16) (0.25)

(17,24) (0.6) ..

CONF OCCUP =DAY-SCHEDULE (1,9) (0.)  
(10,12) (1.,0.,1.)  
(13,24) (0.) ..

TOWERLIGHT =DAY-SCHEDULE (1,5) (0.)  
(6) (1.)  
(7,11) (0.)  
(12) (1.)  
(13,16) (0.)  
(17) (1.)  
(18,24) (0.) ..

TOWEQUIP =DAY-SCHEDULE (1,6) (1.)  
(7,14) (0.)  
(15,24) (1.) ..

ld\_off =DAY-SCHEDULE (1,24) (0.) ..

infiltow =DAY-SCHEDULE (1,6) (5.)  
(7,17) (1.)  
(18,24) (5.) ..

SUMINFIL =DAY-SCHEDULE (1,6) (1.)  
(7,18) (0.)  
(19,24) (1.) ..

WEEKLIGHTS =WEEK-SCHEDULE (WD) D\_LIGHTS  
(WEH) SSH\_LIGHTS ..

WEEKLYPEOP =WEEK-SCHEDULE (WD) DAYOCCUP  
(WEH) SSH\_OCCUP ..

W\_EQUIP =WEEK-SCHEDULE (WD) OFFICEQUIP  
(WEH) SSHOFFEQUP ..

W\_CONFOCU =WEEK-SCHEDULE (ALL) CONF OCCUP ..

LITETOWER =WEEK-SCHEDULE (ALL) TOWERLIGHT ..

T\_EQUIP =WEEK-SCHEDULE (ALL) TOWEQUIP ..

compequip =WEEK-SCHEDULE (ALL) D\_ON ..

lw\_off =WEEK-SCHEDULE (ALL) ld\_off ..

towinfil =WEEK-SCHEDULE (ALL) infiltow ..

INFILSUM =WEEK-SCHEDULE (ALL) SUMINFIL ..

\$ YEAR SCHEDULE  
y\_lights =SCHEDULE THRU DEC 31 WEEKLIGHTS ..

\$ YEARLYOCCUP  
A\_OCCUP =SCHEDULE THRU DEC 31 WEEKLYPEOP ..

\$ YEARLY EQUIPMENT

Y\_EQUIP =SCHEDULE THRU DEC 31 W\_EQUIP ..

\$ OCCUPANCYOFCONFERENCERM  
OCCUCONFRM =SCHEDULE THRU DEC 31 W\_CONFOCCU ..

\$ TOWER LIGHTS  
T\_LIGHTS =SCHEDULE THRU DEC 31 LITETOWER ..

\$ EQUIPMENT IN TOWER  
TOWEREQUIP =SCHEDULE THRU DEC 31 T\_EQUIP ..

\$ computer room equip  
equipcomp =SCHEDULE THRU DEC 31 compequip ..

L-hrly\_rps =SCHEDULE THRU MAR 12 lw\_off  
THRU MAR 13 compequip  
THRU SEP 7 lw\_off  
THRU SEP 8 compequip  
THRU DEC 31 lw\_off ..

\$ infiltration into tower  
towerinfil =SCHEDULE THRU APR 1 towinfil  
THRU NOV 1 INFILSUM  
THRU DEC 31 towinfil ..

\$ CONSTRUCTION TYPES

\$ ROOF OVER MAIN BUILDING  
TOP\_ROOF =LAYERS MATERIAL=(RG01,BR01,IN37,AL23)  
THICKNESS=(0.042,0.031,0.333,0.000) ..

REGROOF =CONSTRUCTION LAYERS = TOP\_ROOF  
ABSORPTANCE = 0.600 ..

\$ WALL AROUND TOWERS  
T\_WALL =LAYERS MATERIAL=(CC07,IN02,GP02)  
THICKNESS=(1.000,0.296,0.052) ..

TOWERWAL =CONSTRUCTION LAYERS = T\_WALL  
ABSORPTANCE = 0.650 ..

\$ FLOOR OF BLDG(NOT COMP ROOM)  
FLOOR =CONSTRUCTION U-VALUE = 0.800 ..

\$ DOME ON TOWER  
TOWEROOF =CONSTRUCTION U-VALUE = 0.048  
ABSORPTANCE = 0.400 ..

\$ CEILING WITH 4.5 FT PLENUM  
REGCEIL =LAYERS MATERIAL=(HF-E4,AC03)  
THICKNESS=(0.000,0.063) ..

CEILING =CONSTRUCTION LAYERS = REGCEIL ..

\$ CEILING WITH 4.5 FT PLENUM  
T\_CEILIG =LAYERS MATERIAL=(HF-E4,IN03,HF-E1)  
THICKNESS=(0.000,0.511,0.063) ..

TOWCEIL =CONSTRUCTION LAYERS = T\_CEILIG ..

\$ REGULAR WALL AROUND BUILDING  
WALL =LAYERS MATERIAL=(CB14, IN02, PW05, GP02)  
THICKNESS=(0.667, 0.296, 0.063, 0.052) ..  
REGWALL =CONSTRUCTION LAYERS = WALL  
ABSORPTANCE = 0.650 ..

\$ INT WAL IN COMPUTER ROOM  
C\_WALL =LAYERS MATERIAL=(CB14, IN02, PW05, GP02)  
THICKNESS=(0.667, 0.296, 0.063, 0.052) ..  
COMPWAL =CONSTRUCTION LAYERS = C\_WALL ..

\$ FLOOR OF COMPUTER ROOM  
FLOORCOM =CONSTRUCTION U-VALUE = 0.800 ..

\$ INT WAL IN COMPUTER ROOM  
I\_WALL =LAYERS MATERIAL=(CB12, PW05, GP02)  
THICKNESS=(0.667, 0.063, 0.052) ..  
INTWALL =CONSTRUCTION LAYERS = I\_WALL ..  
  
GEODSS =GLASS-TYPE GLASS-TYPE-CODE = 1  
INSIDE-EMISS = 0  
VIS-TRANS = 0.00 ..

#### \$ SPACE DESCRIPTION

CONFERENCE =SPACE AREA = 348.0 VOLUME = 2786.0  
ZONE-TYPE = CONDITIONED PEOPLE-SCHEDULE = OCCUCONFRM  
NUMBER-OF-People = 1.0 PEOPLE-HEAT-GAIN = 350.0  
PEOPLE-HG-LAT = 125.0 PEOPLE-HG-SENS = 250.0  
LIGHTING-TYPE = REC-FLUOR-NV LIGHTING-KW = 0.53  
LIGHT-TO-SPACE = 0.8 LIGHT-TO-OTHER = 0.2  
LIGHT-HEAT-TO = CONFPLENUM  
LIGHTING-SCHEDULE = OCCUCONFRM  
EQUIP-SCHEDULE = OCCUCONFRM EQUIPMENT-KW = 0.5  
FURNITURE-TYPE = HEAVY FURN-WEIGHT = 4.  
INF-METHOD = CRACK NEUTRAL-ZONE-HT = 6.0 ..

I-W HEIGHT = 8.0 WIDTH = 18.4 CONS = INTWALL

NEXT-TO = HALLS ..

I-W HEIGHT = 8.0 WIDTH = 19.0 CONS = INTWALL

NEXT-TO = HALLS ..

I-W HEIGHT = 8.0 WIDTH = 18.4 CONS = INTWALL

NEXT-TO = COMPUTERRM ..

I-W HEIGHT = 8.0 WIDTH = 19.0 CONS = INTWALL

NEXT-TO = COMPUTERRM ..

HALLS =SPACE AREA = 5285.5 VOLUME = 42284.0  
ZONE-TYPE = CONDITIONED PEOPLE-SCHEDULE = A\_OCCUP  
NUMBER-OF-People = 4.0 PEOPLE-HEAT-GAIN = 350.0  
PEOPLE-HG-LAT = 125.0 PEOPLE-HG-SENS = 250.0  
LIGHTING-TYPE = REC-FLUOR-NV LIGHTING-KW = 6.5  
LIGHT-TO-SPACE = 0.8 LIGHT-TO-OTHER = 0.2

LIGHT-HEAT-TO = HALLPLENUM  
 LIGHTING-SCHEDULE = y\_lights  
 EQUIP-SCHEDULE = Y\_EQUIP EQUIPMENT-KW = 5.35  
 FURNITURE-TYPE = LIGHT INF-METHOD = CRACK  
 NEUTRAL-ZONE-HT = 0.0 ..

E-W HEIGHT = 8.0 WIDTH = 80.0 CONS = REGWALL  
 AZIMUTH = 315 ..

E-W HEIGHT = 8.0 WIDTH = 117.6 CONS = REGWALL  
 AZIMUTH = 45 ..

E-W HEIGHT = 8.0 WIDTH = 80.0 CONS = REGWALL  
 AZIMUTH = 135 ..

U-W HEIGHT = 1.0 WIDTH = 80.0 CONS = FLOOR ..

U-W HEIGHT = 117.6 WIDTH = 1.0 CONS = FLOOR ..

U-W HEIGHT = 1.0 WIDTH = 80.0 CONS = FLOOR ..

**TOWER\_1** =SPACE AREA = 576.0 VOLUME = 14976.0  
 ZONE-TYPE = CONDITIONED PEOPLE-SCHEDULE = T\_LIGHTS  
 NUMBER-OF-PeOPLE = 2.0 LIGHTING-TYPE = INCAND  
 LIGHTING-KW = 1.23 LIGHTING-SCHEDULE = T\_LIGHTS  
 EQUIP-SCHEDULE = TOWEREQUIP EQUIPMENT-KW = 4.39  
 EQUIP-SENSIBLE = 0.3 INF-METHOD = AIR-CHANGE  
 AIR-CHANGES/Hr = 0.75 INF-SCHEDULE = towerinfil ..

ROOF HEIGHT = 24.0 WIDTH = 24.0 CONS = TOWEROOF  
 TILT = 0 ..

E-W HEIGHT = 26.0 WIDTH = 24.0 CONS = TOWERWAL  
 AZIMUTH = 45 ..

E-W HEIGHT = 26.0 WIDTH = 24.0 CONS = TOWERWAL  
 AZIMUTH = 135 ..

E-W HEIGHT = 26.0 WIDTH = 24.0 CONS = TOWERWAL  
 AZIMUTH = 225 ..

U-W HEIGHT = 24.0 WIDTH = 1.0 CONS = FLOOR ..

E-W HEIGHT = 26.0 WIDTH = 24.0 CONS = TOWERWAL  
 AZIMUTH = 315 ..

U-W HEIGHT = 24.0 WIDTH = 1.0 CONS = FLOOR ..

U-W HEIGHT = 24.0 WIDTH = 1.0 CONS = FLOOR ..

**TOWER\_3** =SPACE AREA = 576.0 VOLUME = 14976.0  
 ZONE-TYPE = CONDITIONED PEOPLE-SCHEDULE = T\_LIGHTS  
 NUMBER-OF-PeOPLE = 2.0 LIGHTING-TYPE = INCAND  
 LIGHTING-KW = 1.23 LIGHTING-SCHEDULE = T\_LIGHTS  
 EQUIP-SCHEDULE = TOWEREQUIP EQUIPMENT-KW = 4.39  
 EQUIP-SENSIBLE = 0.3 INF-METHOD = AIR-CHANGE

AIR-CHANGES/HR = 0.75 INF-SCHEDULE = towerinfil ..

ROOF HEIGHT = 24.0 WIDTH = 24.0 CONS = TOWEROOF  
TILT = 0 ..

E-W HEIGHT = 26.0 WIDTH = 24.0 CONS = TOWERWAL  
AZIMUTH = 45 ..

E-W HEIGHT = 26.0 WIDTH = 24.0 CONS = TOWERWAL  
AZIMUTH = 135 ..

E-W HEIGHT = 26.0 WIDTH = 24.0 CONS = TOWERWAL  
AZIMUTH = 225 ..

U-W HEIGHT = 24.0 WIDTH = 1.0 CONS = FLOOR ..

E-W HEIGHT = 26.0 WIDTH = 24.0 CONS = TOWERWAL  
AZIMUTH = 315 ..

U-W HEIGHT = 24.0 WIDTH = 1.0 CONS = FLOOR ..

U-W HEIGHT = 24.0 WIDTH = 1.0 CONS = FLOOR ..

U-W HEIGHT = 24.0 WIDTH = 1.0 CONS = FLOOR ..

COMPUTERRM =SPACE AREA = 4037.4 VOLUME = 36337.0  
ZONE-TYPE = CONDITIONED PEOPLE-SCHEDULE = equipcomp  
NUMBER-OF-PeOPLE = 4.0 PEOPLE-HEAT-GAIN = 350.0  
PEOPLE-HG-LAT = 125.0 PEOPLE-HG-SENS = 250.0  
LIGHTING-TYPE = REC-FLUOR-NV LIGHTING-KW = 4.72  
LIGHT-TO-SPACE = 0.8 LIGHT-TO-OTHER = 0.2  
LIGHT-HEAT-TO = COMPRMPLN  
LIGHTING-SCHEDULE = equipcomp  
EQUIP-SCHEDULE = equipcomp EQUIPMENT-KW = 29.0  
EQUIP-SENSIBLE = 0.67 INF-METHOD = CRACK  
NEUTRAL-ZONE-HT = 0.0 ..

I-W HEIGHT = 9.0 WIDTH = 59.6 CONS = INTWALL  
NEXT-TO = HALLS ..

I-W HEIGHT = 9.0 WIDTH = 40.6 CONS = INTWALL  
NEXT-TO = HALLS ..

I-W HEIGHT = 9.0 WIDTH = 49.3 CONS = INTWALL  
NEXT-TO = HALLS ..

I-W HEIGHT = 9.0 WIDTH = 18.3 CONS = INTWALL  
NEXT-TO = CONFERENCE ..

I-W HEIGHT = 9.0 WIDTH = 19.0 CONS = INTWALL  
NEXT-TO = CONFERENCE ..

E-W HEIGHT = 9.0 WIDTH = 67.6 CONS = COMPWAL  
AZIMUTH = 225 ..

U-W HEIGHT = 67.6 WIDTH = 1.0 CONS = FLOORCOM ..

CONFPLENUM =SPACE AREA = 348.0 VOLUME = 1879.2

ZONE-TYPE = UNCONDITIONED ..

I-W HEIGHT = 5.4 WIDTH = 18.4 CONS = INTWALL  
NEXT-TO = COMPRMPLN ..

I-W HEIGHT = 5.4 WIDTH = 19.0 CONS = INTWALL  
NEXT-TO = COMPRMPLN ..

I-W HEIGHT = 5.4 WIDTH = 18.4 CONS = INTWALL  
NEXT-TO = HALLPLENUM ..

I-W HEIGHT = 5.4 WIDTH = 19.0 CONS = INTWALL  
NEXT-TO = HALLPLENUM ..

I-W HEIGHT = 18.4 WIDTH = 19.0 CONS = CEILING  
NEXT-TO = CONFERENCE ..

ROOF HEIGHT = 17.4 WIDTH = 19.0 CONS = REGROOF  
TILT = 0 ..

ROOF HEIGHT = 1.0 WIDTH = 19.0 CONS = REGROOF  
TILT = 0 ..

COMPRMPLN =SPACE AREA = 4037.4 VOLUME = 17764.6  
ZONE-TYPE = UNCONDITIONED INF-METHOD = CRACK  
NEUTRAL-ZONE-HT = 0.0 ..

I-W HEIGHT = 4.4 WIDTH = 59.6 CONS = INTWALL  
NEXT-TO = HALLPLENUM ..

I-W HEIGHT = 4.4 WIDTH = 40.6 CONS = INTWALL  
NEXT-TO = HALLPLENUM ..

I-W HEIGHT = 4.4 WIDTH = 49.3 CONS = INTWALL  
NEXT-TO = HALLPLENUM ..

I-W HEIGHT = 4.4 WIDTH = 18.3 CONS = INTWALL  
NEXT-TO = CONFPLENUM ..

I-W HEIGHT = 4.4 WIDTH = 19.0 CONS = INTWALL  
NEXT-TO = CONFPLENUM ..

I-W HEIGHT = 49.3 WIDTH = 59.6 CONS = CEILING  
NEXT-TO = COMPUTERRM ..

I-W HEIGHT = 18.3 WIDTH = 40.6 CONS = CEILING  
NEXT-TO = COMPUTERRM ..

E-W HEIGHT = 4.4 WIDTH = 67.6 CONS = COMPWAL  
AZIMUTH = 225 ..

ROOF HEIGHT = 49.3 WIDTH = 59.6 CONS = REGROOF  
TILT = 0 ..

ROOF HEIGHT = 18.3 WIDTH = 40.6 CONS = REGROOF  
TILT = 0 ..

HALLPLENUM =SPACE AREA = 5285.5 VOLUME = 28541.7

ZONE-TYPE = UNCONDITIONED INF-METHOD = CRACK  
 NEUTRAL-ZONE-HT = 0.0 ..

E-W HEIGHT = 5.4 WIDTH = 80.0 CONS = REGWALL  
 AZIMUTH = 315 ..

E-W HEIGHT = 5.4 WIDTH = 117.6 CONS = REGWALL  
 AZIMUTH = 45 ..

E-W HEIGHT = 5.4 WIDTH = 80.0 CONS = REGWALL  
 AZIMUTH = 135 ..

I-W HEIGHT = 25.0 WIDTH = 80.0 CONS = CEILING  
 NEXT-TO = HALLS ..

I-W HEIGHT = 67.6 WIDTH = 19.0 CONS = CEILING  
 NEXT-TO = HALLS ..

I-W HEIGHT = 25.0 WIDTH = 80.0 CONS = CEILING  
 NEXT-TO = HALLS ..

ROOF HEIGHT = 25.0 WIDTH = 80.0 CONS = REGROOF  
 TILT = 0 ..

ROOF HEIGHT = 67.6 WIDTH = 19.0 CONS = REGROOF  
 TILT = 0 ..

ROOF HEIGHT = 25.0 WIDTH = 80.0 CONS = REGROOF  
 TILT = 0 ..

**TOWER\_2** =SPACE AREA = 576.0 VOLUME = 14976.0  
 ZONE-TYPE = CONDITIONED PEOPLE-SCHEDULE = T\_LIGHTS  
 NUMBER-OF-PEOPLE = 2.0 LIGHTING-TYPE = INCAND  
 LIGHTING-KW = 1.23 LIGHTING-SCHEDULE = T\_LIGHTS  
 EQUIP-SCHEDULE = TOWEREQUIP EQUIPMENT-KW = 4.39  
 EQUIP-SENSIBLE = 0.3 INF-METHOD = AIR-CHANGE  
 AIR-CHANGES/HR = 0.75 INF-SCHEDULE = towerinfil ..

ROOF HEIGHT = 24.0 WIDTH = 24.0 CONS = TOWEROOF  
 TILT = 0 ..

E-W HEIGHT = 26.0 WIDTH = 24.0 CONS = TOWERWAL  
 AZIMUTH = 45 ..

E-W HEIGHT = 26.0 WIDTH = 24.0 CONS = TOWERWAL  
 AZIMUTH = 135 ..

E-W HEIGHT = 26.0 WIDTH = 24.0 CONS = TOWERWAL  
 AZIMUTH = 225 ..

U-W HEIGHT = 24.0 WIDTH = 1.0 CONS = FLOOR ..

E-W HEIGHT = 26.0 WIDTH = 24.0 CONS = TOWERWAL  
 AZIMUTH = 315 ..

U-W HEIGHT = 24.0 WIDTH = 1.0 CONS = FLOOR ..

U-W HEIGHT = 24.0 WIDTH = 1.0 CONS = FLOOR ..

U-W HEIGHT = 24.0 WIDTH = 1.0 CONS = FLOOR ..

\$ HOURLY REPORT DESCRIPTION

```
GLOBAL_BLK =REPORT-BLOCK VARIABLE-TYPE = GLOBAL
             VARIABLE-LIST = (24,17) ..
glob_hrly = HOURLY-REPORT REPORT-SCHEDULE = L-hrly_rps
             REPORT-BLOCK = (GLOBAL_BLK)

..
dup_glob_b = HOURLY-REPORT REPORT-SCHEDULE = L-hrly_rps
             REPORT-BLOCK = (GLOBAL_BLK)

..
END ..
COMPUTE LOADS ..
```

INPUT SYSTEMS ..

```
$-----$  
$ E Z - D O E S Y S T E M S I N P U T S $  
$-----$
```

\$ GENERAL PROJECT DATA

```
TITLE LINE-1 *      EMC      ENGINEERS      INC.      *
LINE-2 *EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC*
LINE-3 *      DENVER,      CO      80227      *

LINE-4 *GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION      * ..
ABORT          ERRORS ..
DIAGNOSTIC     WARNINGS ..
SYSTEMS-REPORT VERIFICATION=(SV-A)
SUMMARY=(SS-A,SS-C,SS-K,SS-O) ..
```

\$ SCHEDULES

```
DAILYTEMP =DAY-SCHEDULE (1,24) (68.) ..
TOWER_AHU =DAY-SCHEDULE (1,24) (1.) ..
S_GEODSSYS =DAY-SCHEDULE (1,7) (100.)
             (8,15) (50.)
             (16,24) (100.) ..
CAMCOMPRES =DAY-SCHEDULE (1,7) (100.)
             (8,15) (0.)
             (16,24) (100.) ..
CRU        =DAY-SCHEDULE (1,24) (72.) ..
WINTOWER   =DAY-SCHEDULE (1,24) (0.) ..
COOLCOMP   =DAY-SCHEDULE (1,24) (72.) ..
ahu2       =DAY-SCHEDULE (1,24) (0.26) ..

INSIDE     =WEEK-SCHEDULE (ALL) DAILYTEMP ..
W_GEODSS   =WEEK-SCHEDULE (ALL) TOWER_AHU ..
WINGEODSS =WEEK-SCHEDULE (ALL) WINTOWER ..
W_CAMERA    =WEEK-SCHEDULE (ALL) CAMCOMPRES ..
COMPHEAT   =WEEK-SCHEDULE (ALL) CRU ..
```

```

CONF_AHU =WEEK-SCHEDULE (ALL) TOWER_AHU ...
BIGAHU =WEEK-SCHEDULE (ALL) TOWER_AHU ...
COMPCOOL =WEEK-SCHEDULE (ALL) COOLCOMP ...
w_ahu2 =WEEK-SCHEDULE (ALL) ahu2 ...

$ FULL TIME RUNNING AHU
FULL_ON =SCHEDULE THRU DEC 31 CONF_AHU ...

$ YEARLY SYSTEMS TOWERS
TOWERYEAR =SCHEDULE THRU APR 1 WINGEODSS
            THRU NOV 1 W_GEODSS
            THRU DEC 31 WINGEODSS ...

$ YEARLY CAMERA COMPRESSO
Y_CAMERA =SCHEDULE THRU DEC 31 W_CAMERA ...

$ TEMPERATURE IN BLDG
BLDGTEMP =SCHEDULE THRU DEC 31 INSIDE ...

$ TEMPERATURE OF COMPRESSOR
COMPUTER =SCHEDULE THRU DEC 31 COMPHEAT ...

s_off =SCHEDULE THRU DEC 31 WINGEODSS ...

hrly-sched =SCHEDULE THRU MAR 12 WINGEODSS
            THRU MAR 13 CONF_AHU
            THRU SEP 7 WINGEODSS
            THRU SEP 8 CONF_AHU
            THRU DEC 31 WINGEODSS ...

$ HEATER FOR AHU #1
HEATER =SCHEDULE THRU APR 1 CONF_AHU
            THRU NOV 1 WINGEODSS
            THRU DEC 31 CONF_AHU ...

$ TEMP TO COOL IN COMP RM
COOL_COMP =SCHEDULE THRU DEC 31 COMPCOOL ...

$ outside air to ahu2
oaahu2 =SCHEDULE THRU DEC 31 w_ahu2 ...

```

\$ ZONE DESCRIPTION

```

CONFERENCE =ZONE DESIGN-HEAT-T = 68.0 DESIGN-COOL-T = 72.0
                HEAT-TEMP-SCH = BLDGTEMP COOL-TEMP-SCH = COMPUTER
                ZONE-TYPE = CONDITIONED MAX-HEAT-RATE = -1.0
                THERMOSTAT-TYPE = PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNED-CFM = 800.
                SIZING-OPTION = FROM-LOADS COOLING-CAPACITY = 10000.0
                COOL-SH-CAP = 8000.0 ...

HALLS      =ZONE DESIGN-HEAT-T = 68.0 DESIGN-COOL-T = 72.0
                HEAT-TEMP-SCH = BLDGTEMP COOL-TEMP-SCH = COMPUTER
                ZONE-TYPE = CONDITIONED

```

THERMOSTAT-TYPE = PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNED-CFM = 4770.  
 OUTSIDE-AIR-CFM = 1247. SIZING-OPTION = FROM-LOADS  
 EXHAUST-CFM = 1247.0 HEATING-CAPACITY = -76817.0  
 COOLING-CAPACITY = 113658.0 COOL-SH-CAP = 102183.0 ...

**TOWER\_1** =ZONE DESIGN-HEAT-T = 68.0 DESIGN-COOL-T = 72.0  
 HEAT-TEMP-SCH = BLDGTEMP COOL-TEMP-SCH = COMPUTER  
 ZONE-TYPE = CONDITIONED MAX-HEAT-RATE = -1.0  
 THERMOSTAT-TYPE = PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNED-CFM = 2000.  
 SIZING-OPTION = FROM-LOADS EXHAUST-CFM = 2000.0  
 COOLING-CAPACITY = 62702.0 COOL-SH-CAP = 62702.0 ...

**TOWER\_3** =ZONE DESIGN-HEAT-T = 68.0 DESIGN-COOL-T = 72.0  
 HEAT-TEMP-SCH = BLDGTEMP COOL-TEMP-SCH = COMPUTER  
 ZONE-TYPE = CONDITIONED MAX-HEAT-RATE = -1.0  
 THERMOSTAT-TYPE = PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNED-CFM = 2000.  
 SIZING-OPTION = FROM-LOADS EXHAUST-CFM = 2000.0  
 COOLING-CAPACITY = 62866.0 COOL-SH-CAP = 62866.0 ...

**COMPUTERRM** =ZONE DESIGN-HEAT-T = 68.0 DESIGN-COOL-T = 72.0  
 HEAT-TEMP-SCH = BLDGTEMP COOL-TEMP-SCH = COOL\_COMP  
 ZONE-TYPE = CONDITIONED  
 THERMOSTAT-TYPE = PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNED-CFM = 36000.  
 SIZING-OPTION = FROM-LOADS RATED-CFM = 36000.0  
 HEATING-CAPACITY = -345300.0  
 COOLING-CAPACITY = 978600.0 COOL-SH-CAP = 838200.0 ...

**CONFPLENUM** =ZONE DESIGN-HEAT-T = 68.0 DESIGN-COOL-T = 72.0  
 ZONE-TYPE = UNCONDITIONED SIZING-OPTION = FROM-LOADS ...

**COMPRMPLN** =ZONE DESIGN-HEAT-T = 68.0 DESIGN-COOL-T = 72.0  
 ZONE-TYPE = UNCONDITIONED SIZING-OPTION = FROM-LOADS ...

**HALLPLENUM** =ZONE DESIGN-HEAT-T = 68.0 DESIGN-COOL-T = 72.0  
 ZONE-TYPE = UNCONDITIONED SIZING-OPTION = FROM-LOADS ...

**TOWER\_2** =ZONE DESIGN-HEAT-T = 68.0 DESIGN-COOL-T = 72.0  
 HEAT-TEMP-SCH = BLDGTEMP COOL-TEMP-SCH = COMPUTER  
 ZONE-TYPE = CONDITIONED MAX-HEAT-RATE = -1.0  
 THERMOSTAT-TYPE = PROPORTIONAL ASSIGNED-CFM = 2000.  
 SIZING-OPTION = FROM-LOADS EXHAUST-CFM = 2000.0  
 COOLING-CAPACITY = 62702.0 COOL-SH-CAP = 62702.0 ...

#### \$ SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

**1TOWER** =SYSTEM SYSTEM-TYPE = SZRH  
 MAX-SUPPLY-T = 120.0 MIN-SUPPLY-T = 55.0  
 HEATING-SCHEDULE = s\_off  
 COOLING-SCHEDULE = TOWERYEAR MAX-HUMIDITY = 90.0  
 OA-CONTROL = FIXED SUPPLY-CFM = 2000.  
 MIN-OUTSIDE-AIR = 1.0 FAN-SCHEDULE = TOWERYEAR  
 SUPPLY-STATIC = 2.5 SUPPLY-EFF = 0.72  
 NIGHT-CYCLE-CTRL = STAY-OFF NIGHT-VENT-DT = 0.0  
 MIN-CFM-RATIO = 1.0 REHEAT-DELTA-T = 65.  
 COOLING-CAPACITY = 40000. COOL-SH-CAP = 40000.  
 COOL-FT-MIN = 0. FURNACE-AUX = 0.  
 FURNACE-HIR = 1.0  
 ZONE-NAMES = (TOWER\_1) ...

CRUINT =SYSTEM SYSTEM-TYPE = SZRH  
 MAX-SUPPLY-T = 72.0 MIN-SUPPLY-T = 62.0  
 HEATING-SCHEDULE = FULL\_ON  
 COOLING-SCHEDULE = FULL\_ON MAX-HUMIDITY = 55.0  
 MIN-HUMIDITY = 30.0 OA-CONTROL = FIXED  
 SUPPLY-CFM = 36000. RETURN-CFM = 36000.  
 MAX-OA-FRACTION = 0.0 FAN-SCHEDULE = FULL\_ON  
 SUPPLY-STATIC = 1.5 SUPPLY-EFF = 0.72  
 NIGHT-CYCLE-CTRL = STAY-OFF NIGHT-VENT-DT = 0.0  
 MIN-CFM-RATIO = 1.0 REHEAT-DELTA-T = 65.  
 COOLING-CAPACITY = 978600. COOL-SH-CAP = 838200.  
 COOL-FT-MIN = 0. HEATING-CAPACITY = -345300.  
 FURNACE-AUX = 0. HEAT-SOURCE = ELECTRIC  
 RETURN-AIR-PATH = DUCT  
 ZONE-NAMES = (COMPUTERRM, COMPRMPLN) ..

2TOWER =SYSTEM SYSTEM-TYPE = SZRH  
 MAX-SUPPLY-T = 120.0 MIN-SUPPLY-T = 55.0  
 HEATING-SCHEDULE = s\_off  
 COOLING-SCHEDULE = TOWERYEAR MAX-HUMIDITY = 90.0  
 OA-CONTROL = FIXED SUPPLY-CFM = 2000.  
 MIN-OUTSIDE-AIR = 1.0 FAN-SCHEDULE = TOWERYEAR  
 SUPPLY-STATIC = 2.5 SUPPLY-EFF = 0.72  
 NIGHT-CYCLE-CTRL = STAY-OFF NIGHT-VENT-DT = 0.0  
 MIN-CFM-RATIO = 1.0 REHEAT-DELTA-T = 65.  
 COOLING-CAPACITY = 40000. COOL-SH-CAP = 40000.  
 COOL-FT-MIN = 0. FURNACE-AUX = 0.  
 FURNACE-HIR = 1.0  
 ZONE-NAMES = (TOWER\_2) ..

3TOWER =SYSTEM SYSTEM-TYPE = SZRH  
 MAX-SUPPLY-T = 120.0 MIN-SUPPLY-T = 55.0  
 HEATING-SCHEDULE = s\_off  
 COOLING-SCHEDULE = TOWERYEAR MAX-HUMIDITY = 90.0  
 OA-CONTROL = FIXED SUPPLY-CFM = 2000.  
 MIN-OUTSIDE-AIR = 1.0 FAN-SCHEDULE = TOWERYEAR  
 SUPPLY-STATIC = 2.5 SUPPLY-EFF = 0.72  
 NIGHT-CYCLE-CTRL = STAY-OFF NIGHT-VENT-DT = 0.0  
 MIN-CFM-RATIO = 1.0 REHEAT-DELTA-T = 65.  
 COOLING-CAPACITY = 40000. COOL-SH-CAP = 40000.  
 COOL-FT-MIN = 0. FURNACE-AUX = 0.  
 FURNACE-HIR = 1.0  
 ZONE-NAMES = (TOWER\_3) ..

REGAHU =SYSTEM SYSTEM-TYPE = SZRH  
 MAX-SUPPLY-T = 120.0 MIN-SUPPLY-T = 58.0  
 HEATING-SCHEDULE = FULL\_ON  
 COOLING-SCHEDULE = FULL\_ON HEAT-SET-T = 120.0  
 MAX-HUMIDITY = 80.0 OA-CONTROL = FIXED  
 SUPPLY-CFM = 4770. RETURN-CFM = 3523.  
 MIN-OUTSIDE-AIR = 0.26 MAX-OA-FRACTION = 0.26  
 FAN-SCHEDULE = FULL\_ON SUPPLY-STATIC = 3.0  
 SUPPLY-EFF = 0.72 NIGHT-CYCLE-CTRL = STAY-OFF  
 NIGHT-VENT-DT = 0.0 MIN-CFM-RATIO = 1.0  
 REHEAT-DELTA-T = 65. COOLING-CAPACITY = 113658.  
 COOL-SH-CAP = 102183. COOL-FT-MIN = 0.  
 HEATING-CAPACITY = -76817. FURNACE-AUX = 0.  
 FURNACE-HIR = 1.0 HEAT-SOURCE = ELECTRIC  
 RETURN-AIR-PATH = DUCT  
 ZONE-NAMES = (HALLS, HALLPLENUM) ..

```
CONFRMAHU =SYSTEM      SYSTEM-TYPE = SZRH
            MAX-SUPPLY-T = 70.0  MIN-SUPPLY-T = 62.0
            HEATING-SCHEDULE = s_off  COOLING-SCHEDULE = FULL_ON
            MAX-HUMIDITY = 50.0  OA-CONTROL = FIXED
            SUPPLY-CFM = 800.  RETURN-CFM = 800.
            MAX-OA-FRACTION = 0.0  FAN-SCHEDULE = FULL_ON
            SUPPLY-DELTA-T = 2.42  SUPPLY-KW = 0.00031
            NIGHT-CYCLE-CTRL = STAY-OFF  NIGHT-VENT-DT = 0.0
            MIN-CFM-RATIO = 1.0  REHEAT-DELTA-T = 65.
            COOLING-CAPACITY = 10000.  COOL-SH-CAP = 8000.
            COOL-FT-MIN = 0.  FURNACE-AUX = 0.
            FURNACE-HIR = 1.0  RETURN-AIR-PATH = DUCT
            ZONE-NAMES = (CONFERENCE, CONFPLENUM) ..
```

#### \$ HOURLY REPORT DESCRIPTION

```
zone-blk =REPORT-BLOCK VARIABLE-TYPE = TOWER_1
            VARIABLE-LIST = (6,7,17,18) ..
ahu-blk =REPORT-BLOCK VARIABLE-TYPE = 1TOWER
            VARIABLE-LIST = (5,6,8,1,2,17) ..
hrly-Ozone = HOURLY-REPORT REPORT-SCHEDULE = hrly-sched
            REPORT-BLOCK = (zone-blk)
.
.
.
hrly-sys1 = HOURLY-REPORT REPORT-SCHEDULE = hrly-sched
            REPORT-BLOCK = (ahu-blk)
.
.
.
END ..
COMPUTE SYSTEMS ..
```

```
INPUT PLANT ..
```

```
$-----$  
$ E Z - D O E   P L A N T S   I N P U T $  
$-----$
```

#### \$ GENERAL PROJECT DATA

```
TITLE LINE-1 *      EMC      ENGINEERS      INC.      *
      LINE-2 *EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC*
      LINE-3 *      DENVER,       CO      80227      *
.
.
.
LINE-4 *GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION      * ..
```

```
ABORT          ERRORS ..
DIAGNOSTIC     WARNINGS ..
PLANT-REPORT    SUMMARY=(PS-A,PS-B,PS-C,BEPS)
.
```

#### \$ SCHEDULES

```
PD_ON        =DAY-SCHEDULE (1,24) (1.) ..
.
.
PW_ON        =WEEK-SCHEDULE (ALL) PD_ON ..
```

```
$ GEODSSP_ON
```

P\_ON =SCHEDULE THRU DEC 31 PW\_ON ..

\$ EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION

\$ CURVE-FIT

CHILLER1 = CURVE-FIT TYPE = QUADRATIC  
OUTPUT-MIN = 1.00  
COEF = ( 0.088, 1.138, -0.226) ..

CHILLERS =PLANT-EQUIPMENT TYPE = HERM-REC-CHLR  
SIZE = 0.4 INSTALLED-NUMBER = 2  
MAX-NUMBER-AVAIL = 2 ..

PLANT-PARAMETERS HERM-REC-COND-TYPE = AIR HERM-REC-UNL-RAT = 1.0  
CHILL-WTR-T = 45. CCIRC-MOTOR-EFF = 0.85  
CCIRC-HEAD = 45.0 HCIRC-MOTOR-EFF = 0.83  
HCIRC-HEAD = 0.0 ..

PART-LOAD-RATIO TYPE = HERM-REC-CHLR  
MIN-RATIO = 0.2500 MAX-RATIO = 1.0000  
OPERATING-RATIO = 1.0000 ELEC-INPUT-RATIO = 0.4071 ..

ENERGY-RESOURCE RESOURCE = ELECTRICITY SOURCE-SITE-EFF = 1.000 ..  
ENERGY-RESOURCE RESOURCE = NATURAL-GAS ..

EQUIPMENT-QUAD HERM-REC-EIR-FPLR = CHILLER1 ..

END ..  
COMPUTE PLANT ..  
STOP ..

## BUILDING ENERGY ANALYSIS PROGRAM

DEVELOPED BY  
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY/UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
AND  
James J. Hirsch/HIRSCH & ASSOCIATES/(805) 482-5515

WITH MAJOR SUPPORT FROM  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY  
ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR CONSERVATION AND RENEWABLE ENERGY  
OFFICE OF BUILDINGS AND COMMUNITY SYSTEMS  
BUILDING SYSTEMS DIVISION

## LEGAL NOTICE

THIS PROGRAM WAS PREPARED AS AN ACCOUNT OF WORK SPONSORED BY THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT AND OTHERS, NEITHER THE UNITED STATES NOR THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, NOR JAMES J. HIRSCH, NOR OTHER SPONSORS, NOR ANY OF THEIR EMPLOYEES, NOR ANY OF THEIR CONTRACTORS, SUBCONTRACTORS, OR THEIR EMPLOYEES MAKES ANY WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, OR ASSUMES ANY LEGAL LIABILITY OR RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ACCURACY, COMPLETENESS OR USEFULNESS OF ANY DATA OR RESULTS PRESENTED, APPARATUS, INFORMATION, PRODUCT OR PROCESS DISCLOSED, OR REPRESENTS THAT ITS USE WOULD NOT INFRINGE PRIVATELY OWNED RIGHTS.

LBL RELEASE DEC 1990 version : JJHirsch PC 2.1D-017  
Elite Software PC DOE-2.1D released in April 1993.  
Copyright (c) Elite Software Development, 1993. All Rights Reserved.

-----  
EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 LDL RUN 1  
DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION  
REPORT- LV-B SUMMARY OF SPACES OCCURRING IN THE PROJECT TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N  
-----

NUMBER OF SPACES	9	EXTERIOR	8	INTERIOR	1				
SPACE	SPACE MULT	SPACE TYPE	LIGHTING (WATT / SQFT)	EQUIP (WATT / SQFT)	INFILTRATION METHOD	AIR CHANGES PER HOUR	AREA (SQFT)	VOLUME (CUFT)	
CONFERENCE	1.0	INT	0.0	1.52	1.0	1.44	CRACK	0.00	348.00
HALLS	1.0	EXT	0.0	1.23	4.0	1.01	CRACK	0.00	5285.50
TOWER_1	1.0	EXT	0.0	2.14	2.0	7.62	AIR-CHANGE	0.75	576.00
TOWER_3	1.0	EXT	0.0	2.14	2.0	7.62	AIR-CHANGE	0.75	576.00
COMPUTERRM	1.0	EXT	0.0	1.17	4.0	7.18	CRACK	0.00	4037.40
CONFPLENUM	1.0	EXT	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.00	NO-INPILT.	0.00	348.00
COMPRMPLN	1.0	EXT	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.00	CRACK	0.00	4037.40
HALLPLENUM	1.0	EXT	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.00	CRACK	0.00	5285.50
TOWER_2	1.0	EXT	0.0	2.14	2.0	7.62	AIR-CHANGE	0.75	576.00
BUILDING TOTALS						15.0		21069.80	174520.50

-----  
EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 LDL RUN 1  
DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION  
REPORT- LV-D DETAILS OF EXTERIOR SURFACES IN THE PROJECT TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N  
-----

NUMBER OF EXTERIOR SURFACES 30 RECTANGULAR 30 OTHER 0  
(U-VALUE INCLUDES INSIDE AIR FILM PLUS OUTSIDE AIR FILM AT 7.5 MPH WINDSPEED )

SURFACE	SPACE	- - - G L A S S - - -	U-VALUE (BTU/HR-SQFT-F)	AREA (SQFT)	- - - W A L L - - -	U-VALUE (BTU/HR-SQFT-F)	AREA (SQFT)	- - - W A L L + G L A S S -	U-VALUE (BTU/HR-SQFT-F)	AREA (SQFT)	AZIMUTH
HALLS		0.000	0.00	0.066	940.80	0.066	940.80	NORTH-EAST			
TOWER_1		0.000	0.00	0.068	624.00	0.068	624.00	NORTH-EAST			
TOWER_3		0.000	0.00	0.068	624.00	0.068	624.00	NORTH-EAST			
HALLPLENUM		0.000	0.00	0.066	635.04	0.066	635.04	NORTH-EAST			
TOWER_2		0.000	0.00	0.068	624.00	0.068	624.00	NORTH-EAST			
TOWER_3		0.000	0.00	0.068	624.00	0.068	624.00	SOUTH-EAST			
TOWER_1		0.000	0.00	0.068	624.00	0.068	624.00	SOUTH-EAST			
HALLPLENUM		0.000	0.00	0.066	432.00	0.066	432.00	SOUTH-EAST			
HALLS		0.000	0.00	0.066	640.00	0.066	640.00	SOUTH-EAST			
TOWER_2		0.000	0.00	0.068	624.00	0.068	624.00	SOUTH-EAST			
TOWER_1		0.000	0.00	0.068	624.00	0.068	624.00	SOUTH-EAST			
TOWER_3		0.000	0.00	0.068	624.00	0.068	624.00	SOUTH-WEST			
COMPUTERRM		0.000	0.00	0.066	608.40	0.066	608.40	SOUTH-WEST			
COMPRMPLN		0.000	0.00	0.066	297.44	0.066	297.44	SOUTH-WEST			
TOWER_2		0.000	0.00	0.068	624.00	0.068	624.00	SOUTH-WEST			
TOWER_1		0.000	0.00	0.068	624.00	0.068	624.00	SOUTH-WEST			
HALLS		0.000	0.00	0.066	640.00	0.066	640.00	NORTH-WEST			
HALLPLENUM		0.000	0.00	0.066	432.00	0.066	432.00	NORTH-WEST			
TOWER_3		0.000	0.00	0.068	624.00	0.068	624.00	NORTH-WEST			
TOWER_2		0.000	0.00	0.068	624.00	0.068	624.00	NORTH-WEST			
CONFPLENUM		0.000	0.00	0.053	330.60	0.053	330.60	ROOF			
CONFPLENUM		0.000	0.00	0.053	19.00	0.053	19.00	ROOF			

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 LDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION  
 REPORT- LV-D DETAILS OF EXTERIOR SURFACES IN THE PROJECT TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N

HALLPLENUM	0.000	0.00	0.053	2000.00	0.053	2000.00	ROOF
HALLPLENUM	0.000	0.00	0.053	1284.40	0.053	1284.40	ROOF
HALLPLENUM	0.000	0.00	0.053	2000.00	0.053	2000.00	ROOF
TOWER_2	0.000	0.00	0.047	576.00	0.047	576.00	ROOF
TOWER_3	0.000	0.00	0.047	576.00	0.047	576.00	ROOF
COMPRMPLN	0.000	0.00	0.053	2938.28	0.053	2938.28	ROOF
COMPRMPLN	0.000	0.00	0.053	742.98	0.053	742.98	ROOF
TOWER_1	0.000	0.00	0.047	576.00	0.047	576.00	ROOF
HALLS	0.000	0.00	0.800	80.00	0.800	80.00	UNDERGRND
HALLS	0.000	0.00	0.800	117.60	0.800	117.60	UNDERGRND
HALLS	0.000	0.00	0.800	80.00	0.800	80.00	UNDERGRND
TOWER_1	0.000	0.00	0.800	24.00	0.800	24.00	UNDERGRND
TOWER_1	0.000	0.00	0.800	24.00	0.800	24.00	UNDERGRND
TOWER_1	0.000	0.00	0.800	24.00	0.800	24.00	UNDERGRND
TOWER_1	0.000	0.00	0.800	24.00	0.800	24.00	UNDERGRND
TOWER_3	0.000	0.00	0.800	24.00	0.800	24.00	UNDERGRND
TOWER_3	0.000	0.00	0.800	24.00	0.800	24.00	UNDERGRND
TOWER_3	0.000	0.00	0.800	24.00	0.800	24.00	UNDERGRND
TOWER_3	0.000	0.00	0.800	24.00	0.800	24.00	UNDERGRND
TOWER_3	0.000	0.00	0.800	24.00	0.800	24.00	UNDERGRND
COMPUTERRM	0.000	0.00	0.800	67.60	0.800	67.60	UNDERGRND
TOWER_2	0.000	0.00	0.800	24.00	0.800	24.00	UNDERGRND
TOWER_2	0.000	0.00	0.800	24.00	0.800	24.00	UNDERGRND
TOWER_2	0.000	0.00	0.800	24.00	0.800	24.00	UNDERGRND
TOWER_2	0.000	0.00	0.800	24.00	0.800	24.00	UNDERGRND

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 LDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION  
 REPORT- LV-D DETAILS OF EXTERIOR SURFACES IN THE PROJECT TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N

	AVERAGE U-VALUE/GLASS (BTU/HR-SQFT-F)	AVERAGE U-VALUE/WALLS (BTU/HR-SQFT-F)	AVERAGE U-VALUE WALLS+GLASS (BTU/HR-SQFT-F)	GLASS AREA (SQFT)	OPAQUE AREA (SQFT)	GLASS+OPAQUE AREA (SQFT)
NORTH-EAST	0.000	0.067	0.067	0.00	3447.84	3447.84
SOUTH-EAST	0.000	0.067	0.067	0.00	2944.00	2944.00
SOUTH-WEST	0.000	0.067	0.067	0.00	2777.84	2777.84
NORTH-WEST	0.000	0.067	0.067	0.00	2944.00	2944.00
ROOF	0.000	0.052	0.052	0.00	11043.26	11043.26
ALL WALLS	0.000	0.067	0.067	0.00	12113.68	12113.68
WALLS+ROOFS	0.000	0.060	0.060	0.00	23156.94	23156.94
UNDERGRND	0.000	0.800	0.800	0.00	633.20	633.20
BUILDING	0.000	0.080	0.080	0.00	23790.14	23790.14

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 LDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION  
 REPORT- LV-F DETAILS OF INTERIOR SURFACES IN THE PROJECT TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N

NUMBER OF INTERIOR SURFACES 24  
 (U-VALUE INCLUDES BOTH AIR FILMS)

SURFACE NAME	AREA (SQFT)	CONSTRUCTION NAME	SURFACE TYPE	U-VALUE (BTU/HR-SQFT-F)	ADJACENT SPACES
147.20	INTWALL	DELAYED STANDARD		0.326	SPACE-1 HALLS
152.00	INTWALL	DELAYED STANDARD		0.326	CONFERENCE CONFERENCE
147.20	INTWALL	DELAYED STANDARD		0.326	COMPUTERRM COMPUTERRM
152.00	INTWALL	DELAYED STANDARD		0.326	HALLS HALLS
536.40	INTWALL	DELAYED STANDARD		0.326	COMPUTERRM COMPUTERRM
365.40	INTWALL	DELAYED STANDARD		0.326	HALLS HALLS
443.70	INTWALL	DELAYED STANDARD		0.326	COMPUTERRM COMPUTERRM
164.70	INTWALL	DELAYED STANDARD		0.326	CONFERENCE CONFERENCE
171.00	INTWALL	DELAYED STANDARD		0.326	COMPUTERRM COMPUTERRM
99.36	INTWALL	DELAYED STANDARD		0.326	CONFPLENUM CONFPLENUM
102.60	INTWALL	DELAYED STANDARD		0.326	CONFPLENUM CONFPLENUM
99.36	INTWALL	DELAYED STANDARD		0.326	HALLPLENUM HALLPLENUM
102.60	INTWALL	DELAYED STANDARD		0.326	CONFPLENUM CONFPLENUM
349.60	CEILING	DELAYED STANDARD		0.279	CONFPLENUM CONFERENCE
262.24	INTWALL	DELAYED STANDARD		0.326	CONFPLENUM HALLPLENUM
178.64	INTWALL	DELAYED STANDARD		0.326	CONFPLENUM HALLPLENUM
216.92	INTWALL	DELAYED STANDARD		0.326	CONFPLENUM CONFPLENUM
80.52	INTWALL	DELAYED STANDARD		0.326	CONFPLENUM CONFPLENUM
83.60	INTWALL	DELAYED STANDARD		0.279	CONFPLENUM COMPUTERRM
2938.28	CEILING	DELAYED STANDARD		0.279	CONFPLENUM COMPUTERRM
742.98	CEILING	DELAYED STANDARD		0.279	HALLPLENUM HALLS
2000.00	CEILING	DELAYED STANDARD		0.279	HALLPLENUM HALLS
1284.40	CEILING	DELAYED STANDARD		0.279	HALLPLENUM HALLS
2000.00	CEILING	DELAYED STANDARD		0.279	HALLPLENUM HALLS

EMC ENGINEERS INC, EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 LDL RUN 1  
DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N  
REPORT- LV-G DETAILS OF SCHEDULES OCCURRING IN THE PROJECT

NUMBER OF SCHEDULES 9 ( NON DIMENSIONLESS SCHEDULES ARE GIVEN IN ENGLISH UNITS )

SCHEDULE y\_lights

THROUGH 31 12

FOR DAYS SUN SAT HOL  
HOUR 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24  
0.40 0.40 0.40 0.40 0.40 0.40 0.16 0.16 0.16 0.16 0.16 0.16 0.16 0.16 0.16 0.16 0.40 0.40 0.40 0.40 0.40 0.40 0.40 0.40

FOR DAYS MON TUE WED THU FRI  
HOUR 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24  
0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75

SCHEDULE A\_OCCUP

THROUGH 31 12

FOR DAYS SUN SAT HOL  
HOUR 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24  
0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25

FOR DAYS MON TUE WED THU FRI  
HOUR 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24  
0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.50 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.75 0.75 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.50 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25

SCHEDULE Y\_EQUIP

THROUGH 31 12

EMC ENGINEERS INC, EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 LDL RUN 1  
DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N  
REPORT- LV-G DETAILS OF SCHEDULES OCCURRING IN THE PROJECT

FOR DAYS SUN SAT HOL  
HOUR 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24  
0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60

FOR DAYS MON TUE WED THU FRI  
HOUR 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24  
0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.75 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50

SCHEDULE OCCUCONFIRM

THROUGH 31 12

FOR DAYS SUN MON TUE WED THU FRI SAT HOL  
HOUR 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24  
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

SCHEDULE T\_LIGHTS

THROUGH 31 12

FOR DAYS SUN MON TUE WED THU FRI SAT HOL  
HOUR 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24  
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

SCHEDULE TOWEREQUIP

THROUGH 31 12

EMC ENGINEERS INC, EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 LDL RUN 1  
DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N  
REPORT- LV-G DETAILS OF SCHEDULES OCCURRING IN THE PROJECT

FOR DAYS SUN MON TUE WED THU FRI SAT HOL  
HOUR 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24  
1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00

SCHEDULE equipcomp

THROUGH 31 12

FOR DAYS SUN MON TUE WED THU FRI SAT HOL  
HOUR 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24  
1.00 1.00

SCHEDULE L-hrly\_rps

THROUGH 12 3

FOR DAYS SUN MON TUE WED THU FRI SAT HOL  
HOUR 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24  
0.00 0.00

THROUGH 13 3

FOR DAYS SUN MON TUE WED THU FRI SAT HOL  
HOUR 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24  
1.00 1.00

THROUGH 7 9

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 LDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION TRUTH OR CONSEQU. N  
 REPORT- LV-G DETAILS OF SCHEDULES OCCURRING IN THE PROJECT

FOR DAYS SUN MON TUE WED THU FRI SAT HOL  
 HOUR 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24  
 0.00

THROUGH 8 9

FOR DAYS SUN MON TUE WED THU FRI SAT HOL  
 HOUR 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24  
 1.00

THROUGH 31 12

FOR DAYS SUN MON TUE WED THU FRI SAT HOL  
 HOUR 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24  
 0.00

SCHEDULE towerinfil

THROUGH 1 4

FOR DAYS SUN MON TUE WED THU FRI SAT HOL  
 HOUR 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24  
 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00

THROUGH 1 11

FOR DAYS SUN MON TUE WED THU FRI SAT HOL  
 HOUR 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24  
 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00

THROUGH 31 12

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 LDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION TRUTH OR CONSEQU. N  
 REPORT- LV-G DETAILS OF SCHEDULES OCCURRING IN THE PROJECT

FOR DAYS SUN MON TUE WED THU FRI SAT HOL  
 HOUR 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24  
 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 LDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION TRUTH OR CONSEQU. N  
 REPORT- LV-I DETAILS OF CONSTRUCTIONS OCCURRING IN THE PROJECT

NUMBER OF CONSTRUCTIONS	10	DELAYED	7	QUICK	3	U-VALUE (BTU/HR-SQFT-F)	SURFACE ABSORPTANCE	SURFACE ROUGHNESS INDEX	SURFACE TYPE	NUMBER OF RESPONSE FACTORS	
CONSTRUCTION NAME		5		15		0		0		0	
REGROOF		0.054		0.60		3		3		5	
TOWERWALL		0.069		0.65		3		3		15	
FLOOR		0.800		0.70		3		3		0	
TOWERROOF		0.048		0.40		3		3		0	
CEILING		0.279		0.70		3		3		4	
TOWCEIL		0.045		0.70		3		3		5	
REGWALL		0.067		0.65		3		3		19	
COMPWALL		0.067		0.70		3		3		19	
FLOORCOM		0.800		0.70		3		3		0	
INTWALL		0.326		0.70		3		3		9	

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 LDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION TRUTH OR CONSEQU. N  
 REPORT- LS-A SPACE PEAK LOADS SUMMARY

SPACE NAME	MULTIPLIER	COOLING LOAD (BTU/Hr)	TIME OF PEAK	DRY- BULB	WET- BULB	HEATING LOAD (BTU/Hr)	TIME OF PEAK	DRY- BULB	WET- BULB
CONFERENCE	1.	1.	2.252	APR 3 12 NOON	60.F	45.F	0.000	0.F	0.F
HALLS	1.	1.	37.671	AUG 1 4 PM	97.F	65.F	-1.752	JAN 16 2 PM	43.F
TOWER_1	1.	1.	14.370	JUL 31 7 PM	96.F	60.F	-116.665	JAN 10 9 PM	2.F
TOWER_3	1.	1.	14.370	JUL 31 7 PM	96.F	60.F	-116.665	JAN 10 9 PM	4.F
COMPUTERRM	1.	1.	81.185	AUG 1 11 PM	83.F	64.F	0.000	0.F	0.F
COMPLRNM	1.	1.	1.359	JUL 13 3 PM	86.F	61.F	-1.518	JAN 11 8 AM	-3.F
COMPRMLN	1.	1.	17.198	JUL 13 3 PM	86.F	61.F	-14.123	JAN 11 8 AM	-3.F
HALLPLRNM	1.	1.	25.015	JUL 13 4 PM	87.F	61.F	-25.730	JAN 11 8 AM	-3.F
TOWER_2	1.	1.	14.370	JUL 31 7 PM	96.F	60.F	-116.665	JAN 10 9 PM	2.F
SUM		207.788				-393.119			
BUILDING PEAK		147.375	AUG 1 7 PM	94.F	65.F	-349.994	JAN 10 9 PM	4.F	2.F

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/7/1995 15: 8:11 LDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION CONFERENCE  
 REPORT- LS-B SPACE PEAK LOAD COMPONENTS TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N

SPACE CONFERENCE

MULTIPLIER	1.0	FLOOR MULTIPLIER	1.0
FLOOR AREA	348 SQFT	32 M2	
VOLUME	2786 CUFT	79 M3	
COOLING LOAD			
TIME APR 3 12NOON			
DRY-BULB TEMP	60F	16C	
WET-BULB TEMP	45F	7C	
HEATING LOAD			
TIME JAN 16 2PM			
DRY-BULB TEMP	43F	6C	
WET-BULB TEMP	31F	-1C	
SENSIBLE (KBTU/H)	( KW )	SENSIBLE (KBTU/H)	( KW )
WALLS	0.000	0.000	0.000
ROOFS	0.000	0.000	0.000
GLASS CONDUCTION	0.000	0.000	0.000
GLASS SOLAR	0.000	0.000	0.000
DOOR	0.000	0.000	0.000
INTERNAL SURFACES	0.000	0.000	0.000
UNDERGROUND SURFACES	0.000	0.000	0.000
OCCUPANTS TO SPACE	0.170	0.050	0.024
LIGHT TO SPACE	0.851	0.249	0.000
EQUIPMENT TO SPACE	1.230	0.360	0.000
PROCESS TO SPACE	0.000	0.000	0.000
INFILTRATION	0.000	0.000	0.000
TOTAL	2.252	0.659	0.082 0.024
TOTAL LOAD	2.334 KBTU/H	0.683 KW	0.000 KBTU/H 0.000 KW
TOTAL LOAD / AREA	6.71BTU/H.SQFT	21.139 W / M2	0.000BTU/H.SQFT 0.000 W / M2

- \* NOTE 1) THE ABOVE LOADS EXCLUDE OUTSIDE VENTILATION AIR
- \* ---- LOADS
- \* 2) TIMES GIVEN IN STANDARD TIME FOR THE LOCATION
- \* IN CONSIDERATION

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/7/1995 15: 8:11 LDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION HALLS TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N

SPACE HALLS

MULTIPLIER	1.0	FLOOR MULTIPLIER	1.0
FLOOR AREA	5286 SQFT	491 M2	
VOLUME	42284 CUFT	1197 M3	
COOLING LOAD			
TIME AUG 1 4PM			
DRY-BULB TEMP	97F	36C	
WET-BULB TEMP	65F	18C	
HEATING LOAD			
TIME JAN 16 2PM			
DRY-BULB TEMP	43F	6C	
WET-BULB TEMP	31F	-1C	
SENSIBLE (KBTU/H)	( KW )	SENSIBLE (KBTU/H)	( KW )
WALLS	2.680	0.785	0.000 0.000
ROOFS	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000
GLASS CONDUCTION	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000
GLASS SOLAR	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000
DOOR	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000
INTERNAL SURFACES	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000
UNDERGROUND SURFACES	-0.048	-0.014	0.000 0.000
OCCUPANTS TO SPACE	0.864	0.253	0.328 0.096
LIGHT TO SPACE	16.674	4.883	0.000 0.000
EQUIPMENT TO SPACE	17.500	5.125	0.000 0.000
PROCESS TO SPACE	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000
INFILTRATION	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000
TOTAL	37.671	11.033	0.328 0.096
TOTAL LOAD	37.998 KBTU/H	11.129 KW	-1.752 KBTU/H -0.513 KW
TOTAL LOAD / AREA	7.19BTU/H.SQFT	22.664 W / M2	0.332BTU/H.SQFT 1.045 W / M2

- \* NOTE 1) THE ABOVE LOADS EXCLUDE OUTSIDE VENTILATION AIR
- \* ---- LOADS
- \* 2) TIMES GIVEN IN STANDARD TIME FOR THE LOCATION
- \* IN CONSIDERATION

EMC ENGINEERS INC. 80227 EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC  
DENVER, CO GEOSS SITE DOE EVALUATION TOWER\_1  
REPORT- LS-B SPACE PEAK LOAD COMPONENTS TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N

SPACE TOWER\_1

MULTIPLIER	1.0	FLOOR MULTIPLIER	1.0	
FLOOR AREA	576 SQFT	54 M2		
VOLUME	14976 CUFT	424 M3		
COOLING LOAD			HEATING LOAD	
TIME	JUL 31	7PM	JAN 10 9PM	
DRY-BULB TEMP	96F	36C	4F -16C	
WET-BULB TEMP	60F	16C	2F -17C	
SENSIBLE (KBTU/H)	( KW )	LATENT (KBTU/H) ( KW )	SENSIBLE (KBTU/H) ( KW )	
WALLS	2.592	0.759	0.000 0.000	-8.386 -2.456
ROOFS	0.733	0.215	0.000 0.000	-1.845 -0.540
GLASS CONDUCTION	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000
GLASS SOLAR	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000
DOOR	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000
INTERNAL SURFACES	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	-1.434 -0.420
UNDERGROUND SURFACES	-0.258	-0.076	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000
OCCUPANTS TO SPACE	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	0.319 0.094
LIGHT TO SPACE	0.422	0.124	0.000 0.000	4.062 1.190
EQUIPMENT TO SPACE	3.923	1.149	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000
PROCESS TO SPACE	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	-109.381 -32.035
INFILTRATION	6.958	2.038	0.000 0.000	-116.665 -34.168
TOTAL	14.370	4.208	0.000 0.000	-116.665 KBTU/H -34.168 KW
TOTAL LOAD	14.370 KBTU/H	4.208 KW		
TOTAL LOAD / AREA	24.95BTU/H.SQFT	78.645 W / M2	202.543BTU/H.SQFT	638.513 W / M2

NOTE 1) THE ABOVE LOADS EXCLUDE OUTSIDE VENTILATION AIR  
 2) TIMES GIVEN IN STANDARD TIME FOR THE LOCATION  
 IN CONSIDERATION

EMC ENGINEERS INC. 80227 EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC  
DENVER, CO GEOSS SITE DOE EVALUATION TOWER\_3  
REPORT- LS-B SPACE PEAK LOAD COMPONENTS TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N

SPACE TOWER\_3

MULTIPLIER	1.0	FLOOR MULTIPLIER	1.0	
FLOOR AREA	576 SQFT	54 M2		
VOLUME	14976 CUFT	424 M3		
COOLING LOAD			HEATING LOAD	
TIME	JUL 31	7PM	JAN 10 9PM	
DRY-BULB TEMP	96F	36C	4F -16C	
WET-BULB TEMP	60F	16C	2F -17C	
SENSIBLE (KBTU/H)	( KW )	LATENT (KBTU/H) ( KW )	SENSIBLE (KBTU/H) ( KW )	
WALLS	2.592	0.759	0.000 0.000	-8.386 -2.456
ROOFS	0.733	0.215	0.000 0.000	-1.845 -0.540
GLASS CONDUCTION	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000
GLASS SOLAR	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000
DOOR	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000
INTERNAL SURFACES	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	-1.434 -0.420
UNDERGROUND SURFACES	-0.258	-0.076	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000
OCCUPANTS TO SPACE	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	0.319 0.094
LIGHT TO SPACE	0.422	0.124	0.000 0.000	4.062 1.190
EQUIPMENT TO SPACE	3.923	1.149	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000
PROCESS TO SPACE	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	-109.381 -32.035
INFILTRATION	6.958	2.038	0.000 0.000	-116.665 -34.168
TOTAL	14.370	4.208	0.000 0.000	-116.665 KBTU/H -34.168 KW
TOTAL LOAD	14.370 KBTU/H	4.208 KW		
TOTAL LOAD / AREA	24.95BTU/H.SQFT	78.645 W / M2	202.543BTU/H.SQFT	638.513 W / M2

NOTE 1) THE ABOVE LOADS EXCLUDE OUTSIDE VENTILATION AIR  
 2) TIMES GIVEN IN STANDARD TIME FOR THE LOCATION  
 IN CONSIDERATION

-----  
 EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 LDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION COMPUTERMM  
 REPORT- LS-B SPACE PEAK LOAD COMPONENTS TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N  
 -----

SPACE COMPUTERRM

MULTIPLIER	1.0	FLOOR MULTIPLIER	1.0	HEATING LOAD
FLOOR AREA	4037 SQFT	375 M2		
VOLUME	36337 CUFT	1029 M3		
COOLING LOAD				
TIME	AUG 1 11PM			
DRY-BULB TEMP	83F	28C		
WET-BULB TEMP	64F	18C		
SENSIBLE	(KBTU/H) ( KW )	LATENT	(KBTU/H) ( KW )	SENSIBLE
WALLS	1.043	0.306	0.000 0.000	(KBTU/H) ( KW )
ROOFS	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000
GLASS CONDUCTION	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000
GLASS SOLAR	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000
DOOR	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000
INTERNAL SURFACES	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000
UNDERGROUND SURFACES	-0.007	-0.002	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000
OCCUPANTS TO SPACE	0.946	0.277	0.328 0.096	0.000 0.000
LIGHT TO SPACE	12.887	3.774	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000
EQUIPMENT TO SPACE	66.315	19.422	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000
PROCESS TO SPACE	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000
INFILTRATION	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000
TOTAL	81.185	23.777	0.328 0.096	0.000 0.000
TOTAL LOAD	81.513 KBTU/H	23.873 KW		0.000 KW
TOTAL LOAD / AREA	20.19BTU/H.SQFT	63.647 W / M2		0.000 BTU/H.SQFT W / M2

\* NOTE 1) THE ABOVE LOADS EXCLUDE OUTSIDE VENTILATION AIR  
 \* ---- LOADS  
 \* 2) TIMES GIVEN IN STANDARD TIME FOR THE LOCATION  
 \* IN CONSIDERATION

-----  
 EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 LDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION CONFPLENUM TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N  
 REPORT- LS-B SPACE PEAK LOAD COMPONENTS CONFPLENUM  
 -----

SPACE CONFPLENUM

MULTIPLIER	1.0	FLOOR MULTIPLIER	1.0	HEATING LOAD
FLOOR AREA	348 SQFT	32 M2		
VOLUME	1879 CUFT	53 M3		
COOLING LOAD				
TIME	JUL 13 3PM			JAN 11 8AM
DRY-BULB TEMP	66F	30C		-3F -19C
WET-BULB TEMP	61F	16C		-4F -20C
SENSIBLE	(KBTU/H) ( KW )	LATENT	(KBTU/H) ( KW )	SENSIBLE
WALLS	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	(KBTU/H) ( KW )
ROOFS	1.328	0.389	0.000 0.000	0.000 -1.521 -0.445
GLASS CONDUCTION	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000
GLASS SOLAR	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000
DOOR	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000
INTERNAL SURFACES	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000
UNDERGROUND SURFACES	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000
OCCUPANTS TO SPACE	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000
LIGHT TO SPACE	0.030	0.009	0.000 0.000	0.003 0.001
EQUIPMENT TO SPACE	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000
PROCESS TO SPACE	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000
INFILTRATION	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000
TOTAL	1.359	0.398	0.000 0.000	-1.518 -0.445
TOTAL LOAD	1.359 KBTU/H	0.398 KW		-0.445 KW
TOTAL LOAD / AREA	3.90BTU/H.SQFT	12.309 W / M2		4.363BTU/H.SQFT 13.754 W / M2

\* NOTE 1) THE ABOVE LOADS EXCLUDE OUTSIDE VENTILATION AIR  
 \* ---- LOADS  
 \* 2) TIMES GIVEN IN STANDARD TIME FOR THE LOCATION  
 \* IN CONSIDERATION





EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/7/1995 15: 8:11 LDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N  
 REPORT- LS-D BUILDING MONTHLY LOADS SUMMARY

MONTH	COOLING						HEATING						ELEC		
	COOLING ENERGY (MBTU)	TIME OF MAX	DRY- TEMP	WET- TEMP	MAXIMUM COOLING LOAD (BTU/Hr)	HEATING ENERGY (MBTU)	TIME OF MAX	DRY- TEMP	WET- TEMP	MAXIMUM HEATING LOAD (BTU/Hr)	TRICAL ENERGY (KWH)	MAXIMUM ELEC LOAD (KW)			
JAN	69.37014	21 12	56.F	38.F	107.628	-35.625	10 21	4.F	2.F	-349.994	37827.	59.443			
FEB	63.04140	18 12	62.F	45.F	107.796	-36.330	3 22	31.F	25.F	-292.306	34170.	59.443			
MAR	71.42878	9 15	78.F	56.F	116.264	-34.220	2 25	30.F	26.F	-255.733	38070.	59.443			
APR	71.72991	22 16	89.F	53.F	122.174	-3.298	1 23	60.F	43.F	-25.152	36689.	59.443			
MAY	78.91193	9 18	86.F	52.F	130.740	-0.771	2 3	37.F	24.F	-18.115	37827.	59.443			
JUN	86.18958	23 18	84.F	58.F	147.152	-0.002	1 10	83.F	52.F	-0.540	36811.	59.443			
JUL	90.58653	28 18	91.F	65.F	143.785	0.000				0.000	37705.	59.443			
AUG	91.05647	1 18	94.F	65.F	147.375	0.000				0.000	38070.	59.443			
SEP	85.07023	1 18	85.F	61.F	137.977	0.000				0.000	36689.	59.443			
OCT	77.88515	6 16	80.F	51.F	126.112	-2.154	15 24	43.F	39.F	-36.774	37705.	59.443			
NOV	70.93912	10 15	68.F	47.F	114.183	-15.763	19 5	34.F	28.F	-127.152	36567.	59.443			
DEC	70.90217	9 12	52.F	40.F	108.745	-29.420	30 23	29.F	24.F	-197.853	37827.	59.443			
TOTAL	927.111					-157.582				-349.994	445954.	59.443			
MAX						147.375									
HOURLY DATA FILE	1FROM PROG	1													

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/7/1995 15: 8:11 LDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N  
 glob\_hrly - HOURLY-REPORT

MMDDHH GLOBAL GLOBAL

	DRY BULB	WIND SPEED
R	ABS TEMP	KNOTS
(24)	(17)	
313 1	487.0	10.0
313 2	487.0	9.0
313 3	485.0	10.0
313 4	484.0	9.0
313 5	484.0	13.0
313 6	486.0	13.0
313 7	487.0	7.0
313 8	490.0	9.0
313 9	493.0	12.0
313 10	497.0	9.0
313 11	502.0	9.0
313 12	503.0	7.0
313 13	506.0	9.0
313 14	507.0	9.0
313 15	507.0	9.0
313 16	507.0	9.0
313 17	506.0	4.0
313 18	502.0	4.0
313 19	499.0	1.0
313 20	497.0	9.0
313 21	495.0	4.0
313 22	493.0	3.0
313 23	492.0	5.0
313 24	490.0	0.0
DAILY SUMMARY (MAR 13)		
MN	484.0	0.0
MX	507.0	13.0
SM	11886.0	185.0
AV	495.3	7.7
MONTHLY SUMMARY (MAR)		
MN	484.0	0.0
MX	507.0	13.0
SM	11886.0	185.0
AV	495.3	7.7

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/7/1995 15: 8:11 LDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N  
 glob\_hrly = HOURLY-REPORT

GLOBAL GLOBAL

DRY BULB WIND  
ABS TEMP SPEED  
R KNOTS

----(24)----(17)  
 9 8 1 531.0 8.0  
 9 8 2 530.0 8.0  
 9 8 3 529.0 5.0  
 9 8 4 526.0 0.0  
 9 8 5 524.0 5.0  
 9 8 6 524.0 0.0  
 9 8 7 528.0 0.0  
 9 8 8 533.0 4.0  
 9 8 9 537.0 0.0  
 9 8 10 541.0 0.0  
 9 8 11 542.0 0.0  
 9 8 12 547.0 0.0  
 9 8 13 546.0 8.0  
 9 8 14 549.0 6.0  
 9 8 15 547.0 6.0  
 9 8 16 547.0 0.0  
 9 8 17 542.0 18.0  
 9 8 18 537.0 10.0  
 9 8 19 537.0 10.0  
 9 8 20 536.0 5.0  
 9 8 21 534.0 5.0  
 9 8 22 532.0 4.0  
 9 8 23 531.0 4.0  
 9 8 24 530.0 4.0

DAILY SUMMARY (SEP 8)

MN	524.0	0.0
MX	549.0	18.0
SM	12860.0	110.0
AV	535.8	4.6

MONTHLY SUMMARY (SEP)

MN	524.0	0.0
MX	549.0	18.0
SM	12860.0	110.0
AV	535.8	4.6

YEARLY SUMMARY

MN	484.0	0.0
MX	549.0	18.0
SM	24746.0	295.0
AV	515.5	6.1

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/7/1995 15: 8:11 LDL RUN 1  
 dup\_glob\_b = HOURLY-REPORT TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N

MMDDHH GLOBAL GLOBAL

DRY BULB WIND  
ABS TEMP SPEED  
R KNOTS

----(24)----(17)  
 313 1 487.0 10.0  
 313 2 487.0 9.0  
 313 3 485.0 10.0  
 313 4 484.0 9.0  
 313 5 484.0 13.0  
 313 6 486.0 13.0  
 313 7 487.0 7.0  
 313 8 490.0 9.0  
 313 9 492.0 12.0  
 313 10 497.0 9.0  
 313 11 502.0 9.0  
 313 12 503.0 7.0  
 313 13 506.0 9.0  
 313 14 507.0 9.0  
 313 15 507.0 9.0  
 313 16 507.0 9.0  
 313 17 506.0 4.0  
 313 18 502.0 4.0  
 313 19 499.0 3.0  
 313 20 497.0 9.0  
 313 21 495.0 4.0  
 313 22 493.0 3.0  
 313 23 492.0 5.0  
 313 24 490.0 0.0

DAILY SUMMARY (MAR 13)

MN	484.0	0.0
MX	507.0	13.0
SM	11886.0	185.0
AV	495.3	7.7

MONTHLY SUMMARY (MAR)

MN	484.0	0.0
MX	507.0	13.0
SM	11886.0	185.0
AV	495.3	7.7

EMC ENGINEERS INC. DOE-2.1D 8/7/1995 15: 8:11 LDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC  
 dup\_glob\_b - HOURLY-REPORT GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION  
 TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N

GLOBAL GLOBAL

DRY BULB WIND  
ABS TEMP SPEED  
R KNOTS

	(24)	(17)
9 8 1	531.0	8.0
9 8 2	530.0	6.0
9 8 3	529.0	5.0
9 8 4	526.0	0.0
9 8 5	524.0	5.0
9 8 6	524.0	0.0
9 8 7	528.0	0.0
9 8 8	533.0	4.0
9 8 9	537.0	0.0
9 8 10	541.0	0.0
9 8 11	542.0	0.0
9 8 12	547.0	0.0
9 8 13	546.0	8.0
9 8 14	549.0	6.0
9 8 15	547.0	6.0
9 8 16	547.0	0.0
9 8 17	542.0	18.0
9 8 18	537.0	10.0
9 8 19	537.0	10.0
9 8 20	536.0	5.0
9 8 21	534.0	5.0
9 8 22	532.0	4.0
9 8 23	531.0	4.0
9 8 24	530.0	4.0

DAILY SUMMARY (SEP 8)

MN	524.0	0.0
MX	549.0	18.0
SM	12860.0	110.0
AV	535.8	4.6

MONTHLY SUMMARY (SEP)

MN	524.0	0.0
MX	549.0	18.0
SM	12860.0	110.0
AV	535.8	4.6

YEARLY SUMMARY

MN	484.0	0.0
MX	549.0	18.0
SM	24746.0	295.0
AV	515.5	6.1

MESSAGE LIST FROM SYSTEMS PROGRAM

\*\*\*WARNING\*\*\* IN 1TOWER THE RETURN HUMIDITY FOR A COIL EXIT T = 53.7 IS 0.0090  
 BUT YOUR SETPOINT IS 0.0009 WHICH MAY NOT BE HELD.  
 \*\*\*WARNING\*\*\* SYSTEM 1TOWER MAY HAVE INADEQUATE COOLING CAPABILITY  
 (CHECK COOLING-CAPACITY AND MIN-SUPPLY-T FOR CONSISTENCY)  
 \*\*\*WARNING\*\*\* SYSTEM CRUIT HAS ZERO OUTSIDE AIR FOR DESIGN CALCULATION  
 \*\*\*WARNING\*\*\* SYSTEM 2TOWER THE RETURN HUMIDITY FOR A COIL EXIT T = 53.7 IS 0.0090  
 BUT YOUR SETPOINT IS 0.0009 WHICH MAY NOT BE HELD.  
 \*\*\*WARNING\*\*\* SYSTEM 2TOWER MAY HAVE INADEQUATE COOLING CAPABILITY  
 (CHECK COOLING-CAPACITY AND MIN-SUPPLY-T FOR CONSISTENCY)  
 \*\*\*WARNING\*\*\* IN 3TOWER THE RETURN HUMIDITY FOR A COIL EXIT T = 53.7 IS 0.0090  
 BUT YOUR SETPOINT IS 0.0009 WHICH MAY NOT BE HELD.  
 \*\*\*WARNING\*\*\* SYSTEM 3TOWER MAY HAVE INADEQUATE COOLING CAPABILITY  
 (CHECK COOLING-CAPACITY AND MIN-SUPPLY-T FOR CONSISTENCY)  
 \*\*\*WARNING\*\*\* SYSTEM REGAHU MAY HAVE INADEQUATE COOLING CAPABILITY  
 (CHECK COOLING-CAPACITY AND MIN-SUPPLY-T FOR CONSISTENCY)  
 \*\*\*WARNING\*\*\* SYSTEM CONFRAHU HAS ZERO OUTSIDE AIR FOR DESIGN CALCULATION  
 \*\*\*WARNING\*\*\* SYSTEM CONFRAHU MAY HAVE INADEQUATE COOLING CAPABILITY  
 (CHECK COOLING-CAPACITY AND MIN-SUPPLY-T FOR CONSISTENCY)

EMC ENGINEERS INC. DOE-2.1D 8/7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC  
 REPORT- SV-A SYSTEM DESIGN PARAMETERS GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION 1TOWER  
 TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N

SYSTEM NAME	ALTITUDE MULTIPLIER		RETURN FAN	ELEC (KWP)	DELTA-T (F)	OUTSIDE AIR	COOLING CAPACITY (BTU/HR)	SENSIBLE (SHR)	HEATING CAPACITY (BTU/HR)	COOLING EIR (BTU/BTU)	HEATING EIR (BTU/BTU)
1TOWER	1.200		FAN (CFM)	ELEC (KWP)	DELTA-T (F)	OUTSIDE AIR	COOLING CAPACITY (BTU/HR)	SENSIBLE (SHR)	HEATING CAPACITY (BTU/HR)	COOLING EIR (BTU/BTU)	HEATING EIR (BTU/BTU)
SUPPLY FAN	ELEC (KWP)	DELTA-T (F)	RETURN FAN (CFM)	ELEC (KWP)	DELTA-T (F)	OUTSIDE AIR	COOLING CAPACITY (BTU/HR)	SENSIBLE (SHR)	HEATING CAPACITY (BTU/HR)	COOLING EIR (BTU/BTU)	HEATING EIR (BTU/BTU)
2400.	0.815	1.3	0.	0.000	0.0	1.000	40.000	1.000	-166.707	0.00	0.00
ZONE NAME	SUPPLY FLOW 2400.	EXHAUST FLOW 2400.	FAN (KWP)	MINIMUM FLOW 2400.	OUTSIDE AIR FLOW (BTU/HR)	COOLING CAPACITY (BTU/HR)	EXTRACTION RATE 0.00	SENSIBLE (SHR) 0.00	HEATING CAPACITY (BTU/HR) -0.33	ADDITION RATE -168.48	MULTIPLIER 1.0
TOWER_1											

-----  
 EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8 / 7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION CRUITN  
 REPORT- SV-A SYSTEM DESIGN PARAMETERS TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N  
 -----

SYSTEM NAME	ALTITUDE MULTIPLIER												
<b>CRUITN</b>	<b>1.200</b>	<b>RETURN FAN</b>	<b>ELEC (CFM)</b>	<b>DELTA-T (F)</b>	<b>OUTSIDE AIR RATIO</b>	<b>COOLING CAPACITY (BTU/HR)</b>	<b>SENSIBLE CAPACITY (SHR)</b>	<b>HEATING CAPACITY (BTU/HR)</b>	<b>COOLING EIR (BTU/BTU)</b>	<b>HEATING EIR (BTU/BTU)</b>			
SUPPLY FAN (CFM)	43200.	ELEC (KW)	8.803	0.8	43200.	0.000	0.0	0.000	978.600	0.857	-345.300	0.00	
ZONE NAME	SUPPLY FLOW	EXHAUST FLOW	FAN (KW)	MINIMUM FLOW RATIO	OUTSIDE AIR FLOW (BTU/HR)	COOLING CAPACITY (BTU/HR)	SENSIBLE CAPACITY (SHR)	EXTRACTION RATE	HEATING CAPACITY (BTU/HR)	HEATING RATE (BTU/Hr)	ADDITION RATE	MULTIPLIER	
COMPUTERRM	43200.	0.	0.000	1.000	0.	0.00	0.00	0.00	387.05	-2529.79	-155.68	1.0	
COMPRMLN	0.	0.	0.000	0.000	0.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.0	

-----  
 EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8 / 7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION 2TOWER  
 REPORT- SV-A SYSTEM DESIGN PARAMETERS TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N  
 -----

SYSTEM NAME	ALTITUDE MULTIPLIER												
<b>2TOWER</b>	<b>1.200</b>	<b>RETURN FAN</b>	<b>ELEC (CFM)</b>	<b>DELTA-T (F)</b>	<b>OUTSIDE AIR RATIO</b>	<b>COOLING CAPACITY (BTU/HR)</b>	<b>SENSIBLE CAPACITY (SHR)</b>	<b>HEATING CAPACITY (BTU/HR)</b>	<b>COOLING EIR (BTU/BTU)</b>	<b>HEATING EIR (BTU/BTU)</b>			
SUPPLY FAN (CFM)	2400.	ELEC (KW)	0.815	1.3	0.	0.000	0.0	1.000	40.000	1.000	-166.707	0.00	
ZONE NAME	SUPPLY FLOW	EXHAUST FLOW	FAN (KW)	MINIMUM FLOW RATIO	OUTSIDE AIR FLOW (BTU/HR)	COOLING CAPACITY (BTU/HR)	SENSIBLE CAPACITY (SHR)	EXTRACTION RATE	HEATING CAPACITY (BTU/HR)	HEATING RATE (BTU/Hr)	ADDITION RATE	MULTIPLIER	
TOWER_2	2400.	2400.	0.000	1.000	2400.	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.33	-168.48	-134.78	1.0	

-----  
 EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8 / 7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION 3TOWER  
 REPORT- SV-A SYSTEM DESIGN PARAMETERS TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N  
 -----

SYSTEM NAME	ALTITUDE MULTIPLIER												
<b>3TOWER</b>	<b>1.200</b>	<b>RETURN FAN</b>	<b>ELEC (CFM)</b>	<b>DELTA-T (F)</b>	<b>OUTSIDE AIR RATIO</b>	<b>COOLING CAPACITY (BTU/HR)</b>	<b>SENSIBLE CAPACITY (SHR)</b>	<b>HEATING CAPACITY (BTU/HR)</b>	<b>COOLING EIR (BTU/BTU)</b>	<b>HEATING EIR (BTU/BTU)</b>			
SUPPLY FAN (CFM)	2400.	ELEC (KW)	0.815	1.3	0.	0.000	0.0	1.000	40.000	1.000	-166.707	0.00	
ZONE NAME	SUPPLY FLOW	EXHAUST FLOW	FAN (KW)	MINIMUM FLOW RATIO	OUTSIDE AIR FLOW (BTU/HR)	COOLING CAPACITY (BTU/HR)	SENSIBLE CAPACITY (SHR)	EXTRACTION RATE	HEATING CAPACITY (BTU/HR)	HEATING RATE (BTU/Hr)	ADDITION RATE	MULTIPLIER	
TOWER_3	2400.	2400.	0.000	1.000	2400.	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.33	-168.48	-134.78	1.0	

-----  
 EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8 / 7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION REGAHU  
 REPORT- SV-A SYSTEM DESIGN PARAMETERS TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N  
 -----

SYSTEM NAME	ALTITUDE MULTIPLIER												
<b>REGAHU</b>	<b>1.200</b>	<b>RETURN FAN</b>	<b>ELEC (CFM)</b>	<b>DELTA-T (F)</b>	<b>OUTSIDE AIR RATIO</b>	<b>COOLING CAPACITY (BTU/HR)</b>	<b>SENSIBLE CAPACITY (SHR)</b>	<b>HEATING CAPACITY (BTU/HR)</b>	<b>COOLING EIR (BTU/BTU)</b>	<b>HEATING EIR (BTU/BTU)</b>			
SUPPLY FAN (CFM)	5724.	ELEC (KW)	2.333	1.5	4228.	0.000	0.0	0.261	113.658	0.899	-76.817	0.00	
ZONE NAME	SUPPLY FLOW	EXHAUST FLOW	FAN (KW)	MINIMUM FLOW RATIO	OUTSIDE AIR FLOW (BTU/HR)	COOLING CAPACITY (BTU/HR)	SENSIBLE CAPACITY (SHR)	EXTRACTION RATE	HEATING CAPACITY (BTU/HR)	HEATING RATE (BTU/Hr)	ADDITION RATE	MULTIPLIER	
HALLS	5724.	1496.	0.000	1.000	1496.	0.00	0.00	0.00	49.21	-346.17	-276.94	1.0	
HALLPLENUM	0.	0.	0.000	0.000	0.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.0	

-----  
 EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8 / 7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION CONFRAHU  
 REPORT- SV-A SYSTEM DESIGN PARAMETERS TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N  
 -----

SYSTEM NAME	ALTITUDE MULTIPLIER												
<b>CONFRAHU</b>	<b>1.200</b>	<b>RETURN FAN</b>	<b>ELEC (CFM)</b>	<b>DELTA-T (F)</b>	<b>OUTSIDE AIR RATIO</b>	<b>COOLING CAPACITY (BTU/HR)</b>	<b>SENSIBLE CAPACITY (SHR)</b>	<b>HEATING CAPACITY (BTU/HR)</b>	<b>COOLING EIR (BTU/BTU)</b>	<b>HEATING EIR (BTU/BTU)</b>			
SUPPLY FAN (CFM)	960.	ELEC (KW)	0.248	2.0	960.	0.000	0.0	0.000	10.000	0.800	0.000	0.00	
ZONE NAME	SUPPLY FLOW	EXHAUST FLOW	FAN (KW)	MINIMUM FLOW RATIO	OUTSIDE AIR FLOW (BTU/HR)	COOLING CAPACITY (BTU/HR)	SENSIBLE CAPACITY (SHR)	EXTRACTION RATE	HEATING CAPACITY (BTU/HR)	HEATING RATE (BTU/Hr)	ADDITION RATE	MULTIPLIER	
CONFERENCE	960.	0.	0.000	1.000	0.	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.69	-67.39	-2.07	1.0	
CONFPLENUM	0.	0.	0.000	0.000	0.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.0	

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION 1TOWER TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N  
 REPORT- SS-A SYSTEM MONTHLY LOADS SUMMARY FOR

MONTH	COOLING						HEATING						ELEC-			MAXIMUM LOAD (kW)
	COOLING ENERGY (MBTU)	TIME OF MAX DY	DRY- TEMP	WET- BULB	BULB	MAXIMUM COOLING LOAD (BTU/Hr)	HEATING ENERGY (MBTU)	TIME OF MAX DY	DRY- TEMP	WET- BULB	BULB	MAXIMUM HEATING LOAD (BTU/Hr)	TRICAL ENERGY (kWh)			
JAN	0.00000					0.000	0.000					0.000	2291.		5.616	
FEB	0.00000					0.000	0.000					0.000	2069.		5.616	
MAR	0.00000					0.000	0.000					0.000	2291.		5.616	
APR	8.67692	22	15	91	F	54	F	46.677				0.000	2784.		6.433	
MAY	14.27732	9	18	90	F	53	F	45.304				0.000	2897.		6.433	
JUN	22.71675	23	15	98	F	63	F	57.992				0.000	2804.		6.433	
JUL	24.99347	1	18	100	F	60	F	62.778				0.000	2897.		6.433	
AUG	23.42665	22	18	73	F	67	F	53.562				0.000	2897.		6.433	
SEP	18.79902	13	16	90	F	60	F	45.225				0.000	2804.		6.433	
OCT	8.20284	7	16	85	F	57	F	38.099				0.000	2897.		6.433	
NOV	0.23611	1	15	73	F	48	F	26.300				0.000	2237.		6.433	
DEC	0.00000					0.000		0.000				0.000	2291.		5.616	
TOTAL	121.329					62.778		0.000				0.000	31159.		6.433	
MAX																

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION 1TOWER TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N  
 REPORT- SS-C SYSTEM MONTHLY LOAD HOURS FOR

MONTH	NUMBER OF HOURS												--COINCIDENT LOADS--		
	HOURS COOLING LOAD	HOURS HEATING LOAD	HOURS COINCIDENT COOL-HEAT LOAD	HOURS FLOATING	HOURS HEATING AVAIL.	HOURS COOLING AVAIL.	HOURS FANS ON	HOURS FANS ON CYCLE ON	HOURS NIGHT VENTING	HOURS FLOATING WHEN FANS ON	HEATING LOAD AT COOLING PEAK (BTU/Hr)	ELECTRIC LOAD AT COOLING PEAK (kW)			
JAN	0	0	0	744	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	4.388			
FEB	0	0	0	672	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	4.388			
MAR	0	0	0	744	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	5.203			
APR	498	0	0	222	0	686	696	0	0	198	0.000	5.203			
MAY	621	0	0	123	0	667	744	0	0	123	0.000	5.203			
JUN	713	0	0	7	0	715	720	0	0	7	0.000	5.203			
JUL	744	0	0	0	0	744	744	0	0	0	0.000	5.203			
ADG	744	0	0	0	0	744	744	0	0	0	0.000	5.203			
SEP	714	0	0	6	0	720	720	0	0	6	0.000	5.203			
OCT	507	0	0	237	0	744	744	0	0	237	0.000	5.203			
NOV	14	0	0	706	0	24	24	0	0	10	0.000	5.203			
DEC	0	0	0	744	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	4.388			
ANNUAL	4555	0	0	4205	0	5044	5136	0	0	581					

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION 1TOWER TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N  
 REPORT- SS-K SPACE TEMPERATURE SUMMARY

MONTH	AVERAGE SPACE TEMP					AVERAGE TEMPERATURE DIFFERENCE					SUMMED TEMP DIFFERENCE					HUMIDITY RATIO DIFFERENCE BETWEEN OUTDOOR AND ROOM AIR (FRAC. OR MULT.)	
	ALL HOURS (F)	COOLING HOURS (F)	HEATING HOURS (F)	FAN ON HOURS (F)	FAN OFF HOURS (F)	BETWEEN OUTDOOR & ROOM AIR ALL HOURS (F)	BETWEEN OUTDOOR & ROOM AIR FAN ON HOURS (F)	BETWEEN OUTDOOR & ROOM AIR FAN OFF HOURS (F)	BETWEEN OUTDOOR & ROOM AIR HEATING HOURS (F)	BETWEEN OUTDOOR & ROOM AIR ALL HOURS (F)	BETWEEN OUTDOOR & ROOM AIR FAN ON HOURS (F)	BETWEEN OUTDOOR & ROOM AIR FAN OFF HOURS (F)	BETWEEN OUTDOOR & ROOM AIR HEATING HOURS (F)	BETWEEN OUTDOOR & ROOM AIR ALL HOURS (F)	(Frac. or Mult.)		
JAN	44.72			0.00	44.72	-7.83	0.00	-7.83								-0.00508	
FEB	48.66			0.00	48.66	-4.68	0.00	-4.68								-0.00470	
MAR	53.36			0.00	53.36	-3.10	0.00	-3.10								-0.00015	
APR	57.66	59.77		57.48	62.90	-2.48	2.58	-0.45								228.91	
MAY	61.91	62.91		61.91	0.00	5.30	5.30	0.00								321.24	
JUN	67.28	67.30		67.28	0.00	10.48	10.48	0.00								332.66	
JUL	67.53	67.53		67.53	0.00	10.72	9.02	9.02								280.38	
ADG	66.03	66.03		66.03	0.00	7.62	7.62	0.00								242.87	
SEP	64.79	64.84		64.79	0.00	1.41	1.41	0.00								159.87	
OCT	57.34	59.89		57.34	0.00	-8.88	1.85	-9.25								314.89	
NOV	57.00	57.83		54.98	57.07	-9.65	0.00	-9.65								0.00021	
DEC	49.21			0.00	49.21												
ANNUAL	57.99	64.47	0.00	63.18	50.64	1.10	6.73	-6.87	0.00							-0.00118	

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION FOR TOWER\_1 TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N  
 REPORT- SS-O TEMPERATURE SCATTER PLOT

HOUR	TOTAL HOURS AT TEMPERATURE LEVEL AND TIME OF DAY												TOTAL	
	1AM	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
ABOVE 85	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
81-85	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
76-80	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
71-75	4	3	0	0	0	1	3	7	31	21	40	85	62	
66-70	43	39	27	23	21	10	33	45	74	93	100	101	72	90
61-65	106	105	114	110	115	76	88	77	67	56	45	49	39	30
BELOW 60	61	67	73	81	78	128	93	91	70	58	42	44	34	26

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION CRUINT TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N  
 REPORT- SS-A SYSTEM MONTHLY LOADS SUMMARY FOR

MONTH	COOLING						HEATING						ELEC		
	COOLING ENERGY (MBTU)	TIME OF MAX	DRY-BULB TEMP	WET-BULB TEMP	MAXIMUM COOLING LOAD (KBTU/HR)	HEATING ENERGY (MBTU)	TIME OF MAX	DRY-BULB TEMP	WET-BULB TEMP	MAXIMUM HEATING LOAD (KBTU/HR)	ELECTRICAL ENERGY (KWH)	MAXIMUM LOAD (KW)			
JAN	217.58914	29	10	42.F	35.F	295.765	-134.871	11	11	12.F	10.F	-187.717	31626.	42.509	
FEB	196.18980	14	20	40.F	29.F	294.231	-120.258	14	11	45.F	35.F	-182.704	28566.	42.509	
MAR	217.12257	27	7	39.F	34.F	294.359	-131.741	6	11	53.F	38.F	-182.082	31626.	42.509	
APR	210.48479	29	8	51.F	43.F	295.580	-125.645	29	8	51.F	43.F	-178.922	30606.	42.509	
MAY	217.77766	22	7	60.F	45.F	295.134	-128.496	2	9	48.F	31.F	-176.955	31626.	42.509	
JUN	211.18484	16	3	60.F	57.F	295.847	-122.632	1	8	61.F	44.F	-173.556	30606.	42.509	
JUL	218.16196	5	20	77.F	61.F	296.336	-125.922	24	11	78.F	63.F	-173.166	31626.	42.509	
AUG	217.55618	23	23	70.F	60.F	296.612	-125.627	25	11	71.F	61.F	-174.459	31626.	42.509	
SEP	211.65114	10	22	63.F	57.F	287.234	-123.521	27	10	69.F	53.F	-175.570	30606.	42.509	
OCT	217.99722	6	8	55.F	42.F	295.079	-129.675	20	9	46.F	42.F	-179.452	31626.	42.509	
NOV	210.65187	22	10	39.F	33.F	295.759	-127.831	22	10	39.F	33.F	-183.868	30606.	42.509	
DEC	217.30580	15	8	25.F	21.F	295.569	-133.730	16	11	33.F	30.F	-185.580	31626.	42.509	
TOTAL MAX	2563.674					297.234	-1529.949					-187.717	372373.	42.509	


EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION CRUINT TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N  
 REPORT- SS-C SYSTEM MONTHLY LOAD HOURS FOR

MONTH	NUMBER OF HOURS						COINCIDENT LOADS					
	HOURS COOLING LOAD	HOURS HEATING LOAD	HOURS COINCIDENT COOL-HEAT LOAD	HOURS FLOATING	HOURS HEATING AVAIL.	HOURS COOLING AVAIL.	HOURS FANS ON	HOURS FANS CYCLE ON	HOURS NIGHT VENTING	HOURS FLOATING WHEN FANS ON	HOURS LOAD AT COOLING PEAK (KBTU/HR)	ELECTRIC LOAD AT COOLING PEAK (KW)
JAN	744	744	744	0	744	744	744	0	0	0	-183.958	42.509
FEB	672	672	672	0	672	672	672	0	0	0	-180.864	42.509
MAR	744	744	744	0	744	744	744	0	0	0	-181.009	42.509
APR	720	720	720	0	720	720	720	0	0	0	-178.322	42.509
MAY	744	744	744	0	744	744	744	0	0	0	-174.995	42.509
JUN	720	720	720	0	720	720	720	0	0	0	-173.326	42.509
JUL	744	744	744	0	744	744	744	0	0	0	-171.898	42.509
AGO	744	744	744	0	744	744	744	0	0	0	-173.186	42.509
SEP	720	720	720	0	720	720	720	0	0	0	-174.287	42.509
OCT	744	744	744	0	744	744	744	0	0	0	-175.213	42.509
NOV	720	720	720	0	720	720	720	0	0	0	-183.868	42.509
DEC	744	744	744	0	744	744	744	0	0	0	-184.895	42.509
ANNUAL	8760	8760	8760	0	8760	8760	8760	0	0	0		

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION CRUINT TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N  
 REPORT- SS-K SPACE TEMPERATURE SUMMARY

MONTH	AVERAGE SPACE TEMP					AVERAGE TEMPERATURE DIFFERENCE					SUMMED TEMP DIFFERENCE			HUMIDITY RATIO DIFFERENCE	
	ALL HOURS (F)	COOLING HOURS (F)	HEATING HOURS (F)	FAN ON HOURS (F)	FAN OFF HOURS (F)	ALL HOURS (F)	BETWEEN OUTDOOR& ROOM AIR	BETWEEN OUTDOOR& ROOM AIR	BETWEEN OUTDOOR& ROOM AIR	FAN ON HOURS (F)	FAN OFF HOURS (F)	HEATING HOURS (F)	BETWEEN OUTDOOR& ROOM AIR	BETWEEN OUTDOOR& ROOM AIR	BETWEEN OUTDOOR AND ROOM AIR (FRAC. OR MULT.)
JAN	67.31	67.31	67.31	67.31	0.00	-30.42	-30.42	0.00	942.93	942.93	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
FEB	67.90	67.90	67.90	67.90	0.00	-23.92	-23.92	0.00	670.83	670.83	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
MAR	68.38	68.38	68.38	68.38	0.00	-18.12	-18.12	0.00	572.24	572.24	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
APR	69.21	69.21	69.21	69.21	0.00	-9.07	-9.07	0.00	360.75	360.75	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
MAY	69.75	69.75	69.75	69.75	0.00	-2.53	-2.53	0.00	282.73	282.73	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
JUN	70.53	70.53	70.53	70.53	0.00	7.23	7.23	0.00	305.93	305.93	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
JUL	70.77	70.77	70.77	70.77	0.00	7.48	7.48	0.00	278.23	278.23	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
AGO	70.57	70.57	70.57	70.57	0.00	4.48	4.48	0.00	221.80	221.80	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
SEP	70.19	70.19	70.19	70.19	0.00	2.21	2.21	0.00	231.14	231.14	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
OCT	69.16	69.16	69.16	69.16	0.00	-10.41	-10.41	0.00	380.02	380.02	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
NOV	68.20	68.20	68.20	68.20	0.00	-20.07	-20.07	0.00	604.96	604.96	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
DEC	67.55	67.55	67.55	67.55	0.00	-27.99	-27.99	0.00	867.60	867.60	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
ANNUAL	69.13	69.13	69.13	69.13	0.00	-10.04	-10.04	0.00	5719.16	5719.16	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION CRUINT FOR COMPUTERTRM TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N  
 REPORT- SS-O TEMPERATURE SCATTER PLOT



EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION  
 REPORT- SS-O TEMPERATURE SCATTER PLOT CRUIT FOR COMPRMPLN TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N

HOUR	TOTAL HOURS AT TEMPERATURE LEVEL AND TIME OF DAY												TOTAL												
	1AM	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12PM	1PM	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
ABOVE 85	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
81-85	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
76-80	29	24	21	19	16	12	9	13	20	27	36	46	57	64	65	66	63	59	52	46	38	34	33	31	880
71-75	153	156	157	156	159	162	165	162	157	153	150	144	137	131	134	133	135	138	140	146	153	154	154	155	3584
66-70	154	156	157	160	157	156	156	155	153	152	148	149	147	150	146	150	147	150	150	150	151	150	150	150	3644
61-65	29	29	30	30	33	35	35	35	35	33	31	26	24	20	20	16	17	21	23	23	23	27	28	29	652
BELOW 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION 2TOWER  
 REPORT- SS-A SYSTEM MONTHLY LOADS SUMMARY FOR TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N

MONTH	COOLING				HEATING				ELEC			
	COOLING ENERGY (MBTU)	TIME OF MAX DY	DRY-BULB TEMP	WET-BULB TEMP	MAXIMUM COOLING LOAD (KBTU/HR)	HEATING ENERGY (MBTU)	TIME OF MAX DY	DRY-BULB TEMP	WET-BULB TEMP	MAXIMUM HEATING LOAD (KBTU/HR)	ELECTRICAL ENERGY (KWH)	MAXIMUM LOAD (KW)
JAN	0.00000				0.000	0.000				0.000	2291.	5.618
FEB	0.00000				0.000	0.000				0.000	2069.	5.618
MAR	0.00000				0.000	0.000				0.000	2291.	5.618
APR	8.67692	22	15	91.F	54.F	46.677				0.000	2784.	6.433
MAY	14.27732	9	18	90.F	53.F	45.304				0.000	2857.	6.433
JUN	22.71675	23	15	98.F	63.F	57.992				0.000	2804.	6.433
JUL	24.99347	1	18	100.F	60.F	62.778				0.000	2857.	6.433
AUG	23.42665	22	18	73.F	67.F	53.562				0.000	2804.	6.433
SEP	18.79902	13	16	90.F	60.F	45.225				0.000	2857.	6.433
OCT	8.20284	7	16	85.F	57.F	38.099				0.000	2237.	6.433
NOV	0.23611	1	15	73.F	48.F	26.300				0.000	2291.	5.618
DEC	0.00000					0.000				0.000	31159.	
TOTAL MAX	121.329					62.778				0.000		6.433

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION 2TOWER  
 REPORT- SS-C SYSTEM MONTHLY LOAD HOURS FOR TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N

MONTH	NUMBER OF HOURS								COINCIDENT LOADS				
	HOURS COOLING LOAD	HOURS HEATING LOAD	HOURS COINCIDENT COOL-HEAT LOAD	HOURS FLOATING	HOURS HEATING AVAIL.	HOURS COOLING AVAIL.	HOURS FANS ON	HOURS CYCLE ON	HOURS NIGHT VENTING	HOURS FLOATING WHEN FANS ON	HEATING LOAD AT COOLING PEAK (KBTU/HR)	ELECTRIC LOAD AT COOLING PEAK (KW)	
JAN	0	0	0	744	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	4.388	
FEB	0	0	0	672	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	4.388	
MAR	0	0	0	744	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	5.203	
APR	498	0	0	222	0	686	696	0	0	198	0.000	5.203	
MAY	621	0	0	123	0	667	744	0	0	123	0.000	5.203	
JUN	713	0	0	7	0	715	720	0	0	7	0.000	5.203	
JUL	744	0	0	0	0	744	744	0	0	0	0.000	5.203	
AUG	744	0	0	0	0	744	744	0	0	0	0.000	5.203	
SEP	714	0	0	6	0	720	720	0	0	6	0.000	5.203	
OCT	507	0	0	237	0	744	744	0	0	237	0.000	5.203	
NOV	14	0	0	706	0	24	24	0	0	10	0.000	5.203	
DEC	0	0	0	744	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	4.388	
ANNUAL	4555	0	0	4205	0	5044	5136	0	0	581			

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION 2TOWER  
 REPORT- SS-K SPACE TEMPERATURE SUMMARY TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N

MONTH	AVERAGE SPACE TEMP				AVERAGE TEMPERATURE DIFFERENCE				SUMMED TEMP DIFFERENCE				HUMIDITY RATIO DIFFERENCE	
	ALL HOURS (F)	COOLING HOURS (F)	HEATING HOURS (F)	FAN ON HOURS (F)	OUTDOOR& ROOM AIR	OUTDOOR& ROOM AIR	OUTDOOR& ROOM AIR	FAN ON HOURS (F)	OUTDOOR& ROOM AIR	OUTDOOR& ROOM AIR	OUTDOOR& ROOM AIR	FAN OFF HOURS (F)	(Frac. or Mult.)	
JAN	44.72			0.00	44.72	-7.83	0.00	-7.83					-0.00508	
FEB	48.66			0.00	48.66	-4.68	0.00	-4.68					-0.00527	
MAR	53.36			0.00	53.36	-3.10	0.00	-3.10					-0.00470	
APR	57.66	59.77		57.48	62.90	2.48	2.58	-0.45					-0.00015	
MAY	61.91	62.91		61.91	0.00	5.30	5.30	0.00					0.00000	
JUN	67.28	67.30		67.28	0.00	10.48	10.48	0.00					0.00001	
JUL	67.53	67.53		67.53	0.00	10.72	10.72	0.00					0.00022	
AUG	66.03	66.03		66.03	0.00	9.02	9.02	0.00					0.00004	
SEP	64.79	64.84		64.79	0.00	7.62	7.62	0.00					0.00000	
OCT	57.34	59.89		57.34	0.00	1.41	1.41	0.00					0.00017	
NOV	57.00	57.83		54.98	57.07	-8.88	1.85	-9.25					0.00021	
DEC	49.21			0.00	49.21	-9.65	0.00	-9.65						
ANNUAL	57.99	64.47	0.00	63.18	50.64	1.10	6.73	-6.87	0.00	3086.05			-0.00118	

-----  
 EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION 3TOWER TRUTH OR CONSEQU. N  
 REPORT- SS-0 TEMPERATURE SCATTER PLOT 2TOWER FOR TOWER\_2

HOUR	TOTAL HOURS AT TEMPERATURE LEVEL AND TIME OF DAY												5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
	1AM	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12									
ABOVE 85	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
81-85	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
76-80	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
71-75	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	7	31	21	40	85	62	83	63	68	45	32	20
66-70	43	39	27	23	21	10	33	45	74	93	96	100	101	72	90	78	86	78	79	75	77
61-65	106	105	114	110	115	76	88	77	67	56	45	49	39	30	32	29	35	31	46	62	68
BELLOW 60	61	67	73	81	78	128	93	91	70	58	42	44	34	26	29	23	29	34	44	45	49
																				51	56
																				59	1365

-----  
 EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION 3TOWER TRUTH OR CONSEQU. N  
 REPORT- SS-A SYSTEM MONTHLY LOADS SUMMARY FOR

- C O O L I N G -				- H E A T I N G -				- E L E C -				
MONTH	COOLING ENERGY (MBTU)	TIME OF MAX DY	DRY-BULB TEMP HR	WET-BULB TEMP	MAXIMUM COOLING LOAD (KBTU/HR)	HEATING ENERGY (MBTU)	TIME OF MAX DY	DRY-BULB TEMP HR	WET-BULB TEMP	MAXIMUM HEATING LOAD (KBTU/HR)	ELECTRICAL ENERGY (KWH)	MAXIMUM LOAD (KW)
JAN	0.00000				0.000	0.000				0.000	2291.	5.616
FEB	0.00000				0.000	0.000				0.000	2069.	5.616
MAR	0.00000				0.000	0.000				0.000	2291.	5.616
APR	8.67692	22	15	91.F	54.F	46.677	0.000			0.000	2784.	6.433
MAY	14.27732	9	18	90.F	53.F	45.304	0.000			0.000	2897.	6.433
JUN	22.71675	23	15	98.F	63.F	57.992	0.000			0.000	2804.	6.433
JUL	24.99347	1	18	100.F	60.F	62.778	0.000			0.000	2897.	6.433
AUG	23.42665	22	18	73.F	67.F	53.562	0.000			0.000	2897.	6.433
SEP	18.79902	13	16	90.F	60.F	45.225	0.000			0.000	2804.	6.433
OCT	8.20284	7	16	85.F	57.F	38.099	0.000			0.000	2897.	6.433
NOV	0.23611	1	15	73.F	48.F	26.300	0.000			0.000	2237.	6.433
DEC	0.00000					0.000				0.000	2291.	5.616
TOTAL	121.329					0.000					31159.	
MAX						62.778					0.000	6.433

-----  
 EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION 3TOWER TRUTH OR CONSEQU. N  
 REPORT- SS-C SYSTEM MONTHLY LOAD HOURS FOR

- N U M B E R O F H O U R S -												--COINCIDENT LOADS--	
MONTH	HOURS COOLING LOAD	HOURS HEATING LOAD	HOURS COINCIDENT COOL-HEAT LOAD	HOURS FLOATING	HOURS HEATING AVAIL.	HOURS COOLING LOAD	HOURS HEATING AVAIL.	HOURS FANS ON	HOURS FANS ON CYCLE	HOURS NIGHT VENTING	HOURS FLOATING WHEN COOLING	HEATING LOAD AT COOLING PEAK	ELECTRIC LOAD AT COOLING PEAK
JAN	0	0	0	744	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	4.388
FEB	0	0	0	672	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	4.388
MAR	0	0	0	744	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	4.388
APR	498	0	0	222	0	686	696	0	0	198	0.000	5.203	
MAY	621	0	0	123	0	667	744	0	0	123	0.000	5.203	
JUN	713	0	0	7	0	715	720	0	0	7	0.000	5.203	
JUL	744	0	0	0	0	744	744	0	0	0	0.000	5.203	
ADG	744	0	0	0	0	744	744	0	0	0	0.000	5.203	
SEP	714	0	0	6	0	720	720	0	0	6	0.000	5.203	
OCT	507	0	0	237	0	744	744	0	0	237	0.000	5.203	
NOV	14	0	0	706	0	24	24	0	0	10	0.000	5.203	
DEC	0	0	0	744	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	4.388	
ANNUAL	4555	0	0	4205	0	5044	5136	0	0	581			

-----  
 EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION 3TOWER TRUTH OR CONSEQU. N  
 REPORT- SS-K SPACE TEMPERATURE SUMMARY

A V E R A G E S P A C E T E M P				AVERAGE TEMPERATURE BETWEEN OUTDOOR & ROOM AIR				DIFFERENCE BETWEEN OUTDOOR & ROOM AIR				SUMMED TEMP DIFFERENCE BETWEEN OUTDOOR & ROOM AIR		HUMIDITY RATIO DIFFERENCE BETWEEN OUTDOOR AND ROOM AIR
MONTH	ALL HOURS (F)	COOLING HOURS (F)	HEATING HOURS (F)	FAN ON HOURS (F)	FAN OFF HOURS (F)	FAN ON HOURS (F)	FAN OFF HOURS (F)	OUTDOOR & ROOM AIR (F)	OUTDOOR & ROOM AIR (F)	HEATING HOURS (F)	OUTDOOR & ROOM AIR (F)	(FRAC. OR MULT.)		
JAN	44.72	0.00	44.72	-7.83	0.00	-7.83	0.00	44.72	44.72	0.00	44.72	-0.00508		
FEB	48.66	0.00	48.66	-4.68	0.00	-4.68	0.00	48.66	48.66	0.00	48.66	-0.00527		
MAR	53.36	0.00	53.36	-3.10	0.00	-3.10	0.00	53.36	53.36	0.00	53.36	-0.00470		
APR	57.66	59.77	57.48	2.48	2.48	2.58	-0.45	57.66	57.66	173.37	57.66	-0.00015		
MAY	61.91	62.91	61.91	5.30	5.30	5.30	0.00	61.91	61.91	228.91	61.91	0.00000		
JUN	67.28	67.30	67.28	10.48	10.48	10.48	0.00	67.28	67.28	321.24	67.28	0.00001		
JUL	67.53	67.53	67.53	10.72	10.72	10.72	0.00	67.53	67.53	332.66	67.53	0.00011		
ADG	66.03	66.03	66.03	9.02	9.02	9.02	0.00	66.03	66.03	280.38	66.03	0.00022		
SEP	64.79	64.84	64.79	7.62	7.62	7.62	0.00	64.79	64.79	242.87	64.79	0.00004		
OCT	57.34	59.89	57.34	1.41	1.41	1.41	0.00	57.34	57.34	159.87	57.34	0.00000		
NOV	57.00	57.83	54.98	-8.88	1.85	-9.25	0.00	57.00	57.00	314.89	57.00	0.00017		
DEC	49.21	0.00	49.21	-9.65	0.00	-9.65	0.00	49.21	49.21	0.00	49.21	0.00021		
ANNUAL	57.99	64.47	60.64	1.10	6.73	-6.87	0.00	57.99	57.99	3086.05	57.99	-0.00118		

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EDDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/7/1995 15:8:11 SDL RUN 1  
DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION  
REPORT- SS-6 TEMPERATURE SCATTER PLOT 3TOWER FOR TOWER\_3 TRUTH OR CONSEQU. N

HOUR	TOTAL HOURS AT TEMPERATURE LEVEL AND TIME OF DAY												TOTAL												
	1AM	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12PM	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
ABOVE 85	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
81-85	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
76-80	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	7
71-75	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	7	31	21	40	85	62	83	63	68	45	32	20	14	12	5	602
66-70	43	39	27	23	21	10	33	45	74	93	96	100	101	72	90	78	86	78	79	75	77	71	58	52	1521
61-65	106	105	114	110	115	76	88	77	67	56	45	49	39	30	32	29	35	31	46	62	78	88	95	1641	
BELOW 60	61	67	73	81	78	128	93	91	70	58	42	44	34	26	29	23	29	34	44	45	49	51	56	59	1365

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
REPORT SSS-A CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION REGAHU TRUTH OR CONSEQU. N  
DENVER, SYSTEM MONTHLY LOADS SUMMARY FOR

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/7/1995 15:0:11 SDL RUN 1  
DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION REPORT SS-C SYSTEM MONTHLY LOAD HOURS FOR REGAHU TRUTH OR CONSEQUEN, N

MONTH	NUMBER OF HOURS								--COINCIDENT LOADS--			
	HOURS COOLING LOAD	HOURS HEATING LOAD	HOURS COINCIDENT COOL-HEAT LOAD	HOURS FLOATING	HOURS HEATING AVAIL.	HOURS COOLING AVAIL.	HOURS FANS ON	HOURS FANS CYCLE ON	HOURS NIGHT VENTING	HOURS FLOATING WHEN FANS ON	HEATING LOAD AT COOLING PEAK (BTU/HR)	ELECTRIC LOAD AT COOLING PEAK (KW)
JAN	6	642	0	96	744	648	744	0	0	96	0.000	14.178
FEB	34	500	0	138	672	534	672	0	0	138	0.000	14.178
MAR	232	316	0	196	744	548	744	0	0	196	0.000	14.178
APR	478	37	0	205	720	515	720	0	0	205	0.000	14.178
MAY	669	16	0	59	744	685	744	0	0	59	0.000	14.178
JUN	720	0	0	0	720	720	720	0	0	0	0.000	14.178
JUL	744	0	0	0	744	744	744	0	0	0	0.000	14.178
AUG	744	0	0	0	744	744	744	0	0	0	0.000	14.178
SEP	718	0	0	2	720	718	720	0	0	2	0.000	14.178
OCT	529	71	0	144	744	600	744	0	0	144	0.000	14.178
NOV	163	332	0	225	720	495	720	0	0	225	0.000	14.178
DEC	7	609	0	128	744	616	744	0	0	128	0.000	14.178
ANNUAL	5044	2523	0	1193	8760	7567	8760	0	0	1193		

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8 / 7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION REGAHU TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N  
DATA TEMPERATURE SUMMARY

MONTH	AVERAGE SPACE TEMP					AVERAGE TEMPERATURE DIFFERENCE			SUMMED TEMP DIFFERENCE			HUMIDITY RATIO (FRAC. OR MULT.)
	ALL HOURS (F)	COOLING HOURS (F)	HEATING HOURS (F)	FAN ON HOURS (F)	FAN OFF HOURS (F)	BETWEEN OUTDOOR & ROOM AIR ALL HOURS (F)	BETWEEN OUTDOOR & ROOM AIR FAN ON HOURS (F)	BETWEEN OUTDOOR & ROOM AIR FAN OFF HOURS (F)	BETWEEN OUTDOOR & ROOM AIR HEATING HOURS (F)	BETWEEN OUTDOOR & ROOM AIR ALL HOURS (F)	BETWEEN OUTDOOR & ROOM AIR ALL HOURS (F)	
JAN	66.18	67.66	65.94	66.18	0.00	-29.29	-29.29	0.00	849.78	907.89	-0.00002	
FEB	67.42	69.73	67.03	67.42	0.00	-23.45	-23.45	0.00	560.53	657.03	-0.00002	
MAR	68.81	70.42	67.56	68.81	0.00	-18.55	-18.55	0.00	354.10	580.69	-0.00003	
APR	70.96	71.55	69.14	70.96	0.00	-10.82	-10.82	0.00	37.88	386.52	-0.00002	
MAY	72.15	72.38	69.22	72.15	0.00	-4.93	-4.93	0.00	17.73	288.74	-0.00002	
JUN	73.71	73.71	73.71	73.71	0.00	4.05	4.05	0.00	268.15	231.46	-0.00002	
JUL	74.03	74.03	74.03	74.03	0.00	4.22	4.22	0.00	199.22	199.22	0.00023	
AUG	73.72	73.72	73.72	73.72	0.00	1.33	1.33	0.00	223.88	407.36	-0.00001	
SEP	73.08	73.09	73.08	73.08	0.00	-0.68	-0.68	0.00	72.17	407.36	-0.00002	
OCT	70.87	71.50	68.79	70.87	0.00	-12.12	-12.12	0.00	372.26	608.44	-0.00002	
NOV	68.38	70.19	67.25	68.38	0.00	-20.25	-20.25	0.00	751.85	841.76	-0.00002	
DEC	66.71	68.13	66.46	66.71	0.00	-27.15	-27.15	0.00	3016.30	5601.12	0.00001	
ANNUAL	70.52	72.75	66.80	70.52	0.00	-11.42	-11.42	0.00				

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION FOR HALLS TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N  
 REPORT- SS-O TEMPERATURE SCATTER PLOT REGAHU

HOUR	1AM	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	1PM	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
ABOVE 85	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
81-85	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
76-80	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
71-75	217	211	208	204	201	204	210	212	222	229	245	251	255	263	275	278	271	254	244	237	236	233	228	219	5607
66-70	148	154	157	161	164	161	155	153	143	136	120	114	110	102	90	87	94	111	121	128	129	132	137	146	3153
61-65	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BELOW 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION FOR HALLPLENUM TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N  
 REPORT- SS-O TEMPERATURE SCATTER PLOT REGAHU

HOUR	1AM	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	1PM	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
ABOVE 85	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
81-85	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
76-80	95	91	90	82	76	72	71	73	78	92	96	99	99	107	109	107	108	104	102	100	98	98	97	96	2240
71-75	94	98	97	103	106	108	108	106	106	95	96	100	94	93	96	94	97	98	98	99	97	96	96	96	2372
66-70	96	96	95	95	94	94	93	94	91	90	93	94	101	103	106	106	107	105	103	97	96	95	95	93	2333
61-65	76	74	76	78	82	84	86	85	84	81	75	72	62	58	54	53	56	59	67	69	72	74	76	1707	
BELOW 60	4	6	6	7	7	7	6	6	5	4	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	108	

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION CONFRAHNU TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N  
 REPORT- SS-A SYSTEM MONTHLY LOADS SUMMARY FOR

C O O L I N G				H E A T I N G								E L E C			
MONTH	COOLING ENERGY (MBTU)	TIME OF MAX DY	DRY-BULB TEMP HR	WET-BULB TEMP	MAXIMUM COOLING LOAD (KBTU/HR)	HEATING ENERGY (MBTU)	TIME OF MAX DY	DRY-BULB TEMP HR	WET-BULB TEMP	MAXIMUM HEATING LOAD (KBTU/HR)	ELECTRICAL ENERGY (KWH)	MAXIMUM LOAD (KW)			
JAN	0.84672	21	12	56.F	38.F	5.406	0.000			0.000	248.	1.278			
FEB	0.87625	18	12	62.F	45.F	5.500	0.000			0.000	224.	1.278			
MAR	1.08429	30	12	73.F	53.F	5.558	0.000			0.000	248.	1.278			
APR	1.23204	22	12	84.F	50.F	5.648	0.000			0.000	240.	1.278			
MAY	1.38165	10	12	82.F	51.F	5.659	0.000			0.000	248.	1.278			
JUN	1.47795	29	12	87.F	63.F	5.728	0.000			0.000	240.	1.278			
JUL	1.56652	27	12	88.F	65.F	5.764	0.000			0.000	248.	1.278			
ADJ	1.54302	1	12	92.F	67.F	5.811	0.000			0.000	248.	1.278			
SEP	1.42242	8	12	82.F	63.F	5.694	0.000			0.000	240.	1.278			
OCT	1.26640	7	12	75.F	53.F	5.611	0.000			0.000	248.	1.278			
NOV	1.01403	10	12	67.F	48.F	5.540	0.000			0.000	240.	1.278			
DEC	0.90256	9	12	52.F	40.F	5.432	0.000			0.000	248.	1.278			
TOTAL MAX	14.616				5.811	0.000				0.000	2924.	1.278			

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION CONFRAHNU TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N  
 REPORT- SS-C SYSTEM MONTHLY LOAD HOURS FOR

N U M B E R O F H O U R S												C O I N C I D E N T L O A D S			
MONTH	HOURS COOLING LOAD	HOURS HEATING LOAD	HOURS COINCIDENT COOL-HEAT LOAD	HOURS FLOATING	HOURS HEATING AVAIL.	HOURS COOLING AVAIL.	HOURS FANS ON	HOURS CYCLE ON	HOURS NIGHT VENTING	HOURS FLOATING WHEN FANS ON	HEATING LOAD AT COOLING PEAK (KBTU/HR)	ELECTRIC LOAD AT COOLING PEAK (KW)			
JAN	675	0	0	69	0	675	744	0	0	69	0.000	1.278			
FEB	616	0	0	56	0	616	672	0	0	56	0.000	1.278			
MAR	682	0	0	62	0	682	744	0	0	62	0.000	1.278			
APR	660	0	0	60	0	660	720	0	0	60	0.000	1.278			
MAY	682	0	0	62	0	682	744	0	0	62	0.000	1.278			
JUN	660	0	0	60	0	660	720	0	0	60	0.000	1.278			
JUL	684	0	0	60	0	684	744	0	0	60	0.000	1.278			
ADJ	690	0	0	54	0	690	744	0	0	54	0.000	1.278			
SEP	661	0	0	59	0	661	720	0	0	59	0.000	1.278			
OCT	682	0	0	62	0	682	744	0	0	62	0.000	1.278			
NOV	660	0	0	60	0	660	720	0	0	60	0.000	1.278			
DEC	682	0	0	62	0	682	744	0	0	62	0.000	1.278			
ANNUAL	8034	0	0	726	0	8034	8760	0	0	726					

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/7/1995 15:8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION CONFRAHU TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N  
 REPORT- SS-K SPACE TEMPERATURE SUMMARY

MONTH	AVERAGE SPACE TEMP					AVERAGE TEMPERATURE DIFFERENCE					SUMMED TEMP DIFFERENCE					HUMIDITY RATIO	
	ALL HOURS (F)	COOLING HOURS (F)	HEATING HOURS (F)	FAN ON HOURS (F)	FAN OFF HOURS (F)	OUTDOOR& ROOM AIR (F)	OUTDOOR& ROOM AIR (F)	OUTDOOR& ROOM AIR (F)	OUTDOOR& ROOM AIR (F)	HEATING HOURS (F)	HEATING HOURS (F)	HEATING HOURS (F)	HEATING HOURS (F)	OUTDOOR AND ROOM AIR (F)	(FRAC. OR MULT.)		
JAN	68.35	68.37		68.35	0.00	-31.46	-31.46	0.00						975.13	0.00000		
FEB	69.15	69.16		69.15	0.00	-25.17	-25.17	0.00						705.36	0.00000		
MAR	69.82	69.82		69.82	0.00	-19.56	-19.56	0.00						612.61	0.00000		
APR	70.96	70.97		70.96	0.00	-10.82	-10.82	0.00						393.06	0.00000		
MAY	71.58	71.68		71.68	0.00	-4.46	-4.46	0.00						293.31	0.00000		
JUN	72.71	72.71		72.71	0.00	5.05	5.05	0.00						281.93	0.00000		
JUL	73.03	73.04		73.03	0.00	5.22	5.22	0.00						246.84	0.00000		
AGO	72.78	72.79		72.78	0.00	2.27	2.27	0.00						207.02	0.00000		
SEP	72.26	72.26		72.26	0.00	0.15	0.15	0.00						228.54	0.00000		
OCT	70.88	70.89		70.88	0.00	-12.13	-12.13	0.00						413.25	0.00000		
NOV	69.55	69.56		69.55	0.00	-21.43	-21.43	0.00						643.74	0.00000		
DEC	68.67	68.68		68.67	0.00	-29.11	-29.11	0.00						902.45	0.00000		
ANNUAL	70.83	70.84	0.00	70.83	0.00	-11.73	-11.73	0.00						5903.25	0.00000		

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/7/1995 15:8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION CONFRAHU FOR CONFERENCE TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N  
 REPORT- SS-O TEMPERATURE SCATTER PLOT

HOUR	TOTAL HOURS AT TEMPERATURE LEVEL AND TIME OF DAY												TOTAL
	1AM	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
ABOVE 85	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
81-85	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
76-80	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
71-75	365	365	365	365	365	365	365	181	210	170	210	365	365
66-70	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	184	155	195	155	0	0
61-65	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BELOW 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/7/1995 15:8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION CONFRAHU FOR CONFPLNUM TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N  
 REPORT- SS-O TEMPERATURE SCATTER PLOT

HOUR	TOTAL HOURS AT TEMPERATURE LEVEL AND TIME OF DAY												TOTAL
	1AM	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
ABOVE 85	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
81-85	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
76-80	29	25	22	19	17	12	11	14	27	24	46	43	62
71-75	149	152	153	156	157	162	162	159	150	150	142	142	128
66-70	150	150	152	147	145	142	143	141	137	156	134	149	141
61-65	37	38	38	43	46	49	49	51	51	35	43	31	34
BELOW 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION  
 hrly-Ozone = HOURLY-REPORT TRUTH OR CONSEQU. N

MMDDHH TOWER\_1 TOWER\_1 TOWER\_1 TOWER\_1

ZONE	THERMOST TEMP	HTG SET SETPOINT	CLG SET POINT
	F	F	F
313 1	39.9	-999.0	-999.0
313 2	39.9	-999.0	-999.0
313 3	38.3	-999.0	-999.0
313 4	38.0	-999.0	-999.0
313 5	35.9	-999.0	-999.0
313 6	37.2	-999.0	-999.0
313 7	40.9	-999.0	-999.0
313 8	40.9	-999.0	-999.0
313 9	40.9	-999.0	-999.0
31310	41.5	-999.0	-999.0
31311	42.1	-999.0	-999.0
31312	43.7	-999.0	-999.0
31313	43.0	-999.0	-999.0
31314	43.2	-999.0	-999.0
31315	45.3	-999.0	-999.0
31316	45.7	-999.0	-999.0
31317	47.3	-999.0	-999.0
31318	45.6	-999.0	-999.0
31319	45.3	-999.0	-999.0
31320	43.4	-999.0	-999.0
31321	44.3	-999.0	-999.0
31322	44.4	-999.0	-999.0
31323	43.2	-999.0	-999.0
31324	46.6	-999.0	-999.0

DAILY SUMMARY (MAR 13)

MN	35.9	-999.0	-999.0	999.0
MX	47.3	-999.0	-999.0	999.0
SM	1016.3	-23976.0	-23976.0	23976.0
AV	42.3	-999.0	-999.0	999.0

MONTHLY SUMMARY (MAR)

MN	35.9	-999.0	-999.0	999.0
MX	47.3	-999.0	-999.0	999.0
SM	1016.3	-23976.0	-23976.0	23976.0
AV	42.3	-999.0	-999.0	999.0

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION  
 hrly-Ozone = HOURLY-REPORT TRUTH OR CONSEQU. N

MMDDHH TOWER\_1 TOWER\_1 TOWER\_1 TOWER\_1

ZONE	THERMOST TEMP	HTG SET SETPOINT	CLG SET POINT
	F	F	F
9 8 1	65.1	68.0	68.0
9 8 2	64.9	68.0	68.0
9 8 3	63.7	68.0	68.0
9 8 4	62.0	68.0	68.0
9 8 5	63.1	68.0	68.0
9 8 6	60.3	68.0	68.0
9 8 7	62.9	68.0	68.0
9 8 8	65.0	68.0	68.0
9 8 9	66.0	68.0	68.0
9 8 10	67.9	68.0	68.0
9 8 11	68.4	68.0	68.0
9 8 12	70.1	68.0	68.0
9 8 13	68.4	68.0	68.0
9 8 14	72.1	68.0	68.0
9 8 15	69.7	68.0	68.0
9 8 16	72.1	68.0	68.0
9 8 17	68.2	68.0	68.0
9 8 18	67.3	68.0	68.0
9 8 19	67.6	68.0	68.0
9 8 20	67.4	68.0	68.0
9 8 21	66.8	68.0	68.0
9 8 22	65.7	68.0	68.0
9 8 23	65.4	68.0	68.0
9 8 24	64.7	68.0	68.0

DAILY SUMMARY (SEP 8)

MN	60.3	68.0	68.0	72.0
MX	72.1	72.0	68.0	72.0
SM	1594.5	1640.0	1632.0	1728.0
AV	66.4	68.3	68.0	72.0

MONTHLY SUMMARY (SEP)

MN	60.3	68.0	68.0	72.0
MX	72.1	72.0	68.0	72.0
SM	1594.5	1640.0	1632.0	1728.0
AV	66.4	68.3	68.0	72.0

YEARLY SUMMARY

MN	35.9	-999.0	-999.0	72.0
MX	72.1	72.0	68.0	999.0
SM	2610.8	-22336.0	-22344.0	25704.0
AV	54.4	-465.3	-465.5	535.5

-----  
 EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION TRUTH OR CONSEQU. N  
 hrly-sys1 = HOURLY-REPORT  
 -----

MMDDHH	1TOWER	1TOWER	1TOWER	1TOWER	1TOWER	1TOWER
	TOT HTG COIL PWR BTU/HR	TOT CLG COIL PWR BTU/HR	TOT ZONE CLG PWR BTU/HR	HTG COIL AIR TEMP F	CLG COIL AIR TEMP F	TOT SYST FLOWRATE CUFT/MIN
	---(5)	---(6)	---(8)	---(1)	---(2)	---(17)
313 1	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
313 2	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
313 3	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
313 4	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
313 5	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
313 6	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
313 7	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
313 8	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
313 9	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
31310	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
31311	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
31312	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
31313	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
31314	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
31315	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
31316	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
31317	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
31318	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
31319	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
31320	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
31321	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
31322	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
31323	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
31324	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
DAILY SUMMARY (MAR 13)						
MN	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
MX	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
SM	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
AV	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
MONTHLY SUMMARY (MAR)						
MN	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
MX	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
SM	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
AV	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.

-----  
 EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/7/1995 15: 8:11 SDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION TRUTH OR CONSEQU. N  
 hrly-sys1 = HOURLY-REPORT  
 -----

MMDDHH	1TOWER	1TOWER	1TOWER	1TOWER	1TOWER	1TOWER
	TOT HTG COIL PWR BTU/HR	TOT CLG COIL PWR BTU/HR	TOT ZONE CLG PWR BTU/HR	HTG COIL AIR TEMP F	CLG COIL AIR TEMP F	TOT SYST FLOWRATE CUFT/MIN
	---(5)	---(6)	---(8)	---(1)	---(2)	---(17)
9 8 1	0.	25182.	0.	61.0	59.5	2400.
9 8 2	0.	24549.	0.	60.3	58.8	2400.
9 8 3	0.	24879.	0.	59.2	57.6	2400.
9 8 4	0.	27853.	0.	57.3	55.8	2400.
9 8 5	0.	18722.	0.	57.0	55.5	2400.
9 8 6	0.	18901.	0.	57.0	55.5	2400.
9 8 7	0.	23298.	0.	58.9	57.4	2400.
9 8 8	0.	26155.	0.	62.5	61.0	2400.
9 8 9	0.	30408.	0.	64.4	62.9	2400.
9 8 10	0.	33518.	0.	66.9	65.3	2400.
9 8 11	0.	35078.	0.	67.1	65.6	2400.
9 8 12	0.	39546.	0.	69.9	68.3	2400.
9 8 13	0.	39698.	0.	68.9	67.3	2400.
9 8 14	0.	42071.	0.	70.6	69.1	2400.
9 8 15	0.	40639.	0.	69.3	67.7	2400.
9 8 16	0.	40033.	0.	69.6	68.0	2400.
9 8 17	0.	35375.	0.	67.0	65.4	2400.
9 8 18	0.	33007.	0.	63.3	61.7	2400.
9 8 19	0.	32973.	0.	63.3	61.7	2400.
9 8 20	0.	30006.	0.	63.7	62.1	2400.
9 8 21	0.	27233.	0.	63.0	61.4	2400.
9 8 22	0.	25818.	0.	61.7	60.1	2400.
9 8 23	0.	25135.	0.	61.0	59.5	2400.
9 8 24	0.	24549.	0.	60.3	58.8	2400.
DAILY SUMMARY (SEP 8)						
MN	0.	18722.	0.	57.0	55.5	2400.
MX	0.	42071.	0.	70.6	69.1	2400.
SM	0.	724629.	0.	1523.1	1486.1	57600.
AV	0.	30193.	0.	63.5	61.9	2400.
MONTHLY SUMMARY (SEP)						
MN	0.	18722.	0.	57.0	55.5	2400.
MX	0.	42071.	0.	70.6	69.1	2400.
SM	0.	724629.	0.	1523.1	1486.1	57600.
AV	0.	30193.	0.	63.5	61.9	2400.
YEARLY SUMMARY						
MN	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.
MX	0.	42071.	0.	70.6	69.1	2400.
SM	0.	724629.	0.	1523.1	1486.1	57600.
AV	0.	15096.	0.	31.7	31.0	1200.

-----  
 EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC DOE-2.1D 8/7/1995 15: 8:11 PDL RUN 1  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION TRUTH OR CONSEQU. N  
 REPORT- PV-A EQUIPMENT SIZES  
 -----

EQUIPMENT	NUMBER SIZE INSTD (MBTU/H)	NUMBER SIZE INSTD AVAIL (MBTU/H)					
HERM-REC-CHLR	0.400	2	2	2	2	2	2

-----  
 EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION  
 REPORT- PS-A PLANT ENERGY UTILIZATION SUMMARY  
 -----

DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 PDL RUN 1  
 TRUTH OR CONSEQU. N

MONTH	S I T E   E N E R G Y													* SOURCE
	2 TOTAL HEAT LOAD	3 TOTAL COOLING LOAD	4 TOTAL ELECTR LOAD	5 RCVRED ENERGY	6 WASTED RCVRABL ENERGY	7 FUEL INPUT COOLING	8 ELEC INPUT COOLING	9 FUEL INPUT HEATING	10 ELEC INPUT HEATING	11 FUEL INPUT ELECT	12 TOTAL FUEL INPUT	13 TOTAL SITE ENERGY	14 TOTAL SOURCE ENERGY	
JAN	136.3	225.7	285.2 83.5E	0.0	0.0	0.0	107.9 31.6E	0.0	0.0 0.0E	0.0	0.0	285.2	* 285.2	
FEB	121.5	204.0	249.9 73.2E	0.0	0.0	0.0	97.5 28.6E	0.0	0.0 0.0E	0.0	0.0	249.9	* 249.9	
MAR	133.1	228.3	272.8 79.9E	0.0	0.0	0.0	108.4 31.7E	0.0	0.0 0.0E	0.0	0.0	272.8	* 272.8	
APR	127.0	253.4	274.5 60.4E	0.0	0.0	0.0	115.2 33.8E	0.0	0.0 0.0E	0.0	0.0	274.5	* 274.5	
MAY	129.9	287.3	302.7 88.7E	0.0	0.0	0.0	138.3 40.5E	0.0	0.0 0.0E	0.0	0.0	302.7	* 302.7	
JUN	124.0	319.4	344.1 100.8E	0.0	0.0	0.0	184.4 54.0E	0.0	0.0 0.0E	0.0	0.0	344.1	* 344.1	
JUL	127.3	336.4	360.7 105.6E	0.0	0.0	0.0	196.8 57.6E	0.0	0.0 0.0E	0.0	0.0	360.7	* 360.7	
AUG	127.0	329.2	346.3 101.4E	0.0	0.0	0.0	181.2 53.1E	0.0	0.0 0.0E	0.0	0.0	346.3	* 346.3	
SEP	124.9	302.7	314.8 92.2E	0.0	0.0	0.0	155.6 45.6E	0.0	0.0 0.0E	0.0	0.0	314.8	* 314.8	
OCT	131.1	260.8	278.6 81.6E	0.0	0.0	0.0	114.3 33.5E	0.0	0.0 0.0E	0.0	0.0	278.6	* 278.6	
NOV	129.2	221.3	263.3 77.1E	0.0	0.0	0.0	104.6 30.6E	0.0	0.0 0.0E	0.0	0.0	263.3	* 263.3	
DEC	135.1	225.5	280.5 82.1E	0.0	0.0	0.0	107.9 31.6E	0.0	0.0 0.0E	0.0	0.0	280.5	* 280.5	
	1546.4	3193.9	3573.4 1046.5E	0.0	0.0	0.0	1612.2 472.2E	0.0	0.0 0.0E	0.0	0.0	3573.4	* 3573.4	

NOTE-- ALL ENTRIES ARE IN MBTU EXCEPT  
 ENTRIES FOLLOWED BY E ARE IN MWH (THOUSANDS OF KWH)

-----  
 EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION  
 REPORT- PS-B MONTHLY PEAK AND TOTAL ENERGY USE  
 -----

DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 PDL RUN 1  
 TRUTH OR CONSEQU. N

MO	UTILITY-	ELECTRICITY
JAN	TOTAL (MBTU)	285.200
	PEAK (KBTU)	463.528
	DY/HR	11/ 6
FEB	TOTAL (MBTU)	249.904
	PEAK (KBTU)	425.794
	DY/HR	3/ 6
MAR	TOTAL (MBTU)	272.826
	PEAK (KBTU)	429.078
	DY/HR	14/ 6
APR	TOTAL (MBTU)	274.472
	PEAK (KBTU)	612.297
	DY/HR	22/15
MAY	TOTAL (MBTU)	302.693
	PEAK (KBTU)	611.021
	DY/HR	9/17
JUN	TOTAL (MBTU)	344.078
	PEAK (KBTU)	643.065
	DY/HR	22/17
JUL	TOTAL (MBTU)	360.698
	PEAK (KBTU)	647.350
	DY/HR	1/17
AUG	TOTAL (MBTU)	346.303
	PEAK (KBTU)	639.052
	DY/HR	1/17
SEP	TOTAL (MBTU)	314.834
	PEAK (KBTU)	612.207
	DY/HR	1/16
OCT	TOTAL (MBTU)	278.588
	PEAK (KBTU)	590.171
	DY/HR	7/16
NOV	TOTAL (MBTU)	263.279
	PEAK (KBTU)	424.847
	DY/HR	22/ 6
DEC	TOTAL (MBTU)	280.481
	PEAK (KBTU)	428.507
	DY/HR	16/ 6
	ONE YEAR USE/PEAK	3573.357
		647.350

EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION  
 REPORT- PS-C EQUIPMENT PART LOAD OPERATION

DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 PDL RUN 1  
 TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N

EQUIPMENT	HOURS AT PERCENT PART LOAD RATIO										TOTAL HOURS	ANNUAL LOAD (MBTU)	FALSE LOAD (MBTU)	ELEC USED (MBTU)	THERMAL USED (MBTU)							
	0	--	10	--	20	--	30	--	40	--	50	--	60	--	70	--	80	--	90	--	100	--
HERM-REC-CHLR	0	0	0	0	0	0	1396	4394	790	904	1276	0	0	0	0	0	0	8760	3193.9	1010.4	1568.9	0.0

HOT LOOP CIRCULATION PUMP ELECTRICAL USE = 0.0 MBTU  
 COLD LOOP CIRCULATION PUMP ELECTRICAL USE = 43.3 MBTU

NOTES TO TABLE

- 1) THE FIRST PART LOAD ENTRY FOR EACH PIECE OF EQUIPMENT IS THE HOURLY LOAD DIVIDED BY THE HOURLY OPERATING CAPACITY
- 2) THE SECOND PART LOAD ENTRY FOR EACH PIECE OF EQUIPMENT IS THE HOURLY LOAD DIVIDED BY THE TOTAL INSTALLED CAPACITY


EMC ENGINEERS INC. EZDOE - ELITE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT INC  
 DENVER, CO 80227 GEODSS SITE DOE EVALUATION  
 REPORT- BEPS ESTIMATED BUILDING ENERGY PERFORMANCE

DOE-2.1D 8/ 7/1995 15: 8:11 PDL RUN 1  
 TRUTH OR CONSEQU, N


ENERGY TYPE IN SITE MBTU	CATEGORY OF USE	ELECTRICITY
SPACE HEAT	55.12	
SPACE COOL	1568.95	
HVAC AUX	426.63	
DOM HOT WTR	0.00	
AUX SOLAR	0.00	
LIGHTS	288.88	
VERT TRANS	0.00	
MISC EQUIP	1233.86	
TOTAL	3573.45	


TOTAL SITE ENERGY 3573.36 MBTU 313.5 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 313.5 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
 TOTAL SOURCE ENERGY 3573.36 MBTU 313.5 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 313.5 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA  
 PERCENT OF HOURS ANY SYSTEM ZONE OUTSIDE OF THROTTLING RANGE = 0.4  
 PERCENT OF HOURS ANY PLANT LOAD NOT SATISFIED = 100.0  
 NOTE ELECTRICITY AND/OR FUEL USED TO GENERATE ELECTRICITY IS APPORTIONED BASED  
 ON THE YEARLY DEMAND. ALL OTHER ENERGY TYPES ARE APPORTIONED HOURLY.


**PEAK COOLING LOAD 147.78 KBTU/H**





PEAK HEATING LOAD 349.99 KBTU/H



















**TOTAL SITE ELECTRICITY ENERGY USE 3573.44 MBTU**





**APPENDIX E**

**PROJECT DOCUMENTATION**

1. COMPONENT <b>AIR FORCE</b>	<b>FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA</b>			2. DATE <b>15-Nov-95</b>
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION <b>GEOSS Site, White Sands Missile Range, NM</b>		4. PROJECT TITLE <b>FEMP Energy Conservation Package</b>		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NO.	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) <b>160</b>	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
Primary Facilities:				
UPS System Modification	LS			21
Air Recirculation System	LS			21
High Efficiency Lighting	LS			11
Chiller Replacement	LS			89
Supporting Facilities				
Estimated Contract Cost				142
Supervision, Inspection and Overhead (6%)				9
Design (6%)				9
<b>TOTAL REQUEST</b>				160
<b>TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)</b>				160
Installed Equipment-Other Appropriations				
<b>10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION</b>				
This project includes four separate subprojects:				
Uninterruptible Power Supply				
Air Recirculation System				
High Efficiency Lighting				
Chiller Replacement				
<b>11. REQUIREMENT</b>				
This project is required for HVAC and lighting systems to operate at peak efficiency and effectiveness. An immediate utility savings would be recognized.				
<p><u>UPS System Modifications</u> - Measurements on the existing 250 hp motor at the time of the field survey indicated that the motor was 11% loaded and was operating with a 65% efficiency and a 45% power factor.</p> <p>The new 100 hp motor operating at the same conditions would be 28% loaded and operate with a 94% efficiency and a power factor of 68%.</p>				

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA		2. DATE 15-NOV-95
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GEODSS Site, White Sands Missile Range, NM			
4. PROJECT TITLE FEMP Energy Conservation Opportunity Package		5. PROJECT NUMBER	

**11. REQUIREMENT (continued)**

Air Recirculation System - Presently, the three telescopes use 100% outside air cooled with mechanical refrigeration for cooling. This system consumes significant energy as the cool air is directly vented to the outside and is not reused. According to the building personnel, there is no specific reason why this particular system is in place.

The project would provide return air ducting and motorized dampers which would allow recirculation with mechanical cooling or 100% outside air when outside air is cool.

High Efficiency Lighting - Fluorescent lighting fixtures in the building are equipped with standard 40 watt lamps and magnetic ballasts. High-efficiency T-8 fluorescent lamps and electronic ballasts would reduce lighting energy consumption by 32%.

Chiller Replacement - The current chillers are full-load use 1.43 kW/ton. At half-load this ratio increases to 1.72 kW/ton. These chillers also use R-22 refrigerant coolant which has been linked to the destruction of the ozone layer. The proposed chillers at full-load would use 1.51 kW/ton, but at half-load this ratio drops to 1.02 kW/ton.

**Impact If Not Provided:**

If this project is not funded, the GEODSS Facility will continue to operate with excessive energy requirements and will not realize a \$432,000 life cycle energy savings over the next 20 years.

**Supporting Documentation:**

Supporting data includes the basic engineering calculations which show the energy savings. The supporting data was documented and conducted under an Army contract performed by an A-E firm (E M C Engineers, Inc.) in FY 95.

**Verification of Savings:**

The energy use for the periods prior to the project can be compared to the energy use for billing periods subsequent to the project upgrade.

**Amount of Energy Conserved:**

The amount of combined energy conserved is estimated to be 252,877 kWh/yr (\$20,761/yr).

## Summary of ECOs Recommended for FEMP Funding

ECO #	ECO Description	Annual Electric Energy Savings (kWh)	Annual Energy Cost Savings (\$)	Annual Maintenance Cost Savings (\$)	Total Investment Costs (\$)	SIR	Simple Payback (yrs)
7	UPS System	89,454	7,344	0	22,874	4.85	3.11
9	Recirculation of Tower Air	74,518	6,118	0	22,767	4.05	3.72
4	T-8 Fluorescent Lamps	29,455	2,418	47	12,429	2.38	5.04
8	Chiller Replacement	85,453	7,016	0	99,539	2.01	8.30
	Combined Savings	252,877	20,761	47	157,609	2.74	5.7

1. COMPONENT ARMY	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE Apr-95	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GEODSS Site, White Sands Missile Range, NM					
4. PROJECT TITLE FEMP - Energy Conservation Opportunity Package			5. PROJECT NUMBER		
<b>LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS SUMMARY ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM (ECIP)</b>					
LOCATION:	GEODSS Site, White Sands Missile Range, NM		REGION: 4	PROJECT NO:	
PROJECT TITLE:	FEMP - Energy Conservation Opportunity Package		FISCAL YEAR:	1995	
DISCRETE PORTION NAME:	TOTAL				
ANALYSIS DATE:	11/22/95	ECONOMIC LIFE:	20	PREPARED BY: D Jones	
1. INVESTMENT					
A. CONSTRUCTION COST	=	=	\$141,353		
B. SIOH COST	(5.5% of 1A) =		\$7,774		
C. DESIGN COST	(6.0% of 1A) =		\$8,481		
D. TOTAL COST	(1A + 1B + 1C) =		\$157,609		
E. SALVAGE VALUE OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT =					
F. PUBLIC UTILITY COMPANY REBATE =					
G. TOTAL INVESTMENT	(1D - 1E - 1F) =		----->	\$157,609	
2. ENERGY SAVINGS (+) OR COST (-):					
DATE OF NISTR-4942-1 USED FOR DISCOUNT FACTORS:					
<u>OCT '94</u>					
ECO	FUEL COST \$/kWh (1)	SAVINGS kWh (2)	ANNUAL \$ SAVINGS (3)	DISCOUNT FACTOR (4)	DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (5)
A. UPS System	\$0.0821	89,454	\$7,344	15.08	\$110,750
B. Recirculation of Tower Air	\$0.0821	74,518	\$6,118	15.08	\$92,258
C. T-8 Fluorescent Lamps	\$0.0821	29,455	\$2,418	12.02	\$29,067
D. Chiller Replacement	\$0.0821	85,453	\$7,016	15.08	\$105,796
E.					
F.					
G.					
H. TOTAL		278,880	\$22,896	----->	\$337,872
3. NON-ENERGY SAVINGS (+) OR COST (-)					
A. ANNUAL RECURRING (+/-)	(From Table A) =				
1 DISCOUNT FACTOR	(3A x 3A1) =				
2 DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (+) / COST (-)					
B. NON-RECURRING (+/-)	ITEM	SAVINGS (+) COST(-) (1)	YEAR OF OCCURRENCE (2)	DISCOUNT FACTOR (3)	DISCOUNTED SAVINGS/COST (4) (TABLE B)
a. AVOIDED COST OF CHILLER REPLACEMENT		\$99,539	2	0.943	\$93,865
b. MATERIAL: NONE					
c. MATERIAL: NONE					
d. TOTAL		\$99,539			\$93,865
C. TOTAL NON-ENERGY DISCOUNTED SAVINGS (+) OR COST (-)			(3A2 + 3Bd4) =		\$93,865
4. FIRST YEAR DOLLAR SAVINGS (+) / COSTS (-)			(2H3 + 3A + (3Bd1/Economic Life))		\$27,873
5. SIMPLE PAYBACK (SPB) IN YEARS (MUST BE < 10 YEARS TO QUALIFY)			(1G/4) =		5.65
6. TOTAL NET DISCOUNTED SAVINGS			(2H5 + 3C) =		\$431,737
7. DISCOUNTED SAVINGS-TO-INVESTMENT RATIO (SIR) (MUST HAVE SIR > 1.25 TO QUALIFY)			(6/1G) =		2.74